ABSTRACT

RAMASUBRAMANIAN, RAHUL. Exploring Virtualization Platforms for ARM-based Mobile Android Devices. (Under the direction of Frank Mueller.)

The advent of smartphones has revolutionized the mobile phone market. Currently, ARM-based (Advanced RISC Machine) smartphones running the popular Android software stack dominate the market. Mobile devices are increasingly becoming the primary interface between a vast number of users and the Internet. Due to its growing popularity, these mobile platforms are facing the same security threats that the x86 ISA (Instruction Set Architecture) based systems are subjected to. Recent attacks have shown that a number of security threats cannot be addressed by sandboxing or Android’s existing philosophy of access right approval by users, nor can they be addressed by ARM’s TrustZone hardware capabilities that only protect selected peripheral devices.

Virtualization techniques have been explored a great deal in desktop (x86) platforms and are widely accepted as a means to enhance OS (Operating System)/platform security. In this thesis, we take an in-depth look at the various mobile virtualization techniques available to date, which would help to investigate security threats faced by the Android ecosystem. We study the various virtualization platforms that are available and evaluate their state of implementation and their potential utility in a virtualization-based mobile security framework. We analyze the advantages and disadvantages of each of these virtualization approaches and conclude with comments on future deployment of virtualization technologies to enhance mobile security.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

The advent of the HTC dream as the first commercial smartphone that deployed an Android stack was a watershed moment in the mobile telephony business. The release of an open-source mobile platform changed the dynamics of this industry in more than one way. Decrease in time to market, availability of third party applications running on Dalvik Virtual Machine (build once, deploy many times) and new commercialization opportunities have revolutionized the mobile gadget industry. Due to these ground-breaking developments, the mobile telephone, which till that point remained a simple communication device, transformed into an ubiquitous device that connected a person to the vast digital world. Mobile phones also saw themselves moving from being low performance, memory restricted devices to high powered, large memory systems. This led to a large proliferation of such devices in the consumer world and the subsequent coining of the term ‘smartphones’ to describe them. Recent introduction of tablets running on an Android stack have accelerated this proliferation and are seriously competing with traditional low-end desktops/laptops in the computational device business. A vast majority of this class of devices currently run on ARM-based hardware platforms. These ARM-based hardware platforms have also evolved from being low powered (300 MHz) devices to very high powered (1.2 GHz dual core) entities. These developments have made the ARM ISA the architecture of choice when it comes to mobile platforms. Today, ARM-based chipsets account for more than
ninety 90 % of smartphones worldwide. Smartphones have increasingly become the primary interface between a vast number of users and the Internet. Due to this, smartphones now are also being used to run applications that use/store sensitive information about the user. Banking applications, credit card purchases, personal information and emails are good examples of such applications. Due to these developments, security threats that previously were restricted to desktop environments are now increasingly being seen in the mobile environment.

1.1 Overview of Security Threats in Android Platform

Figure 1.1: Android Security threats overview (courtesy Google Inc.)
Android security threats can be broadly discussed (but not restricted to) under the following heads:

1. Rootkit attacks;
2. Permission escalations;
3. Transmission of private data surreptitiously by applications.

### 1.1.1 Rootkit Attacks

Rootkits are often deployed as loadable kernel modules (LKM) that infect the underlying Android kernel and compromise the integrity of the whole Android systems. They are notoriously difficult to detect and equally difficult to disinfect. There are many well publicized root kits that have been developed for the Android platform. These vary from simple proof of concept key logger rootkits to sophisticated rootkits that gain full root access on the device. Once these rootkits have compromised the Android kernel, all data that resides in the device is subject to a security threat and can be easily stolen and sent to a desired destination as text messages or Internet messages. They can also be used to make unauthorized phone calls, listen into user calls and use the use the GPS connected device for unauthorized location detection. Other rootkit attacks have also known to drain the battery very fast by initializing power exhaustive services like Bluetooth or GPS (Global Positioning System). Figure 1.1 highlights two of these issues.

### 1.1.2 Permission Escalations

The Android security model defines a set of permissions that applications get access to at the time of installation. The ability of any application to access any component or service is primarily dependent on the set of permissions that is granted to it by the user. These permissions are explicitly granted by the user at installation time. Since most users of smartphones are not technologically savvy, it is not very difficult for a malicious application to escalate unnecessary
permissions [14] [13]. Since, more often than not, the user will grant them, this will lead to a creation of security gap inadvertently by the user. This flaw can be used by malicious applications to snoop user data and steal it. Figure 1.2 depicts operation of permission escalations on an Android device [2].

1.1.3 Transmission of Private Data Surreptitiously by Applications

A recent trend that is being observed is the plethora of applications that are attempting to steal personal information from the user without user consent [21] [24]. Valid and seemingly innocuous applications have been found to transmit personal information about the user (name, email ID, GPS locations) without the user’s explicit knowledge. Many known applications of this sort exist both in Android and IOS (iPhone OS) ecosystems today.
1.2 ARM Virtualization and Mobile Security

Virtualization is quite a well-known concept in the desktop-enterprise domain. A lot of success has been achieved in the area of exploring virtualization as a security solution in the desktop computing environment. Mobile virtualization is a relatively new concept that attempts to utilize virtualization techniques in the mobile domain. The primary stumbling block to this approach has been the lack of virtualization support on mobile architectures. Notwithstanding this, we have seen a few novel concepts emerge in the area of mobile virtualization. Figure 1.3 depicts the platform architecture of a typical virtualization system.

Most mobile virtualization platforms typically attempt to isolate computing resources from applications/platforms that use them. This isolation provides a means to regulate the access
that the applications have to the computational resources, thus enabling malware detection capabilities. The isolation is achieved by inserting a virtual hypervisor layer between the operating system and the hardware. A guest operating system that runs on top of the hypervisor layer can access the underlying hardware via paravirtualized system call only. This enables the hypervisor layer to govern all the interactions that take place between operating systems (and the layers above it) and the hardware [12]. Many policies and checks can be implemented in the hypervisor layer to ensure that unauthorized access and data transmission are thwarted, thus ensuring that the systems in question are not compromised.

