REENGINEERING THE UNITED STATES ARMY'S TACTICAL COMMAND AND CONTROL OPERATIONAL ARCHITECTURE FOR INFORMATION OPERATIONS

Robert G. Phelan Jr.
Michael L. McGinnis

Operations Research Center
United States Military Academy
West Point, New York 10996, U.S.A

ABSTRACT

During the past few years, the Army has undertaken a major effort to integrate computer, information, and communication technologies throughout the force. These technologies have made a profound impact on the Army's tactical command and control (C²) processes, especially at division and below. This paper presents a computer simulation model to support the reengineering of the United States Army's staff organization at division and below for information operations. The simulation model under development will provide force planners with a tool for methodically conducting comparative analyses of specific alternatives for reengineering tactical level Army staffs to improve efficiency and effectiveness of staff work related to information operations.

1 INTRODUCTION

For the United States Armed Forces to win decisively on tomorrow's battlefields, military commanders must make well-informed, timely decisions. The high tempo of joint and coalition military operations during recent years has accelerated the military decision making processes at all levels of command as never before. The pace of military operations demands that military staffs provide commanders with timely information for making decisions. This is necessary to give our land combat forces sufficient time to carefully and thoroughly plan the military operation based on unambiguous commander's guidance.

The Army is presently attempting to meet the future information needs of military commanders by integrating communication, computer, and information technologies at all levels of the force. However, these initial efforts have had the unintended effect of overloading commanders and staffs with battlefield information, thereby degrading the military decision

making process rather than making it more efficient. Overcoming these problems will require that the Army reengineer its command and control architecture currently based on a stove-piped military staff organized around traditional battlefield operating systems. Specifically, the military staffs from battalion through division must be reengineered for information operations that consists of the processes for collecting, processing, storing, retrieving, disseminating, displaying, protecting, and denying information.

We present work sponsored by the Army Digitization Office (ADO), Headquarters, Department of the Army, to develop a computer simulation model for reengineering the current tactical level army staffs into staffs organized for information operations. The simulation model will permit Army force developers to evaluate alternatives for reorganizing military staffs based on measures of performance important to military commanders. We also discuss how the model can support development of the operational and system architectures of the Army Enterprise Strategy.

2 BACKGROUND

Battlefield information requirements of commanders focuses primarily on the status of friendly and enemy forces and the enemy's intentions and capabilities. As straightforward as this may seem, Operations Desert Shield and Desert Storm provide anecdotal evidence of how communication, computer, and information technologies have complicated information operations. For example, according to Lieutenant Colonel John Burke, Office of the Director for Information Systems for Command, Control, Communications and Computers, Department of the Army, approximately 700,000 telephone calls and 152,000 messages were exchanged daily between military units within the theater of operations using 35,000 radio frequencies. Managing the volume of information generated by fast-paced military operations is necessary to provide

manage the type and amount of information flowing to military commanders.

Currently, tactical information generally flows along traditional lines of communication defined by traditional relationships between battlefield operating systems. Battlefield operating systems include maneuver, fire support, air defense, intelligence, mobility/counter-mobility, survivability, and combat service support. Standard operating procedures and doctrine generally determine the types of information exchanged between the battlefield operating system staff elements and command and control centers. Tactical Operation Centers (TOCs) serve as the command and control nodes for processing and analyzing information for commander from battalion through division. The staff elements acquire commander's critical information requirements (CCIR) and plan future operations. Key staff actions include developing, analyzing and briefing courses of action, performing intelligence preparation of the battlefield, and wargaming.

To be successful, military commanders and staffs at all levels must effectively manage battlefield information and the time available for planning and executing military operations. Through *FORCE XXI Joint Venture*, the Army is digitizing the battlefield and creating an integrated information architecture where battlefield information is shared horizontally and vertically across the force to enhance command and control. In the end, there is little doubt that information technology will accelerate the operational tempo by compressing the C² cycle for military operations. Figure 1 depicts the *Information operations-Decisionmaking-military Action* (IDA) loop of the command and control process (McGinnis, Technical Report FY95-6, 1995).

