ABSTRACT

SONI, PUNIT. Design and Analysis of Processor-controlled Switch Mode Power Supply (SMPS) for Embedded Systems. (Under the direction of Dr. Alexander Dean.)

This work aims at designing a hardware and software platform for controlling a multi-channel power supply using an off-the-shelf microcontroller. The hardware is designed such that it provides a flexible control over the output voltage. We demonstrate various methods of controlling multi-channel power supply using a microcontroller to allow an embedded system to be partitioned in multiple voltage domains. This enables each domain to run at its optimal voltage, enabling significant power and energy savings. We provide design methods which make the power converters efficient and very inexpensive. We experimented with some innovative techniques of controlling SMPS using software algorithms. This demonstrates various trade-offs among quality of voltage regulation, power supply efficiency and computational overhead. This work provides building blocks for a processor controlled power supply that can be integrated in complex real-time systems. It also takes a look at dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) aspects of embedded systems. We have designed APIs for controlling the customized power supply and to perform dynamic frequency scaling in Renesas M16C microcontroller. We also assembled a demonstration system with LCD/touchscreen, a Bluetooth wireless interface and SD card interface for data storage. We include M16C APIs for interface with these peripherals.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

Power consumption is a key issue in the design of computing systems today. While battery driven systems need to face the always increasing demand of performance with a longer battery life, the high performance systems contend with issues of heating\cite{25}. Rapidly advancing technologies like energy scavenging, ultra-capacitor and wireless energy transmission have increased the potential market for low power, energy efficient embedded systems.

Modern embedded systems are becoming more intelligent in terms of power and energy requirements. System level software algorithms help reducing the power and energy consumption. For these algorithms to work effectively, processor requires to have a flexible control over the power supply. To develop efficient algorithms for low level real-time embedded systems, a hardware power-supply platform needs to be designed. Low level embedded systems require this platform to be flexible as well as cost effective. In this work, we have designed such low-cost power supply platform that supports three independent voltage domains. It can be controlled flexibly using an off-the shelf microcontroller. We have also designed a software API for Renesas M16C microcontroller.

1.1 Motivation

Power ratings of alternative green energy sources are very low compared to the traditional power generators. For example, photovoltaic cells, thermoelectric generators, RFID, vibration energy and wireless power transfer based energy sources usually supply power in $\mu$W to low mW range. Recent advancements in low power embedded microprocessor
designs have reduced the energy cost of computing. The MSP430 microcontroller from Texas Instruments is based on “ultra-low-power” design that works at 0.9V and 1MHz\cite{24}. Many low-end embedded systems do not have continuous computing needs. Power and energy can be optimized by scaling voltage and frequency according to the computing requirements. Peripherals used in the systems are also not used at all time. Having a flexible control mechanism to shutdown unused components when not needed can reduce power consumption to a greater extent. This can be achieved using a flexible and scalable power supply with multiple voltage domains.

1.2 Multiple voltage domains

Embedded systems usually have the processor interfaced with multiple peripheral devices. These devices include wireless communication links such as Bluetooth, Zigbee, Wi-Fi, GPS module, display modules such as backlit LCDs, a keypad and/or touch-screen input module, indicator LEDs, flash memory storage devices such as an SD card, audio/video interface, various analog and digital sensors including accelerometers, pressure sensors, temperature sensors, infrared sensors, ambient light sensors, compass etc. Some of these modules may or may not be included in all systems, but each modern embedded system has more than one such peripherals. Instead of using a single supply net and voltage to power the entire system, one of those sophisticated measures is to assign separate power nets to different blocks and step the voltage down wherever the performance allows. This power domain technique is commonly referred to as multi-voltage design\cite{19}.

Most of the peripherals in an embedded system do not work at lower voltages as compared to the processor. For example, LCD, LEDs, flash memory. Communication interfaces such as RS-232, RF communication modules, SD cards etc. require specific operating voltages. These voltages are usually defined by the technology used in the device design. Some legacy commercial components can only operate at high voltages. Alternatively, such legacy high voltage components may be significantly cheaper than the low voltage counterparts.