In the next few chapters, we discuss the concept of virtualization and paravirtualization in detail (Chapter 2), followed by a discussion of various mobile virtualization platforms currently available (Chapter 3, 4, 5) and concluding with a some lessons learnt and suggestions for future work in this area (Chapter 6).
Chapter 2

Virtualization

Virtualization is a well-established concept [15] [20]. It was initially developed in the 1960’s to address the shortcomings of third generation architectures and multiprogramming in the IBM (International Business Machines) operating system [18]. Over the years, the technology has matured to great extent and has found much use in enterprise/desktop computing systems [3]. Virtualization has found many applications, e.g., providing a secure computing platform, kernel debugging, server consolidation and support for multiple operating systems. In the last decade, virtualization has also penetrated the embedded domain, which has opened up exciting opportunities in that sector. Figure 2.1 depicts a typical organization of a virtualized system.

Figure 2.1: Virtualization Overview
Virtualization can be defined as a method that separates the services or a computing environment from its hardware components. This separation enables multiple operating environments to run on the same hardware, each isolated from the other one. Virtualization can also be viewed as a software framework that simulates one machine’s instructions on another machine [8]. Typically, virtualization services are implemented as a software layer that resides between the operating system(s) and the underlying hardware. This layer then receives requests from the operating system, executes them on the hardware before passing the results back to the operating system. Such a layer is generally referred to as Virtual Machine Monitor (VMM). In order to improve performance, only privileged instruction execution passes through the VMM layer. All non-privileged instructions are directly executed on hardware. These privileged instructions are typically instructions that access hardware components and change sensitive system data structures. Privileged instructions are supported in modern architectures by processor modes. The processor needs to be running in supervisor mode to be able to execute privileged instructions. Figure 2.2 and Table 2.1 depict the use of such modes for the x86 and the ARM architectures respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Privileged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abt</td>
<td>abort</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiq</td>
<td>Fast Interrupt Request</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Icq</td>
<td>Interrupt Request</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svc</td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sys</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Und</td>
<td>Undefined</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usr</td>
<td>User</td>
<td>no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1: Processor Modes In The ARM ISA
2.2 Different Types of Virtualization

System virtualization can viewed as a combination of CPU virtualization, memory virtualization and device virtualization.

2.3 CPU Virtualization

The x86 ISA is the dominant architecture used in enterprise/desktop environments today. As a result, it is very popular in the realm of virtualization. The x86 ISA has 4 different modes of operation (ring0 to ring3), each of which provide different levels of privileges while operating in that mode. Ring0 is the most privileged mode and is also referred to as the supervisor mode. Ring3 is the least privileged mode and is referred to as the user mode. Usually, the operating
system runs in ring0 whereas user programs run in ring3. This ensures that the user mode programs cannot affect overall system security even if the process context is compromised. It is this ring system of security that is utilized to implement virtualization on the x86 ISA. In this section we discuss different approaches to virtualization using the x86 ISA as reference architecture. The approaches are:

1. Full Virtualization,
2. Paravirtualization, and
3. Hardware-assisted virtualization.

### 2.4 Virtualization Types

![Figure 2.3: Full Virtualization](image)
2.4.1 Full Virtualization

Full virtualization refers to a technique where an unmodified guest operating system runs in a fully virtualized environment [9]. No changes to the guest operating system are required as it is unaware that it is running in a virtualized setup. This is typically achieved by combining techniques of binary translation and trap & emulate. Most privileged instructions of the x86 ISA, when run in the user mode, are trapped. The VMM uses this trap to catch the instruction before execution and emulates it in the VMM. Some privileged instructions that cannot be trapped in user mode are handled by a technique called binary translation [22]. In this technique, small blocks of instructions are translated into a new set of instructions that emulate the translated blocks effect. The user-level instructions are directly executed on hardware to improve performance. Figure 2.3 shows such a virtualization scheme.

2.4.2 Paravirtualization

Figure 2.4: Paravirtualization
Paravirtualization refers to a technique where the guest operating system is modified. Privileged instructions are replaced with calls to the hypervisor called hypercalls. The hypervisor layer provides a hypercall interface with services such as memory management, device usage and interrupt management to the guest. This ensures that all privileged mode activities are moved from the guest operating system to the hypervisor. Paravirtualization is usually faster than full virtualization. The performance gains are primarily achieved due to the lack of dynamic overheads associated with binary translation and trap & emulate. Since paravirtualization requires changes to the guest operating system code to avoid calls to privileged instructions, it obviates the need for trap & emulate and binary translation. Of course, this benefit comes with the additional cost of maintaining a modified guest operating system, but these costs are considered acceptable because paravirtualized systems are shown to deliver performance close to native systems. Figure 2.4 shows such a virtualization scheme.

2.4.3 Hardware-Assisted Virtualization

![Diagram of Hardware-Assisted Virtualization](image)

Figure 2.5: Hardware-Assisted Virtualization
Due to the popularity of virtualization in industry today, hardware manufacturers are providing virtualization support at the hardware level. Intel and AMD (Advanced Micro Devices) support virtualization technologies (VT-x and AMD-V, respectively), and ARM is expected to provide virtualization support in the upcoming CORTEX A-15 ISA [10]. Typically, these new architectures have a new mode of operation that is dedicated for the hypervisor. This mode, also referred to as the root mode [22], allows the VMM to run with a higher privilege than the supervisor mode of the processor. This concept can be understood by equating the ‘root mode’ to a privilege level ‘ring0 -1’. All the privileged instructions executed in the supervisor mode are automatically trapped and control is passed to the hypervisor executing in root mode. The state of the registers in the guest mode is saved for later context restoration. Hardware-assisted virtualization thus removes the need for binary translation, paravirtualization and trap & emulate. This technique is relatively new and is considered expensive because of the cost involved in frequently switching contexts for hypervisor execution. Figure 2.5 shows such a virtualization scheme.

![Memory Virtualization Diagram]

Figure 2.6: Memory Virtualization
2.5 Memory Virtualization

Typically, modern operating systems provide virtual memory support, which enables processes to run on virtual memory abstractions. The virtual-to-physical memory translation is usually performed by the MMU (Memory Management Unit). Such mappings are calculated in the TLB (Translation Look-aside Buffer). With virtualization, an extra layer of translation is needed. The MMU has to be virtualized to support the guest operating system. The guest operating system now maintains the mapping of virtual memory to the guest physical memory but has no control over the actual physical memory. It is the responsibility of the VMM to actually control the mappings of different guest physical memory to the actual hardware memory. The VMM also utilizes the concept of shadow page tables to speed up the translations from guest virtual addresses to actual physical addresses. Figure 2.6 pictorially depicts this concept.