Figure 1. Information-Decisionmaking-Action (IDA) Loop for Command and Control

A major goal of *FORCE XXI Joint Venture* is to use the information technology, combined with reengineering the military staffs, to create a *relevant common picture* of the battlefield. This involves collecting, analyzing, and disseminating information about the terrain, weather and status and disposition of friendly and enemy forces that can be shared by all levels of command. A higher-level concept closely related to the relevant common picture is *dominant situational awareness* of the battle area (McGinnis et. al., Technical Report FY95-5, 1995). This involves interpreting and exploiting the relevant common picture of the battle area to predict likely enemy actions.

3 THE ARMY ENTERPRISE STRATEGY

In addition to *Force XXI Joint Venture*, the Army has simultaneously undertaken the development of a high-level data architecture to support future information operations called the *Army Enterprise Strategy*. This strategy involves designing, developing, and implementing the Army's operational, system, and technical architectures. When fully developed, the strategy will specify the Army's information system requirements and how the system will be integrated from the strategic to tactical levels of command. Part of architecture development is the requirement to provide interoperability between the Army's battlefield operating systems, other branches of military service, and allied forces as well.

The Army's operational architecture defines the context and framework for fielding Command, Control, Communications, Computers, and Intelligence (C⁴I) systems that meet operational requirements. It defines the force elements and the requirement to exchange information between those elements.

The system architecture describes the systems required to support the operational architecture concept. It also defines C² nodes, connected through communications equipment to accomplish the information exchange.

The technical architecture identifies the rules, conditions, and constraints for the technical requirements of the common operating environment and for the data model. This is a preliminary step towards a seamless, interoperable architecture for exchanging information electronically across all levels of command: strategic, operational and tactical.

4 THE ARMY BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM

An important project related to the *Army Enterprise Strategy* is the future *Army Battle Command System* (ABCS) that will link operations on the battlefield with

6 PROCESS MODELING

Preliminary work suggests that reengineering the Army's tactical-level operational architecture for information operations as shown in Figure 1 will require changes to the current C² system. This work will be accomplished in several steps. First, we diagram the current C² processes to depict relationships between activities where events occur sequentially and simultaneously, and to identify precedence conditions between the activities, if any. Next, we use subject matter experts to brainstorm ideas for changing the command and control processes based on reengineering for information operations.

Work-in-progress includes using IDEF0 to IDEF3 linkages to model changes to tactical-level command and control based on integrating new information technology into the process. Figures 3 and 4 depict a partial decomposition of the future command and control process system from the commander's viewpoint using IDEF. The modeling described here is a collaborative effort between the authors and the TRADOC Program Integration Office (TPIO), Fort Leavenworth, Kansas.

Figure 3. First Level Decomposition of C^2 Figure 4. Decomposition of C^2 Information Operations

7 PROCESS SIMULATION

A process-oriented simulation under development will be used for conducting experimental tests to measure the relative effectiveness of the current C² system versus alternatives for future systems. Apparently, the reengineering the Army's tactical command and control processes using a discrete event, process-oriented simulation represents a new application of this modeling approach, at least for the Army. If successful, this methodology will (hopefully) be incorporated into existing IDEF0 process models to provide the Army with a flexible, dynamic tool for conducting analysis of competing force design alternatives. This section presents the analytical framework for modeling the C² system. The linkages between the current IDEF0 models and the discrete event process simulation model proposed here are also discussed.

Consider the simple C^2 information network depicted in Figure 5. This C^2 node consists of two M/M/1 queues where information arrives into the node with rate λ_1 . The information is processed by Server 1 at a rate of μ and is then disseminated. It immediately joins queue 2 for more processing by Server 2 also at rate μ . When Server 2 is finished, the information departs the C^2 node. This model assumes no information is lost and each queue has infinite capacity.

Figure 5. Simplified C^2 Node System

Although each server within this network has a service rate of μ_i associated with it, the information cannot be processed any faster than there is information in the system. Therefore, the rate out of Server i is 0 when that server is in state 0 and μ_i otherwise. The departure rate from Server 1 to queue 2 determines queue 2's arrival rate. In a steady state system this is also queue 1's arrival rate.