To operate each component of the embedded system at its optimal voltage, the power supply needs to generate multiple voltages simultaneously. Using a high voltage power supply with linear voltage regulator is an inexpensive and simple solution. But, this solution is inefficient because the pass transistor of the linear regulator dissipates the
Table 1.1: Operating voltages for various devices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device</th>
<th>Operating voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16-bit microcontroller M16C</td>
<td>2.7 - 5V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16x2 monochrome text LCD</td>
<td>5V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPS navigation module</td>
<td>3.3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Compass</td>
<td>3.3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometer</td>
<td>3.3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>320x240 TFT LCD color with touch screen</td>
<td>3.3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TFT LCD backlight LEDs</td>
<td>9-12V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bluetooth wireless module</td>
<td>3.3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD card interface</td>
<td>3.3V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UART serial communication</td>
<td>5 - 9V</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

potentially saved power in heat\(^9\). To solve this problem, an efficient power converter is required. Switch Mode Power Supplies (SMPS) are the most commonly used and efficient solution to convert one voltage level to another. SMPS can be used to generate higher output voltages from lower input voltages. This is not possible using linear voltage regulators.

1.3 Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS)

The power consumption in semiconductor devices such as microprocessors can be divided in two parts; Dynamic power and Static power.

\[
P_{\text{total}} = P_{\text{dynamic}} + P_{\text{static}}
\]

Dynamic power results from the switching activity of the transistors. This power is dissipated in form of heat during the active processing time\(^{22}\)\(^{27}\). Dynamic power is given by following equation\(^{23}\).

\[
P_{\text{dynamic}} = \alpha CV_{dd}^2f
\]

Where \(\alpha\) is the switching probability, \(C\) is the total transistor gate capacitance of
the entire semiconductor module, $V_{dd}$ is the supply voltage, and $f$ is the clock frequency. Hence, the dynamic power has a quadratic relationship with the supply voltage and linear relationship with the operating frequency.

The static power component comes from the leakage current flowing through the transistors while they are off. Static power can be approximated using following equation.

$$P_{\text{static}} = S_p V_{dd}^2$$

Here, $S_p$ is the constant with units of conductance that represents the relationship between total idle current flow and the supply voltage $V_{dd}$.

Both static and dynamic power components have quadratic relationship with the supply voltage. This leads to an obvious observation that reducing the supply voltage can help in reducing the overall power consumption. However, there is a relationship between transistor switching delay $t_d$ and the operating voltage $V_{dd}$.

$$t_d \propto \frac{V_{dd}}{V_{dd} - V_{th}}$$

Here, $V_{th}$ is the gate threshold voltage of the transistor. This delay restricts the maximum operating frequency of the processor. The relationship between the operating voltage and maximum operating frequency is;

$$f_{\text{max}} = K_p \left(\frac{V_{dd} - V_{th}}{V_{dd}}\right)^2$$

This equation shows that the operating frequency is limited by the available supply voltage\textsuperscript{[23]}. Hence, to achieve the power savings using voltage reduction, the operating frequency needs to be adjusted accordingly. As reducing the operating frequency lowers the computing speed, the power savings comes at the cost of reduced performance. This technique is known as Dynamic Voltage and Frequency Scaling (DVFS).

The processor in an embedded system does not work at highest performance level all the time. The average utilization of the CPU is usually below 50%. Software approaches to speculate the performance requirement have been implemented. One such example is a cpufreq subsystem integrated in Linux Kernel 2.6.12. It includes algorithms known as power governors. Some of these governors such as “On-Demand” and “Conservative” determine the CPU workload and scale the operating frequency accordingly\textsuperscript{[21]}.
1.4 Design of a DVFS system

The basic blocks of a DVFS system are

1. Variable Speed Processor
2. Power supply capable of generating desired voltages for the processor
3. Power supply control circuit driven by the processor
4. Feedback circuit to sense the supply voltage

![Diagram of a DVFS system]

Figure 1.1: Basic blocks of a DVFS system

The software running on the processor senses the workload and performance requirements. It makes decisions about the frequency scaling. The software triggers the frequency transition in the variable speed processor. At this time, a control signal is generated to signal the power supply to generate optimum voltage according to frequency. Supply voltage is monitored using feedback circuit. This makes it a closed loop control system. The processor will keep the control signal activated until the supply voltage is not in the desired range.