2.6 Mobile Virtualization and Virtualization for ARM

Virtualization in embedded systems has emerged fairly recently. With the growth of complexity in both hardware and software systems used in embedded systems today, virtualization is increasingly becoming a valuable proposition. Typical benefits of virtualization on embedded systems include (but are not restricted to) the following topics:

1. Security through Virtualization,
2. Maximize the utilization of CPU cores, and
3. Multiple Operating Systems running on the same core.

Figure 2.7 depicts these three benefits of mobile virtualization.

2.6.1 Security through Virtualization

Virtualization can provide a secure and isolated computing environment for critical components of the embedded software stack. Services like the voice call stack, messaging, etc., on a smart-
phone can run on a isolated virtual machine, thus protecting them from any security breach that occurs in other components.

2.6.2 Maximizing the Utilization of the Cpu Cores Available

Due to the divergent nature of the communication and application stack of today’s smartphones (the communication stack is timing-sensitive and, the application stack is feature-rich), it is common to run these two services on separate CPU cores. The communication stack is run on a core hosting a real time operating system (e.g., REX) while the application stack is run on a separate core hosting a feature rich operating system (e.g., Android). Virtualization can obviate the need for two separate cores by running two different operating systems on a same core in a secure and isolated manner.
2.6.3 Multiple Operating Systems Running on the Same Core

Another advantage of running multiple operating systems on the same core is that it allows for separate user profiles on the same hardware. It is a common sight to see people carry multiple mobile phones for work and personal use. With virtualization, this can be achieved on a single piece of hardware with the different operating systems running concurrently and in isolation from one other. This significantly reduces the device clutter due to multiple phones and also reduces the cost of maintaining multiple mobile devices.

2.7 Challenges for Virtualization on ARM

Today, ARM devices own approximately 90% percent of the market share in the mobile telephone market segment and consequently are the single most important processor architecture deployed in smartphones. In this section we discuss the challenges encountered when deploying virtualization on current generation ARM devices. The primary issue that hinders virtualization on ARM is the way sensitive instructions of its ISA are executed. Sensitive instructions are a class of instructions in the ISA that can change the mode of operation of the processor or access specific information regarding the state of various hardware resources in the system. Such instructions thus have the capability of superseding the hypervisor. Since some of these sensitive instructions do not trap when they are executed in user mode, the trap & emulate method used to implement full virtualization is not achievable in current ARM architectures. Current methods of binary translation and other efforts to achieve full virtualization on ARM are not mature and stable yet. Due to this, paravirtualization is the most reliable and popular method of virtualization on ARM architectures.
Chapter 3

OKL4

In the previous chapter, we saw how the ubiquity of smartphones has made it the latest target for malware and other security-related attacks. We also explored virtualization and its potential use to build a reliable and secure framework that helps to minimize these attacks. In this chapter, we focus on one of the virtualization-based mobile solutions currently available. We explore OKL4, one of the available mobile virtualization platforms. It is a Microkernel-based embedded hypervisor, called a microvisor, which is well suited for mobile hardware platforms. OKL4 currently supports a variety of architectures from X86 to ARM. This make it a good choice as a hypervisor because most mobile architectures today run on different flavors of the ARM architecture. The hardware platform used was the HTC Dream mobile phone, which has a Qualcomm MSM7201A-based chipset as the underlying hardware platform. It was decided to port OKLinux, which is a paravirtualized version of Linux, on the HTC (High Tech Computer Corp.) Dream target platform (Figure 3.1). The goal was to port a paravirtualized guest operating system running on top of OKL4. This would enable us to decouple the Operating system and the hardware layer. Such architecture provides us with an effective mechanism to intercept all accesses to the underlying hardware, thus enabling us to determine if hardware operations being performed are malicious or not. Such a design paradigm enables us to have a monitoring framework that is fully independent of the guest operating system being monitored.
Figure 3.1: HTC Dream Platform Architecture
The inherent insulation of the monitoring framework makes sure that the monitor can also detect if the core services of the guest operating system (kernel, etc.) have been compromised.

3.1 The Microkernel Approach to Virtualization

The concept of microkernels dates back to the 1980s [23] and typically refers to a minimalist approach of operating system design. This design approach typically mandates that only a minimum set of the most essential software constructs necessary to build a system be the part of the operating system kernel. This approach is fundamentally different from the monolithic kernel designs as seen in commercially popular operating systems like Linux. The software constructs that are provided by the Microkernel are then utilized by elements outside of the kernel to implement various services needed by the operating system. The typical kernel software constructs that are provided are interprocess communications, virtual memory and shared memory support. The primary goal of such a design is to reduce the number of lines of code within the kernel, also known as the trusted computing base or the TCB. A smaller TCB results in less vulnerability and fewer bugs in the operating system kernel, thus making it more secure. In a Microkernel-based design, there is no difference between application code and system software. Most system services, e.g., device drivers, are implemented as services outside the kernel, and the Microkernel does not differentiate between these services and application code. Such a design paradigm is essentially well-suited from an embedded system perspective because traditionally the difference between applications and system services in embedded systems is not very well defined.

3.2 Virtualization with OKL4

OKL4 is a product of Open Kernel Labs (OKLabs), which is a startup company in collaboration with UNSW (University of New South Wales) and NICTA (National Information Communication Technology Australia). OKL4 is a commercial virtualization solution using the Microkernel
approach. Figure 3.2 depicts the architecture of a OKL4 based system. It is based on the well-known Pistachio Microkernel. Due to this Microkernel approach to design, OKL4 has the following inherent advantages:

1. The guest operating system is executed in a non-privileged mode.
2. It has a very highly performaning IPC service available.
3. Provides efficient sharing of system resources between guests.
4. It is open source.
5. It has a small memory footprint.
6. It ensures isolation and fault tolerance between guests.

3.3 Software Constructs in OKL4

OKL4 provides a rich set of software constructs to the end user, which can be utilized to build a robust and isolated system. In a paravirtualized system, the guest OS is modified to use these
constructs to run the guest in a less privileged mode. In this section, we briefly discuss the various software constructs that are currently available in OKL4.

### 3.3.1 Threads

Threads are contexts of execution within an OKL4 environment. System calls provided by OKL4 enable the creation and deletion of these threads. These threads can assume different states similar to Linux processes.

### 3.3.2 Addresses spaces

OKL4 provides system calls to create and associate virtual address spaces to threads. Address spaces also associate virtual addresses to addresses of memory mapped devices (ports, I/O, etc.). These system calls can be used to create, initialize and associate memory mapped devices to threads of execution via virtual mappings, and these mappings are controlled by the microvisor.