Next, consider a generalized network of k servers where information arrives to the C^2 node system and to each Server i , $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, according to a Poisson process with rate r_i . The information then joins queue i until processed by the server. Then information is disseminated to the queue for Server j , $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$, with probability P_{ij} such that $\sum_{j=1}^k P_{ij} \leq 1$. Alternatively, the information departs Server i with probability $P_{exit} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^k P_{ij}$. Queuing networks of this type are commonly referred to as *Jackson Networks* (Walrand, 1988).

The arrival rates at any server within the network are characterized by the following flow conservation equations: $\lambda_j = r_j + \sum_{i=1}^k P_{ij} \lambda_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. $P_{ij} \lambda_i$ denotes the arrival rate to queue j for information departing from Server i .

Figure 6 depicts a two-server Jackson Network that enumerates the possible paths for disseminating information are enumerated. The values give the information flow rates along each path of the network.

Figure 6. Expanded Jackson Network of a C^2 Node

In general, open Markovian networks can be described in terms of a Jackson Network. A probability routing matrix $\mathbf{P}$ describes the relative probability that information may take a particular route once it entered the C^2 system. If any $P_{ij} = 0$, then the information path defined from i to j does not exist. If $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{0}$ then the network is a system of independent queues where information may arrive at any server of the C^2 node and all information departs the C^2 node upon completion of service.

Many aspects of the tactical command and control system can be modeled using Jackson networks. Each stovepiped element within the current C^2 system, and the associated staff functions may be modeled as a M/M/S queuing system. S represents the number of independent servers for processing information within a staff section. The C^2 node, also referred to as a tactical operations center (TOC), may be modeled as an interconnected series of Jackson networks comprised of staff sections representing each battlefield operating systems. Figure 7 diagrams the flow of information within a command and control node.

Figure 7. C^2 Node Information Flow

If we assume a Poisson arrival process for information that enters the C^2 node and exponentially distributed information processing times by each staff sections (i.e., server), then this C^2 node may be modeled as a Jackson Network. $\lambda_j = r_i + \sum_{i=1}^k P_{ij}\lambda_i$, $i \neq j$, describes the systems conservation of flow equations for information, where j represents either any battlefield operating system staff section or the military commander. For this system, we do not allow for the case where $P_{ij} = 0$. The only external arrival of information permitted is that designated for the commander. Stability of the C^2 system requires that $\mu_j > \lambda_j$ otherwise queue j would grow out of control although this is a fairly common occurrence in real-world situations.

Figure 8 depicts one representation of a future C^2 node reengineered for information operations. In this system, we require $\mu_j > \lambda_j$ for all functions except the military decision making cycle where human processors may be in the loop. Using a discrete-event process simulation, this C^2 system representation can be analyzed in terms of making tradeoffs between human and computer-based methods for processing information. The system can also be used to study the effects of information overload on humans or to determine acceptable arrival rates of information for human processors.

Figure 8. Framework for Analyzing Future C^2 System Information Flow Rates

Modeling either of the systems as a Poisson process assumes both exponential interarrivals and service times. However, there are no validated data sets that would confirm this assumption. One experiment that will attempt to do this is called Prairie Warrior 1996 conducted at Fort Leavenworth. This experiment will study alternatives for organizing staff sections manned by students from the Army's Command and General Staff College and equipped with advanced computer

and information technology. The Battle Command Battle Laboratory of Fort Gordon is designing experiments to record network traffic data during the exercise. It is expected that this data can then be used to determine interarrival and service time distributions for the staffs and staff functions under consideration. These distributions can then be used in the discrete event simulation model being developed for studying the C^2 system.

Figure 9 illustrated how the discrete event process model fits within the existing hierarchy of data and process models. Arrival and service rate estimates are taken from the C^4 RDP and C^2 NET respectively.