The DVFS system basically implements the mechanisms for dynamic frequency scaling and dynamic voltage scaling. The dynamic frequency scaling mechanism is implemented inside the microprocessor itself. Hence, a DVFS system requires a processor that runs
at more than one clock speed and the speed can be changed at run time. Usually the
modern microprocessors and microcontrollers have the clock generation circuit that can be
configured in software. Rest of the parts in the figure deals with dynamic voltage scaling.
DVS basically needs a controllable power supply and a feedback-control mechanism. A
switch mode power supply (SMPS) is used in DVS system to generate the supply voltage.

We have used M16C microcontroller to implement a DVFS system. This microcon-
troller has a in-built clock generation circuit that supports 8 different operating speeds.
The power-supply designed to power the MCU is voltage-scalable. The PWM control
signal from the MCU controls the power supply to generated desired voltage. An API
has been designed to make use of this technique in various applications.

1.5 Organization of this document

In chapter 2, we’ll look at some related work in the area of system level power management
in embedded systems by taking look at various low-power design platforms available in
the market. In chapter 3, we’ll go through the basics of Switch Mode Power Supplies
(SMPS). Here, we’ll look at the design process of processor controlled SMPS. In chapter 4,
the details regarding low-power operating modes for M16C microcontroller are described.
In chapters 5, we’ll discuss the experiments with the multi-channel power supply on a
test embedded system designed with M16C microcontroller and some peripherals. In
chapter 6, we’ll analyze the results of the experiments. In chapter 7, I’ll conclude with
the summary and discuss about the suggestions for future work in this area.
Chapter 2

Related work

We studied some of the existing solutions available in the market that support control of SMPS using a processor. First one is a low-end microcontroller with integrated boost converter that provides low-voltage operation with limited control over the output voltage. Second is a dedicated power control system designed on chip along with main application processor. It provides flexible control of SMPS using differential PWM. Third is a very flexible power controller with multiple functions and it is targeted towards high-end embedded systems. We analyzed the pros and cons of these solutions in terms of flexibility, efficiency and cost-effectiveness.

2.1 Atmel AVR with integrated boost converter

TinyAVR series of microcontrollers by Atmel are low cost, low power devices. They are optimized for very low voltage operation and available in miniature packages. ATtiny43U is a 20-pin device that can be operated at voltages as low as 0.7V. This is possible because of an integrated boost converter that is supplied on chip\(^3\).

The integrated boost converter of ATtiny43U provides the microcontroller and peripherals with a fixed supply voltage, generated from an external supply of lower voltage. The boost converter is a switching type, step-up regulator that requires some external components to be complete. This includes an external inductor, a diode and some bypass capacitors. Figure 2.1 shows a simple application circuit of this boost converter. The inductor is connected between the VBAT and the LSW pins, and the Schottky diode between LSW and VCC pins. In addition, an input capacitor and an external bypass...
capacitor from the VCC pin to the GND are required\(^{[20]}\).

The switching PWM signal for the boost converter is generated inside the chip. Figure 2.2 shows a graph of boost converter efficiency vs. load current when using various diodes in circuit. It shows the efficiency of the converter between 70\% to 80\% at load current from 5mA to 30mA\(^{[3]}\).

### 2.1.1 Boost converter operating modes in ATtiny43U

The boost converter in ATtiny43U has three main modes of operation; Stop, Start and Active.

1. **Stop Mode** Converter enters the stop mode when the battery voltage VBAT is less than minimum starting voltage for the converter; VSTART. Stop mode can also be triggered by software instruction. In this mode, the boost converter is not operating and consumes minimum current.

2. **Start Mode** If the boost converter is enabled, when the battery voltage is above VSTART and the output voltage VCC is less than the threshold voltage VBOOST, the boost converter is in start mode. In this mode, the boost converter switches
the inductor current using high frequency 50% duty cycle control signal to raise the output voltage as quickly as possible.

3. **Active Mode** When both battery voltage $V_{BAT}$ and output voltage $V_{CC}$ are sufficiently high (more than $V_{START}$ and $V_{BOOST}$ respectively), the boost converter operates in active regulated mode. In this mode the boost converter keeps the output voltage regulated using PWM control. Software can configure the boost converter to bypass PWM control and run at full duty cycle all time for a faster response time.