### 3.3.3 Capabilities

Capabilities are akin to permissions. These capabilities enable access control management. Threads have capabilities associated with them that constrain their access to various system resources. It can be thought of as a key or a token that allows access to a resource. Figure 3.3 depicts the thread capability model used in OKL4.

### 3.3.4 Data Types/Data Fields and Data Constructors

OKL4 provides these constructs to characterize different objects residing in the memory or in virtual registers. These constructs are used to define the encoding of objects in term of a sequence of bits an occasionally to impose additional restrictions such a range numeric values allowed in a particular field [5].
3.3.5 Thread Scheduler

OKL4 has a pre-emptive scheduler that divides the execution time between different threads of execution. A round robin algorithm is used to pre-emptively schedule various threads running on OKL4.

3.3.6 Inter Process Communication

OKL4 provides an effective method of communication between threads called IPC. Threads communicate using messages on the IPC. This communication is monitored by the OKL4 Microkernel to ensure that such communication does not violate any security policies which are
implemented in the system.

### 3.3.7 Mutex

OKL4 provides mutexes to manage resource contention among various threads.

### 3.3.8 Virtual Registers

Every thread of execution has access to a number of virtual registers it can utilize.

### 3.4 OKL4 Porting Efforts

#### 3.4.1 OkAndroid and OKL4 merging

OKL4 follows a Microkernel based design. It provides an API (Application Programming Interface) that acts as a layer of abstraction over the underlying architecture. The API layers also serve as service providers. The services are broadly classified into timing, memory and control services. This software architecture provides a robust programming environment that enables applications to run in a secure and isolated space. Also, platform specific code in OKL4 is separated out from the platform independent part. This ensures that the changes required for porting OKL4 to various platforms remain restricted to a minimal number of source files. The first part of our implementation required us to merge the changes made in the OkAndroid source with the vanilla OKL4-3.0 source. The merging process involved adding a platform specific source folder (in our case, the platform was called Trout), and changing many of the configuration scripts, Makefiles and SConscripts inside OKL4 to tailor it to compile for our hardware platform. Many of the scripts that were earlier written for Android platform (components like bionic and binder IPC), had to be changed for a Linux specific build. One of the other challenges was that OKL4 relied on older versions of the components of the Linux build environment (perl, gcc, etc.). This required extensive changes to our build environment to suit OKL4. Once, these changes were done, we were ready to port OKLinux on top of OKL4.
3.4.2 OKLinux

OKLinux software architecture is very similar to a traditional Linux 2.6 kernel. The L4-specific code resides mainly in the arch/l4 folder inside the kernel. Since OKLinux has not been ported onto the MSM7200A platform yet, we had to add the mach-msm folder inside arch/l4/sys-arm folder. The basic version of MSM-specific code was taken from the linux-next branch of the open source kernel tree. Once we added this, we had to make many changes in the mach-msm folder before we could attempt a build. The main changes arose due to the fact the different versions of the Linux kernel were being merged (OKLinux is 2.6.24 and linux-next was 2.6.30). Due to this, there were a lot of differences in the kernel APIs (mainly in the sections were memory and page tables were getting initialized) and header files used by the MSM specific code. We had to resolve these issues by modifying all headers and associated APIs in the MSM code to match the requirements of OKLinux. After these changes were done, we also had to change most of the Kconfig and Makefiles to incorporate the MSM source into the kernel build structure. The next change was in the kernel folder of arch/l4. Since a new architecture was added, we had to incorporate the interrupt and timer initialization calls of the new architecture in the various setup and timer-related sources of OKLinux. Another important change affected the L4 configuration files and required us to properly define all the correct environment variables needed by OKLinux. Once these changes were incorporated and intermediate errors debugged, compiling and linking the OKLinux kernel for the HTC dream target succeeded.

3.4.3 Problems and Issues faced in this Process

After compiling the sources for the OKL4/OkAndroid port on the HTC G1, we tried to build the boot images for OKL4. The OKL4 system uses a tool called Elfweaver, which is used to merge the various ELF files into a single ELF file. This ELF file is then used by the various OKL4 scripts to create a final bootable image. Elfweaver allows the user to edit a set of configuration files to specify/modify various attributes (memory start /end address, entry point, etc.) of the resultant ELF file. It was during this process that we faced many problems with moving...
forward with OKL4. Image creation eventually failed because of a lack of support for virtual
driver for this specific hardware. Although OKL4 is open source, most of the sources that
affect smartphone-specific platforms such as the MSM platform, have not yet been released.
The image creation process fails because essential information needed by the image forming tool
is missing. This leads to errors such as physical memory pool indices going out of range. Since
these issues could not be resolved without proper driver support, we could not proceed further
create a working image of OKL4 running a virtualized version of Android on actual hardware.
One of the main issues with this porting effort was that the OKL4 Microkernel version 3.0 is
not a kernel targeted for virtualization. It is designed to be a virtualization solution instead.
Therefore, any upgrade of the guest operating system is a challenging task because it needs
exhaustive hardware and OKL4-kernel support.
Thus far, in the previous chapters, we have focused on virtualization technologies that take the microkernel approach. In this chapter, we will take a look at KVM (Kernel Virtual
Machine), another virtualization technology, which takes a slightly different approach to virtualization. KVM is a virtualization technology that is tied to the Linux kernel. It first appeared in the Linux 2.6.20 distribution as a loadable kernel module. Figure 4.1 gives us an overview of KVM.

The primary differentiating factor between KVM and other virtualization techniques is the rather simplistic implementation approach used by KVM. In virtualization technologies today, the virtual machine monitor largely implements all major services like the scheduler, memory manager and timers. This results in a fairly large and complicated code base. KVM, on the other hand, leverages the existing functionality in the Linux kernel and thus is comparatively smaller and much less complex. It utilizes existing Linux code for services like scheduling, memory management and timers. Another inherent advantage of KVM is that it uses hardware virtualization techniques in modern processor architectures. Hence, KVM does not carry the general performance overheads of the software virtualization techniques like paravirtualization. These advantages make KVM an easier solution to deploy and maintain and is a reason why KVM is becoming quite popular in the virtualization market.

The primary drawback of KVM is that currently it only supports modern architectures that are virtualizable (x86 and AMD). Due to this, KVM is not the primary choice of virtualization in the mobile ecosystem. In the next few sections, we will discuss KVM/ARM, which is an approach to use KVM virtualization techniques on ARM-based architectures. We will study the novel approach of light-weight paravirtualization, KVM’s approach to virtualization on ARM architectures and the current state of implementation of KVM/ARM.