Figure 9. Linking the Discrete Even Process Simulation Model to Existing Data and Process Models

Currently, information message arrival and processing rates used for the discrete event process simulation model were obtained from the C^4 RDP. The data reflects the flow of information to and from a "typical" division tactical operations center. The information exchanges were cross-referenced with the first level functional decomposition of the battlefield as defined by TRADOC's blueprint of the battlefield (TRADOC, 1993). Two important measures of information exchange provided by the C^4 RDP that are also used as performance measure in the discrete event simulation are *speed of service* and *cost of failure*.

Speed of service reflects the amount of time that can be allowed to pass from when the information is sent to when it is received. This time varies from less than one second to over eight hours.

Cost of failure describes the impact on mission accomplishment from the originator's viewpoint if the transmission of the information is not completed. Categories of cost of failure are given in Table 1.

Table 1. *Cost of Failure Categories*

Indispensable	Causes complete mission failure if not satisfied 100% at any time
Critical	Causes complete mission failure if not satisfied over 75% over extended time periods
Essential	Has a negative impact on the mission if not satisfied over 75% over extended time periods

The next three tables give examples of the type of data available from the C⁴RDP. For example, this includes mean interarrival times for messages arriving or leaving the fire support section. The Network Assessment Model uses similar data to estimate arrival rates and assumes exponential interarrivals.

Table 2. *Division In-Flow Mean Time (hours/message)*

System	Speed of Service	Critical	Essential	Indispensable
Fire Support	5-10 Sec	6.00		0.50
Fire Support	25-59 Sec	0.06		24.00
Fire Support	1-10 Min	0.22	0.41	0.17
Fire Support	10-60 Min	4.80	1.20	0.20
Fire Support	1-2 Hrs	24.00	0.32	2.40
Fire Support	2-3 Hrs	12.00		
Fire Support	4-8 Hrs	24.00		

Table 3. *Division Internal Information Flow Mean Time (hours/message)*

System	Speed of Service	Critical	Essential	Indispensable
Fire Support	5-10 Sec	8.00		
Fire Support	25-59 Sec	0.03		
Fire Support	1-10 Min	0.73		0.28
Fire Support	10-60 Min		0.47	2.67
Fire Support	1-2 Hrs		6.00	
Fire Support	2-3 Hrs		0.75	
Fire Support	4-8 Hrs			1.33

Table 4. *Division Information Out-Flow Mean Time (hours/message)*

System	Speed of Service	Critical	Essential	Indispensable
Fire Support	5-10 Sec	2.67		0.10
Fire Support	25-59 Sec	0.01		
Fire Support	1-10 Min	0.01	0.43	0.44
Fire Support	10-60 Min	0.50	0.63	6
Fire Support	1-2 Hrs		6	6

The data presented here, among other data, is used to estimate the internal flow rates and routing probabilities for the internal Jackson network. It has also been useful in the development of performance measures. However, more effort is required in this area. For example, the US Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) Headquarters is currently spending considerable effort to estimate the times that

tactical units routinely spend to perform doctrinally approved command and control tasks with tactical operation centers from brigade to corps level for the C²NET model.

The simulation package selected for the discrete event model is *SIMPROCESS*. This simulation software is currently being beta tested. The simulation software is a process oriented and permits dynamic modeling for simulating the stochastic flow of items through user defined processes. Figure 10 depicts a sample screen created for a future C² system using *SIMPROCESS*.

Figure 10. *SIMPROCESS* representation of a C² System

8 FUTURE WORK

Future work will focus on completing those tasks required to analyze different staff alternatives. The first is incorporating IDEF0 process models under development by TRADOC into the simulation model. The second is the incorporation of a data network simulation focusing on the internal movement of information. The third major area involves devising and incorporating an analytical memory model to refine the storage of information function.

Future operations may involve situations where commanders and their staffs are not physically collocated. A supporting data network will be constructed to pass information between systems and locations. The network topology and the physical medium will directly influence information flows. A network simulation model will be overlaid onto the process model.

Information storage and retrieval will also play a major factor in the development of both tactical situational awareness and the supporting data network's performance. For the Force XXI Army, it is imperative that each staff section use the most current and up to date data. Many of the problems facing our future systems are strikingly similar to today's parallel

computing platforms. Raw and processed data may be stored in some central location or at each of the workstations. During our model development, we plan to apply current analytical parallel computing performance models to ascertain the impact of various data storage alternatives.