### 2.1.2 Software control of boost converter in ATtiny43U

In ATtiny43U, the boost converter is an independent hardware module that does not require any software interaction to operate. Although, some features of the boost converter are configurable using software. Basically, the software can select the operating mode of boost converter among Stop mode, Active regulated mode or Active full duty cycle (FDC) mode. The battery voltage can be monitored by connecting it to the on-chip ADC pin, but the value of the output voltage $V_{CC}$ cannot be controlled using software.
2.1.3 Evaluation of ATtiny43U

ATtiny43U provides a low cost and low power design option for certain applications which require moderate performance. The downside of this option is the lack of flexibility and software control over the operating voltage. This makes it impossible to achieve dynamic voltage scaling using on-chip converter. Also, this system does not provide any support for multiple voltage domains. Hence, the boost converter can only be used to power the microcontroller. So, another power supply mechanism is necessary for peripheral devices.

2.2 PSoC devices from Cypress Semiconductors

This is an example of a more robust and sophisticated solution for low-power embedded system design. PSoC stands for Programmable System on Chip. Cypress provides three varieties of PSoC products; PSoC1, PSoC3 and PSoC5.

2.2.1 PSoC architecture

The PSoC architecture consists of a CPU subsystem with integrated SRAM, flash and EEPROM. Along with this it contains various digital and analog “building blocks” like ADCs, PWM channels, DACs, UARTs, I2C controller, USB module, analog filters, Op-amps, comparators, precision voltage references, mixers etc. PSoC also contains a programmable routing and interconnect fabric to route signals among various blocks and port pins. It enables the system designer to utilize the blocks more efficiently and select functions of port pins. The PSoC1 family devices have M8C based 8-bit microcontroller core(4 MIPS) for low end applications. The PSoC3 family devices have 8051 based 8 or 16 bit core(33 MIPS) for mid-range applications. The PSoC5 family devices have 32 bit ARM Cortex M3 CPU (100 MIPS) for high-end applications. Figure 2.3 shows the overview of the architecture of PSoC devices.

Along with the blocks mentioned above, the PSoC3 and PSoC5 devices contain a power-supply sub-system with programmable boost converter. The boost converter in PSoC is an independent hardware block. This boost converter accepts the input voltage VBAT (0.5V to 5.5V) and generates an output voltage VBOOST (1.8V to 5.5V). The output voltage of the boost converter VBOOST can be controlled by the user program. This boost converter can provide load current IBOOST up to 50mA$^{[13][11]}$. 
When the application runs at low battery voltages (i.e. 0.5V), the boost converter can be used to generate the MCU supply voltage by connecting the VBOOST pin to VDD. The user control over the VBOOST voltage enables implementation of DVS in PSOC3 and PSOC5 based systems. Optionally, the boost converter can also be used to drive other peripherals operating at 1.8 to 5.5V; such as TFT LCD display, GPS, RF communication modules etc.
2.2.2 PowerPSoC devices

One other device in PSoC family is PowerPSoC. The PowerPSoC is an embedded power controller that builds up on M8C based PSoC1 devices. It is used in switch mode high power applications such as high brightness LEDs and other lighting applications. It contains four PWM controller channels. Each channel provides closed loop hysteric control with PWM output to drive an on-chip power FET capable of driving 1A at 32V. The control limits can be programmed using two DACs.

2.2.3 Evaluation of PSoC

PSoC is a very sophisticated option for designing low power embedded systems from lower to the higher end. The integrated SMPS provided on the chip is programmable and flexible enough to utilize dynamic voltage and frequency scaling. As there is only one boost converter provided on the chip, it can either be used for DVFS or for one or more external peripheral devices running at same voltage (from 1.8V to 5.5V). So, if external peripheral devices running at different voltage domains are used in the application, another power-supply is required. Also, the PSoC devices put limit on the system designer for the choice of CPU to be used in the system. For any application that need a boost converter, 8051 and ARM Cortex M3 are the only options for CPU. Also, PSoC families of devices are not designed for very low cost applications. PSoC3 chips cost from $5 to $12 and
PSOC5 chips cost from $15 to $30.

2.3 Digital Power Controllers from Silicon Labs

The Si825x series of devices from Silicon Labs are dedicated control devices used for sophisticated digital power control. This is the most flexible solution that targets high performance embedded applications with significant load current transients\(^{[24]}\). It provides a digital solution using DSP filter engine and fast ADC to accurately control the SMPS output using multi-phase DPWM. This eliminates the need for an analog error amplifier.