4.1 KVM vs. Microkernels: A Closer Look

Microkernel based virtualization technology (OKL4, L4-Fiasco) have a VMM that resides directly on top of the hardware resources. All operating systems then run on top of the VMM. All hardware control mechanisms like CPU control, scheduling and memory management are usually implemented in the hypervisor itself. The guest operating system does not directly
control the hardware. Each guest operating system that runs in such a setup is a separate cell. It is thus isolated from the other guests. In the KVM based approach, the host is not a hypervisor per say, but happens to be a Linux kernel running directly on top of the hardware. The hypervisor is implemented as a kernel module and thus accesses the hardware through the Linux kernel interface. The guest operating systems runs as a process on top of the host kernel. These can be controlled by typical Linux commands like kill, ps, etc. Different guest operating system instances are viewed as separate processes under the host kernel. Isolation amongst various guest operating systems is achieved by both KVM and Microkernel based approaches. In Microkernel-based and KVM-based techniques, the hypervisor does have direct access to the hardware, but in the case of KVM, the access is through the host kernel interface as opposed to the microkernel where the hypervisor sits directly on top of the hardware. Figure 4.2 explores these differences.
4.2 The KVM/ARM Virtualization Approach

In this section we will discuss the following:

1. KVM/ARM attempts to overcome the issues with Virtualization on ARM based devices and,

2. The approach taken to both CPU and memory virtualization.

4.2.1 CPU Virtualization in KVM/ARM

Any processor architecture is deemed to be virtualizable if all the sensitive instructions in its ISA are also privileged. A sensitive instruction is typically defined as an instruction whose effect is dependent on the processor’s mode of operation. A privileged instruction is defined as an instruction that traps if it is executed in an incorrect mode. Therefore, when all instructions that are sensitive are also privileged, then virtualization can be implemented using the trap & emulate concept. The primary problem with the ARM architecture is that not all of the sensitive instructions defined by its ISA are privileged. Such instructions primarily are special loads and stores, status registers (CSPR and SPSR), some special data processing instructions and memory access instructions. These instructions may behave differently, be ignored or may be unpredictable when executed in user mode. These instructions do not trap when they are executed in user mode. Due to this problem, the ARM instruction set architecture is inherently not virtualizable.

**Light-Weight Virtualization:** KVM/ARM tries to solve the problems of virtualization in the ARM architecture by using light-weight paravirtualization. This technique involves making some changes in the guest OS kernel to take care of sensitive non-privileged instructions. All other user space instructions can be directly executed on hardware while the privileged instructions are taken care of by trap & emulate method. The changes to the guest operating system are made by an automated script that replaces the necessary instructions with instructions that will trap. These are then handled in the interrupt handler and the required functionality is
Figure 4.3: CPU Virtualization

emulated. The automated script is based on using regular expressions to find such instructions and the SWI instruction of the ARM ISA as a replacement. The information required to emulate is encoded (instruction replaced, operands) in this instruction’s data field and is used later in the interrupt handler. The changes made to the guest operating system are fully automated and thus do not need intensive knowledge of the GUEST OS internals. Figure 4.3 shows all the instructions of the ARM ISA that are of interest for virtualization.

4.2.2 Memory Virtualization

Memory virtualization is needed because we both the guest OS and host should be allowed to have full access to the entire virtual memory space without interfering with each others operation. Moreover, the host should always retain full control of the actual physical memory and it should not let the guests access the physical memory directly. This restriction requires the creation of one extra layer of memory virtualization in KVM/ARM. The extra layer is termed guest physical address space. Another new term, ‘Machine Memory’, is introduced to
refer to the actual physical memory of the system. Figure 4.4 illustrates this 4-level memory management scheme. The mapping of guest virtual addresses to guest physical addresses is handled by the memory manager of the guest operating system. The guest physical addresses are then mapped onto the virtual memory space of the host kernel. Then, the host kernel’s virtual memory manager maps these virtual addresses to actual physical (machine) address via the host MMU.

**Shadow Tables and Shared Pages:** KVM/ARM manages the shadow page tables in the host kernel. These tables map the guest virtual addresses to actual physical addresses to speed up the translation. Figure 4.4 also illustrates the concept of shadow tables. KVM/ARM establishes this mapping on demand. Changes in the guest virtual page table must be accurately mapped onto the shadow page table. This is taken care of by KVM/ARM because whenever the guest changes the page table, it has to invalidate the TLB entry associated with that page. As this is a privileged operation, it traps to the KVM/ARM module where appropriate changes to the shadow page table are made. Every time a new mapping is created in the shadow page table,
two entries in the table are initialized. One of them handles exceptions and another handles
the access API to the shared page. The ARM architecture mandates that any switches between
virtual address spaces are always done using a page that is mapped onto the same virtual
address in both addresses spaces. This shared page must always be hidden from the guest. If
the guest tries to access the page, it will trap, and then KVM/ARM will change the mapping
of the shared page to a different virtual address in both host and guest operating systems. The
page fault should then be handled in a normal fashion. KVM/ARM typically uses reserved
memory regions to map the shared page for Linux guests.

4.3 Implementation Status

Currently, the source code available for KVM/ARM does not boot fully. After building the
source code, we tried to boot the guest using QEMU emulation, but the boot process fails due
to CPU resets. It is known that the same issue occurs when KVM/ARM is run on the Texas
Instruments Beagle Board platform. Furthermore, the authors have also announced that they
are not supporting ARMv5-based platforms any longer and are concentrating their efforts on
porting KVM/ARM on to the ARM CORTEX15 platform, which is the first ARM platform
with hardware virtualization support. Reference boards for this platform will be available in
late 2011, and the authors of KVM/ARM claim that the port to the CORTEX15 architecture
should be ready by then.
Chapter 5