REFERENCES

- Almasi, G.S. and Gottlieb, A. 1989. *Highly Parallel Computing*, The Benjamin/ Cummings Publishing Co. Redwood City, CA.
- Banks, J. and Carson, J.S. 1984. *Discrete-Event System Simulation*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Blanchard B.S. and Fabrycky, W.J. 1990. *Systems Engineering and Analysis*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- CACI Products Co. 1996. *SIMPROCESS User's Guide*, CACI Products Co., LaJolla, CA.
- Campan, A.D., 1994. *The First Information War*, AFCEA International Press, Fairfax, VA.
- Director of Information Systems for Command, Control, Communications and Computers, 1996. *Army Technical Architecture*, Headquarters, Department of the Army, Washington, D.C.
- Josephson, E.H. and Macedonia, R.M. 1994. *Fighting Smarter: Leveraging Information Age Technology*, The Institute of Land Warfare, Association of the United States Army, Arlington, VA.
- Kleinrock, L., 1975. *Queueing Systems, Volume 1: Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY..
- Law, A.M. and Kelton, W.D., 1991. *Simulation Modeling and Analysis*, 2nd Ed, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- McGinnis, M.L., Phelan, R.G. 1995. "Using Computer Simulation to Reengineer Traditional Stove-Piped Army Staffs for Information Operations in the 21st Century." *Proceedings of the 1995 Winter Simulation Conference* (Washington D.C. Dec. 3-6). IEEE, Piscataway, N.J., 1158-1163.
- McGinnis, M.L., Barbero, M.P., Hull, G. and Caraccilo, D. J. 1995. *Strategic Framework For Organizing Force XXI For Future Operations*, Technical Report FY95-4, Operations Research Center, West Point, NY.
- McGinnis et al 1995. *Critical Battlefield Information for FORCE XXI Commanders*, Technical Report FY95-5, Operations Research Center, West Point, NY.
- McGinnis et al 1995. *A FORCE XXI C2 System and Modular Force Structure*, Technical Report FY95-6, Operations Research Center, West Point, NY.
- Potomac Systems Engineering, 1992. *Final Report - Command and Control Functional Area Model Data Study, Volumes 1-2*, Potomac Systems Engineering, Leavenworth, KS.
- Sullivan, G.R. and Dubik, J.M. 1994. *War in the Information Age*, Strategic Studies Institute, U.S. Army War College, Carlisle Barracks, PA.
- Sullivan, G.R., 1994. Unpublished message to the US Army Training and Doctrine Command (TRADOC) Headquarters, Fort Monroe, VA.
- TRADOC 1993. *Blueprint of the Battlefield*, Pamphlet 11-9, Headquarters TRADOC, Department of the Army, Ft. Monroe, VA.
- TRADOC 1994. *Force XXI Operations: A Concept for the Evolution of Full-Dimensional Operations for the Strategic Army of the Early Twenty-First Century*, Pamphlet 525-5, Headquarters TRADOC, Department of the Army, Ft. Monroe, VA.
- Walrand, J. 1988. *An Introduction to Queueing Networks*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

MICHAEL L. MCGINNIS, the Director of the Operations Research Center at the United States Military Academy, West Point, New York, is currently attending the Naval War College at Newport, RI. He received a B.S. degree from USMA in 1977, an M.S. degree in Applied Mathematics and an M.S. degree in Operations Research from Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute in 1986, and a Ph.D. in Systems and Industrial Engineering from the University of Arizona in 1994. His current research interests are command and control, modeling and solving manpower requirement problems, and solving large-scale resource scheduling problems by exact and heuristic procedures.

ROBERT G. PHELAN JR. is assigned to the Operations Research Center at the United States Military Academy, West Point, New York. He received a B.S. from the University of Notre Dame in Aerospace Engineering in 1982, an M.E. in Manufacturing Engineering from Boston University in 1984, an M.B.A. from Boston University in 1993 and an M.S. in Systems Engineering from the University of Virginia in 1994. His current research interests are simulation of command and control systems and use of expert systems for resource scheduling.