![Figure 2.5: Digital SMPS controller in Si825x\(^{[14]}\)](image)

2.3.1 Operation of Si825x controllers

Figure 2.5 shows the block diagram of the digital SMPS controller in Si825x. A non-isolated DC-DC converter can be controlled using Si825x by connecting the output voltage through a resistive divider to the VSENSE pin and using the DPWM channel to drive the gate of the transistor used in DC-DC converter. The differential ADC and digital filter engine performs same function as an analog error amplifier and associated RC compensation network. The ADC digitizes the difference between scaled output voltage VSENSE and the programmable reference voltage VREF provided by the REFDAC. The ADC output signal is frequency compensated in digital domain. The resulting output of
the filter is a digital code that represents the compensated duty cycle ratio $u(n)$. The digital PWM generator (DPWM) directly varies the output timing the external power-supply gate drivers based on the value of $u(n)$ until the difference between the VSENSE and VREF is zero. This entire digital control loop has an update rate of 10MHz\cite{14}.

Sensing circuit inside the power supply stages (current transformer, sense amplifier etc.) can provide a signal representative of inductor or transformer current. This signal connects to the pulse-by-pulse current-limiting hardware in the Si825x to the IPK input pin. This current-limiting circuitry is similar to that found in a voltage mode analog PWM. It contains a fast analog comparator and a programmable leading-edge blanking circuit to prevent unwanted tripping of the current-sensing circuitry on the leading edge of the current pulse. The threshold for the current limiting circuit can be digitally programmed. Current limiting occurs when the sensed current exceeds the programmed threshold. When this occurs, the ongoing active portions of the PWM outputs are terminated. A programmable OCP counter keeps track of the number of consecutive current limit cycles and automatically shuts the supply down when the accumulated number of limit cycles exceeds the programmed maximum. This mechanism provides a very fast over-current protection\cite{14}.

Along with this dedicated power controller, the Si825x contains an 8051 compatible 50MIPS core with RAM, flash ROM and common microcontroller peripherals. For high performance applications, this microcontroller can be used as a communication medium between the main application processor and the digital power controller.

### 2.3.2 Evaluation of Si825x

Si825x devices are efficient and very flexible solution for low power applications. It also provides a very fast control update which is suitable for real time applications. As Si825x targets high performance applications, it is not a very low cost solution. As it requires a full external SMPS hardware it may not be suitable for small, low-power, low cost and non-performance-bound embedded systems. Also, it contains the control loop for one voltage domain. So, if the system uses multiple voltage domains, multiple digital controller chips are needed.
Chapter 3

Design of processor controlled SMPS

3.1 Overview of Switch Mode Power Supply (SMPS)

SMPS is an efficient solution for providing power to various battery operated systems. SMPS uses a switching element working at high frequency to provide the power to the load. Using switching topologies battery voltage can be scaled to other higher or lower load voltages in an efficient manner. Other solution being a linear regulator incurs waste of power due to heat dissipation\(^9\). Following are various DC power-supply topologies of SMPS.

1. **Boost Converter** for low voltage to high voltage conversion

2. **Buck Converter** for high voltage to low voltage conversion

3. **Split-Pi or buck-boost converter** input can be converted to lower or higher output voltage

   In our discussion, we will look at boost converter topology only. The boost converter converts a lower input voltage level to a higher output voltage. Along with conversion, the SMPS in boost mode also regulates the output voltage. So, if required, an AC input can also be used\(^5\).

### 3.1.1 Boost converter

The boost converter uses at least two semiconductor switches. In asynchronous switching mode, it uses a diode and a transistor. In synchronous switching mode, it uses two
transistors. Transistor used in boost converter can be a BJT, MOSFET or IGBT.

Figure 3.1 shows the asynchronous mode boost converter. Switching element $S$ is a transistor that is switched by the signal generated by a control circuit. The control signal is a pulse signal sometimes with a varying duty cycle; i.e. PWM. The element $R$ in the figure 3.1 represents the load.