Virtualization with L4

In this chapter, we explore L4, which is one of the most well-known microkernels in academia available today. L4, in many ways, was a key reason why the idea of microkernels resurfaced after languishing for a while due to performance drawbacks of many first generation microkernels like Mach [16]. After generating a lot of interest initially, due to their emphasis on security and a small TCB, it was realized that the design of the first generation microkernels was fundamentally flawed. The primary drawback of earlier microkernels like Mach and others was that of an unacceptably high communication overhead due to a concept called in-kernel message buffering. More than a 30% reduction in performance was observed in the MkLinux project, which was an attempt to run paravirtualized Linux on top of Mach. The L4 project addressed many of the performance bottlenecks from the design of first generation microkernels, and subsequently demonstrated a paravirtualized Linux running on top of L4 which had runtime performances comparable to native Linux. The L4 microkernel was proposed by Jochen Liedtke who first developed the L3 and subsequently the L4 microkernel [19]. Jochen Liedtke describes the key guiding principle behind microkernels thusly: “A concept is tolerated inside the microkernel only if moving it outside the kernel, i.e., permitting competing implementations, would prevent the implementation of the system’s required functionality”. L4 faithfully follows this key principle by ensuring that only a minimum set of essential services are run inside the kernel, and the
rest of the services are implemented in form of servers outside the kernel. L4’s significant improvement to overall system performance coupled with the key benefits of isolation, security and resource reusability that L4 provides, has rekindled the interest in microkernels. These properties also make L4 an excellent choice as a virtualization host for mobile platforms. In the following sections, we take a closer look at the various attributes of L4 and describe our attempts to paravirtualized the Android mobile operating system with L4 as a hypervisor.

5.1 L4: Specifications

Following the strict microkernel design principles, L4 exposes a very limited set of software constructs that can be utilized to implement any number of services operating in user space.

Addresses spaces are provided by L4 to enforce isolation in an L4 subsystem. Tasks in L4 run in these isolated address spaces.

Tasks are basic entities that contain resources. Typically, they consist of an address space and a set of associated capabilities.

A Factory is an entity used by applications to create new objects kernel capabilities. Applications need to access these factories to create these capabilities, required to extend functionality.

IPC (Inter Processes Communication) gates are used to set up a secure communication mechanism between tasks.

IRQ (Interrupt Response Queue) in L4 enables applications to access hardware interrupts. Virtual interrupts are made available to enable signaling amongst tasks.

A scheduler in L4 implements a scheduling policy to govern time sharing amongst tasks. Scheduler is also responsible for assigning threads to CPUs.

VCONS provide an in-kernel debugging console for applications.

5.2 Components of the L4 Software Architecture

In this section, we discuss the various components of the L4 architecture [11].
5.2.1 Fiasaco Microkernel

The Fiasco microkernel runs at the heart of the L4 system. It is a minimalist microkernel providing all the basic services that cannot be provided outside of the kernel. It is a pre-emptive real time kernel that supports hard priorities. This makes it an ideal microkernel for embedded real-time systems.

5.2.2 L4 Runtime Environment (L4RE)

L4RE provides a basic set of runtime libraries and services that make application development easier on the L4. Basic services include memory management, program loading, paging, I/O (Input/Output) management, device management and GUI multiplexing. L4RE also provides functional support in the form of a C library, Pthreads, C++ libraries, virtual file system infrastructure and libsdl (low-level multimedia support).

5.2.3 L4Linux

L4Linux is a Linux kernel that has been paravirtualized to run on top of the L4 Microkernel [17]. We discuss L4Linux in greater detail in the next section.

5.2.4 L4 Services

The services that run on the L4 microkernel and provide the basic environment for the application to operate in are as follows:

MOE is the root task of L4. It is the first to be brought up and is responsible for bootstrapping and service multiplexing. It multiplexes the services like scheduler, memory, CPU and VCONS mentioned in previous sections. MOE is also responsible for bringing up the first program in L4, the init process called NED.

NED is responsible for configuring and starting up the full L4 system. This responsibility was moved to NED to make MOE less complicated. NED supports the script-based start-up of the L4 system. The scripting language used is LUA [1].
I/O is the device and platform manager in L4. It provides a centralized management of peripherals and resources. I/O provides the abstractions for device accesses by applications and also delegates device accesses among applications.

MAG provides secure multiplexing of input hardware and graphics amongst applications.

FB-DRV provides the low level access and initialization of various graphics hardware on a system.

RTC is the multiplexer for the real time clock hardware on the platform.

### 5.3 L4Linux/L4Android on L4 Microkernel

L4Linux is the paravirtualized version of the Linux operating system that runs on the L4 environment. L4Linux runs like any other application in L4, implying it runs only in the user mode. All privileged instructions from the Linux kernel were replaced with hypercalls to the L4 microkernel. These changes to Linux are restricted to the architecture-specific parts of the Linux kernel. The architecture-independent parts of Linux remain unchanged. The changes are primarily related to the following areas of the Linux kernel.

#### 5.3.1 Startup

Since L4Linux operates as a user-level application on top of L4, it does not require initializing the hardware and interacting with the BIOS (Basic Input Output System). Because of these reasons, codes dealing with these activities have been bypassed in L4Linux.

#### 5.3.2 Device Management

Low-level hardware interfaces, such as interrupt handling and I/O, are modified and an interrupt controller driver is used to handle these interrupts in an L4 specific manner via the IPC mechanism. Code interacting with I/O is modified to now interact with the I/O manager in the microkernel for device access.
5.3.3 Low Level Memory Management

Since application programs in L4 are not allowed to access the page table directly, the Linux kernel is modified to avoid this. Instead, the L4 hypercalls are used.

5.3.4 Physical Memory

Some device drivers need to know the physical memory by their real addresses. This information is needed by those device drivers that communicate with devices via DMA (Direct Memory Access). Since L4Linux does not run on the hardware directly, this poses a problem. This is resolved by modifying the Linux interface that the drivers use to map virtual addresses to physical addresses.

5.4 L4Android

The popular Android operating system for smartphones by Google uses a slightly modified Linux kernel at its core. It is a widely popular smartphone operating system that has revolutionized the mobile phone market. The Android operating system is open source and freely available for download. This makes it a very compelling choice for academic research on smartphones technology. L4Android is an attempt to run this popular Android software stack on top of L4Linux to achieve virtualization in smartphones. Since privileged instruction usage is restricted to the kernel only, there are no virtualization-specific changes that need to be made to the Android stack in order to run it on the L4 microkernel. The changes needed are already existent in the L4Linux kernel. Hence, moving the L4Linux changes to the Android kernel should be sufficient to ensure proper execution of Android on L4 Microkernel.

5.5 L4Android/L4Linux Installation Efforts

This section describes in detail our efforts to install L4Android on an ARM-based board.
5.5.1 Target Hardware: ARM PB11MPCORE

The PB11MPCORE is a development board provided by ARM. It is an integrated development board based on ARM SMP (Symmetric multi-processor). It has an 8MB flash memory to hold FPGA (Field Programmable Gate Array) images. The board has features such as 256 MB RAM (Random Access Memory) and an Ethernet controller IC. A detailed description of the features of this board can be found in the Emulation Baseboard User Guide [6]. Figure 5.1 depicts the PB11MPCORE hardware architecture.
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Figure 5.1: PB11MPCORE Hardware Architecture (courtesy ARM)
5.5.2 Android on PB11MPCORE

The first challenge during the board installation effort was to build and run Android natively on the PB11MPCORE board. Android releases do not have support for this board. Our first task was to locate and bring together a set of Android patches that would enable us to boot Android on this board. The main challenge during this task was that, at the time of our attempts to boot this board, there was no single source for all the required patches. We had to search for these patches online and test to them see which of them worked. Moreover, most of the patches available were spread across different versions of Android. Some patches were against Android version 2.1 (also known as Eclair) and some of them were against Android version 2.2 (also known as Froyo). We had to manually modify the source files and move all the patches to Android version 2.2 (Froyo). The patches used for this process fall broadly under these subsystems of Android.

1. Patches for Bionic:
   These patches include changes required for the light-weight implementation of the C library in Android known as Bionic.

2. Patches for Build:
   These patches include changes to the build subsystem to accommodate the build process for our board.

3. Patches for Dalvik:
   Dalvik is the Virtual machine running within the Android subsystem. It provides an execution environment for all the Android applications that user chooses to run on the device. The Dalvik patches included changes to the Dalvik subsystem code pertaining to our board.

4. Patches for Framework:
   These patches include the required changes to the various frameworks in Android (UI
framework, telephony framework, etc.).

5. Patches for System Core:

These patches pertain to the changes needed in the core system libraries of Android.

6. Patches for Device:

Some patches were needed in the device folder of the Android subsystem to provide device (PB11MPCORE) details for the image build process.

The primary purpose of these patches is to amend the TLS (Thread Local Storage) so that it uses the kernel helper functions. They also add the required support for the ARMV6K architecture, which is the architecture used in the PB11MPCORE board. Once these patches were applied, we were able to completely compile and build Android for PB11MPCORE. The next step in this process was to boot Android on this board. We decided to use the NFS (Network File System) boot process in conjunction with the UBOOT (universal boot loader) program to achieve this. A more detailed description of this process can be found in Appendix 1. The booting was successful and we managed to get the idle screen on Android after the boot was completed. The problem we faced at this point was that the screen was locked and since there was no mouse support available on Android, we had no way to unlock the screen. The solution for this was using an application available for free download on the web, which could be automatically launched after the boot process to ensure that the screen remained unlocked. The problem with this app was that it needs the system to be rooted, which is usually not the case in a regular Android build. This was overcome by a typical rooting binary available on the web called Rangeagainstthecage [7]. This was a pre-built ARM binary, which we successfully used to root the system and then unlock the screen. After this, we were able to use the Android operating system on the ARM PB11MPCORE board. The keyboard was used to send the control inputs and we tested various activities like launching the browser, launching other pre-installed applications like the clock and the calendar. We also tested installing applications using Android application installation files (.apk), which was successful.
Subsequently, The ARM Linux community has released a set of patches for Android on PB11MPCORE recently. These can be found at http://www.linux-arm.org/LinuxKernel/LinuxAndroidPlatform/.

5.5.3 L4Linux on PB11MPCORE

The source files for L4Linux were available for download from the TU Dresden website [4], which maintains the latest versions of L4Linux for various processor architectures. After initial problems with tool version mismatches, etc., we were able to successfully compile and create L4Linux images for our board. We were also able to boot the L4Linux images on our development board using UBOOT and we successfully started a bash shell with a command prompt.

5.5.4 L4Android on PB11MPCORE

![Diagram of Android on PB11MPCORE Architecture](image)

Figure 5.2: Android on PB11MPCORE Architecture
The next step in the process of booting Android on L4 microkernel was to patch the L4Linux kernel to incorporate the required changes for Android. We managed to do this and successfully build the L4Android kernel for PB11MPCORE. Figure 5.2 shows the architectural overview of L4Android on PB11MPCORE.

5.5.5 Current Status

We are currently trying to debug some baud rate mismatch issues that we are facing in the initial boot-up of the L4Android kernel. The problem stems from using UBOOT which requires a different baud rate for its operations than the L4Android kernel. This is the last step in the process of booting a virtualized flavor of Android on a ARM based board.
Chapter 6

Conclusion

6.1 Feasibility of Today’s Mobile Virtualization Technologies

After exploring three available and largely open-source virtualization technologies, we come to the conclusion that although this technology is here to stay, tedious work still needs to be performed for most of them before they can be utilized as an open platform for mobile virtualization. Firstly, OKL4, which is one of the first microkernel-based virtualization technologies we studied, claims that they already have their technology running successfully in many smartphones today. Since the relevant source code is not in the public domain, adopting OKL4 as a platform for virtualization research is far-fetched as of today.

Secondly, KVM/ARM is currently not mature for hardware deployment. Our efforts at trying to boot KVM/ARM even on a simulator level was not successful due to the many bugs in the current code. Moreover, the authors have told us that they are currently concentrating on porting the code to ARM CORTEXA15 based devices and are not concentrating on non-hardware virtualizable ARM architectures for now. With a KVM/ARM port for ARM CORTEXA15 and an appropriate board with the CORTEXA15 processor, one can possibly revisit KVM/ARM and evaluate it again. Until then, KVM/ARM in its current states is not very useful as a
platform for mobile virtualization research.
Thirdly, we look at L4 and its feasibility as a platform for mobile virtualization on ARM devices. This platform is the most promising amongst the once we tried out. There are a number of reasons for this. L4 is a fully open source software and is available in its entirety for downloads form the TU Dresden website. There is active support and development of this platform in the open domain and there is a lot of assistance in terms of mailing lists, documentation etc. Due to this, we were able to successfully boot L4Linux and also covered a lot of distance in our attempts to boot virtualized Android on our development board.

6.2 Currently Working Aspects of Our Virtualization Effort

We were able to successfully build, deploy and run L4Linux, a paravirtualized version of the Linux kernel on our development board. We were also able to boot a widely popular mobile operating system on our board after patching the source code with the required changes. The changes in L4Linux to support Android booting are also complete.