### 3.1.2 Boost converter operation

During the on-cycle of control signal the switch $S$ remains closed and during off-cycle, switch becomes open. When the switch $S$ is closed, current flows through the inductor $L$ and builds up the magnetic field and EMF inside the inductor. During this time the diode remains in reverse bias (off). When the switch is closed, the diode becomes forward biased and current flows through it. This builds up charge in capacitor. Capacitor gets charged at voltage equal to the sum of input voltage and the EMF. The capacitor provides the power at higher voltage to the load. The frequency and duty cycle of the control signal is maintained such that, before the capacitor gets discharged, the next on-cycle charges it up. The capacitor also acts as a filter for the voltage that is supplied to the load. This kind of operation of boost converter is called *Continuous mode operation*.

If the energy provided to the load in one cycle is more than the energy used by the load, we can use *Burst mode operation*. In this mode, the control signal is provided till the capacitor gets charged to a particular voltage and then control signal is turned off. When the capacitor drops below a particular threshold voltage, the control signal starts
again. This mode has two advantages. First, this mode is energy efficient as the input (battery) current flows for a limited amount of time (burst). Second, when control signal is turned off, the power supply does not generate any switching noise. This noise-free time period can be utilized for some noise-sensitive tasks by the system\textsuperscript{[30]}.

![SMPS with feedback](image)

Figure 3.2: SMPS with feedback

To generate the desired control signal, a feedback mechanism is implemented. The feedback circuit senses the output voltage and the control circuit generates the control signal for the switch. The feedback circuit can be implemented using comparators or analog to digital converters. The control circuit can be implemented as a dedicated hardware or using a processor that runs the control logic in software.

### 3.2 SMPS design

In this work, our goal is to design a low cost multi-channel power supply with three independent voltage domains. This power supply should work at input voltage of 3V. All three channels of the power-supply should have independent feedback and control circuits. These channels should be controlled using logic level PWM signal provided from the microcontroller.

#### 3.2.1 Boost converter design

Design of the boost converter requires a number of design decisions and the component choices.
• What switching frequency to use?
• Constant duty cycle or PWM?
• Synchronous or asynchronous switching mode?
• BJT or MOSFET as switching element?
• Schottky or normal switching diode?
• Value and type of the inductor?
• Value and type of the filter capacitor?

All of these design considerations affect the efficiency of the boost converter and hence the power efficiency of the overall system.

3.2.2 Choice of switching element

BJT (bipolar junction transistor) and MOSFET (Metal Oxide Semiconductor Field Effect transistor) are two design choices for boost converter switching element. The BJT is a current controlled device. The switching state (on/off) is determined by the input switching current to the base of the BJT. MOSFET is a voltage controlled device. The switching state of the MOSFET depends on the input voltage applied at the gate of the MOSFET. We decided to use a MOSFET over BJT for this project due to following reasons. MOSFETs are thermally stable and have better switching bandwidth. It is intuitive to use voltage to trigger switches in digital circuits rather than current. The MOSFET driver circuits are easily available, simple and take less power compared to BJT driver circuits.

There are choices available among various MOSFETs. The requirements are following. In this project, the battery voltage available is 3V. Also the M16C microcontroller operates under 3.3V. So, the MOSFET required being switchable at sub-TTL threshold voltages. In this category, I considered two MOSFETs for testing; BS170 and ZVN4210. BS170 had gate threshold voltage in the range of 0.8V to 3V\textsuperscript{[7]}. For ZVN4210, the gate threshold voltage is in the range of 0.8V to 2.4V\textsuperscript{[15]}. The efficiency of the boost converter with BS170 and ZVN4210 were compared. The circuit with ZVN4210 turned out to be more efficient. Close inspection of the transfer characteristics of the MOSFETs revealed that
ZVN4210 can drive more drain current at gate voltage of 2.5V. High current capacity is required to switch the inductor current to ground when the switch is ON. Hence, ZVN4210 turned out to be a reasonable choice.

### 3.2.3 Control signal for the boost converter

An oscillator circuit is required to generate the control signal for the boost converter. There are many design options about the oscillator that can generate the switching signal for the MOSFET of boost converter. We examined following four options for this project.

1. **LT1303 oscillator and feedback IC** This IC includes the oscillator the feedback circuit required for a switching voltage regulator. However, this IC increases the cost of the system and does not provide the required flexibility in terms of oscillator frequency, duty cycle and the feedback output. Also, it provides less control options for the output voltage.

2. **Op-Amp in comparator mode** Another option is to use an operational amplifier in comparator mode to generate oscillations for the control signal\textsuperscript{[28]}. For low battery voltage based systems this option is not the best one. Op-Amp based oscillators will not work reliably at low voltages and might break the integrity of the system.