6.3 Work Needed for Full Deployment

Currently, we are facing problems with the baud rate mismatching between UBOOT and the patched L4Linux kernel. Once this is resolved, a successful boot of a virtualized Android is possible on an ARM based device (PB11MPCORE). Apart from us, TU Dresden is also working to resolve this issue and some progress is expected soon.

6.4 Future Work

The possibility of future work in this area is immense as mobile virtualization is still in its nascent stages. Apart from the projects discussed in this thesis, XEN/ARM, VMWare and others are actively working on creating virtualization platforms for mobile architectures. With
the introduction of full virtualization support in ARM-based architectures with ARM COR-TEXA15 based CPUs, virtualization should be ready to capture the mobile world. One of the major applications of virtualization is seen in enterprise level applications for mobile devices. With many corporations adopting mobile device like smartphones and tablets to enhance productivity, information security is becoming vitally important. With geographic security not being enforceable anymore, security aspects of virtualization increasingly seem to be the preferred solution to enable protection of data. Information security is of vital importance even to non-corporate mobile users. With substantial financial and other critical data now residing on mobile phones, virtualization gives us a powerful framework to develop security solutions for mobile operating systems. Also, with the shrinking of space between personal and work computing, virtualization helps in reducing the device clutter. Virtualization ensures that the boundaries between work and personal computing can be maintained with different flavors of operating systems running on a single device. Apart from this, virtualization also ensures that the turnaround time and costs of deploying a mobile operating system is reduced because the hypervisor layer takes care of most of the hardware management issues while the operating system can provide better infrastructure services to applications. Thus, in time, mobile virtualization may become a vitally important aspect of software in the mobile domain. Mobile virtualization, like its desktop counterpart, has the potential to deliver transformational solutions to the mobile ecosystem, effects of which may become visible very soon. Signs of this can already be seen in form of activity in the startup world, with many new players already jostling for space with established names. This is only going to intensify with proliferation and enthusiastic adoption of mobile technologies by the populace at large. We hope that this will lead to innovative virtualization-based solutions to the many complex issues that the mobile domain faces today.
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APPENDIX
Appendix A

Steps to Build Android

A.1 Configuring and building Android and L4Linux for PB11MPCORE

This appendix describes in detail the steps needed to build and boot a complete android system running on top a virtualized L4Linux kernel with L4 microkernel as the hypervisor.

1. Configure and Build Android

   In the first step, we download build and compile the android subsystem. The instructions for doing can be found at http://source.android.com/source/initializing.html. Please be sure to follow the step precisely specially with reference to the correct tool versions. Any mistakes here will lead to a lot of notorious bugs later.

2. Configure Linux kernel for ARM

   In this step we configure and build the Linux kernel for Android. Firstly, download a copy of Linux kernel version 2.6.33 which has been patched for ARM. This can be found in the CVS repository of the systems lab at Dept of Computer Science, NCSU. Additionally, newer version of the kernel will be progressively available at http://linux-arm.org/.

3. Building and Flashing the Linux kernel image into the development board.
Build this kernel with the following command:

make ARCH=arm CROSS_COMPILE=arm-none-linux-gnueabi- uImage

The uImage we get at this step should be flashed into the PB11MPCORE after copying them on to the memory card. Power on the board and in the command prompt and switch to flash menu and burn the image using the following commands:

flash
write binary UIMAGE
list images

Copy the boot address associated with our uImage which will be the output of the last command above.

4. Patch Android for PB11MPCORE

In this step we will patch and build the android sources that we downloaded in step 1. The patches can be downloaded into a separate from the CVS repositories of the Systems Research Laboratory at Dept. of Computer Science, NCSU. The patches to be downloaded are the following:

AndroidV2.2-arm-bionic.patch
AndroidV2.2-arm-build.patch
AndroidV2.2-arm-dalvik.patch
AndroidV2.2-arm-frameworks-base.patch
AndroidV2.2-arm-system-core.patch
AndroidV2.2-device-arm.tar.bz2

The patches need to go to the folder names associated with the patch. For instance, to apply the bionic patch, go to bionic folder inside the android source directory and run the following command:

`git apply path-to-AndroidV2.2-arm-bionic.patch`

Similarly, apply all the patches in the appropriate folders. Remember, the last patch, AndroidV2.2-device-arm.tar.bz2 is a tarball that needs to be uncompressed in the vendors
directory.

5. Building Android for PB11MPCORE

In the topmost level of the Android source directory, build Android for PB11MPcore using the following command:

make PRODUCT-ARMv6k-eng

This will build the Android operating system for PB11MPCORE board.

6. NFS Boot of Android

To boot Android via NFS, do the following:

cp ANDROID_ROOT/out/target/product/BOARD_NAME/root/*
/var/nfs4/armv7-board-fs

cp ANDROID_ROOT/out/target/product/BOARD_NAME/system/*
/var/nfs4/armv7-board-fs/system

Comment out the following in the init.rc file in your source files:

mount rootfs rootfs /ro remount
mount yaffs mtd@system /system
mount yaffs2 mtd@system /system ro remount
mount yaffs2 mtd@userdata /data nosuid nodev
mount yaffs2 mtd@cache /cache nosuid nodev

Change the following file to reflect the proper permissions for the nfs folder

/srv/nfs4/android-fs *(rw,sync,no_root_squash,no_subtree_check)

First connect the serial output of the board to a Linux based PC. In a terminal prompt, run minicom. In the Boot monitor command prompt on the board, run the following command:

flash run UBOOTRV

Uboot command prompt will be seen in the minicom output. In the UBOOT command prompt, run the following command with the address you copied in the step 3:
bootm address

Android will boot up and the idle screen will be displayed in the screen connected to the board. You will also get a command prompt into Android in the minicom terminal. Download the 'Rageagainstthecage' binary from the CVS repositories of the Systems Research Laboratory at Dept. of Computer Science, NCSU. Run this binary file to gain root access into the Android system.

7. Building Patched L4Linux for Android on PB11MPCORE.

Download and build the L4Linux subsystem following the instructions given in the following link:


Once you have built and configured it for the PB11MPCORE board after following the instructions in the above link, we can proceed to change L4Linux to incorporate the Android patches and build the L4Linux again. For this replace the L4Linux sub-folder in your L4 build folder with the patched L4Linux sources available in the CVS repository of the Systems Research Laboratory at Dept. of Computer Science, NCSU. After replacing the folder, build the L4 subsystem once again. After the build, this patched L4Linux kernel can be boot according to the step 3 and step 6.