3. **Astable multivibrator** A simple oscillator can be built using two transistors, two capacitors and two resistors. This oscillator can be controlled using a single GPIO pin of the microcontroller. This is a robust solution even at low input voltages. This oscillator does not output PWM, and the frequency is determined by the R and C values, so it cannot be configured in software.

4. **MCU timer as an oscillator** M16C microcontroller has 9 hardware timers available on chip. All of these timers can output a pulse to an MCU pin on overflow or underflow. Five of these timers provide PWM output\textsuperscript{[10]}. The pulse-width and the frequency of the timers can be specified in software. This means we can change the frequency and/or pulse width of the oscillator signal even while the system is running. This provides excellent flexibility and control over the SMPS. The downside of this option is that the MCU output pin cannot provide more than 10mA of current\textsuperscript{[10]}.
In this project, we intended to explore various design options in processor controlled SMPS. Hence, the oscillator to provide control signal was chosen such that it provides maximum amount of flexibility. We decided to use the timer out signal from MCU as SMPS control signal. The timer is configured in PWM mode. The software running in the MCU can change the frequency and pulse width of the signal when required. Also, the timer output can be switch on and off by the software. This provides a flexible control of SMPS without using any extra hardware.

3.2.4 Selection of the switching diode

The output voltage of the boost converter is given by following equation\[^{[6]}\].

\[ V_o = \frac{V_i - V_T D}{1 - D} - V_D \]

Here, \( V_o \) is the output voltage, \( V_i \) is the input voltage, \( V_T \) is the transistor voltage drop and \( V_D \) is the diode forward voltage drop. \( D \) is the value of duty cycle of the control signal.

This shows that the diode voltage drop limits the value of output voltage for a given input voltage. Hence, the boost converter requires a switching diode with minimum forward voltage possible. Schottky diodes have very low forward drop voltage. In this circuit, we are using 95SQ015 Schottky diode. While a normal silicon diode have a forward voltage drop of 0.6V, the 95SQ15 has a voltage drop of 0.25V when operating at 1A\[^{[2]}\].

3.2.5 Inductor and Capacitor selection

The inductor in an ideal boost converter has no resistance. Hence, the power loss in the ideal boost converter is zero. For a non-ideal converter the efficiency depends on many factors. One of them is the inductor resistance. In ideal converter when the duty cycle \( D \) is zero, the voltage conversion ratio \( M(D) = \frac{V_o}{V_i} \) is 1. When \( D \) approaches 1, the conversion ratio approaches infinity. However, in practical case, even with a small inductor resistance \( R_L \), \( M(D) \) approaches zero as \( D \) approaches 1. Figure 3.3 shows the efficiency of a practical boost converter with varying duty cycle. It shows the characteristic at various values inductor resistance \( R_L \) normalized by load resistance \( R \).

The inductor we selected for this boost converter is 220\( \mu \)H 18R224C. It has current capacity of 900mA with DC resistance of 230m\( \Omega \)\[^{[1]}\]. The M16C microcontroller can
generate PWM signal in discrete frequencies up to 94KHz. By experimentation, we found most efficient working of the boost converter happens at 47KHz with 220µH. The capacitor in the boost converter is used to filter the output voltage and decrease the amount of ripple present in it. We experimented certain capacitor values to find out the optimum. We used 470µF for channel 1 and 100µF for channel 2 and 3 for comparison.

### 3.2.6 Gate driver for MOSFET

The gate capacitance of the switching MOSFET controls the rise time of the switching pulse. If the switching current is low, the time required to charge the gate capacitor would be high. This increases the rise time of the switching pulse. So, the transition from “off” state of the transistor to the “on” state takes longer time. This results in switching losses in the transistor, which in turn decreases the overall efficiency of the boost converter. The M16C microcontroller output pin provides 10mA of the current. To increase the switching current, we designed a low-cost gate driver circuit for ZVN4210 MOSFET...
using general-purpose M14049 CMOS inverting buffers. Input current of M14049 buffer is 10mA and output current is 40mA. Arrangement of the buffers shown in the figure 3.4 boosts the 10mA input signal from timer out pin to 160mA switching control signal. We incorporated this gate driver in the channel 1 of the SMPS to compare the efficiencies with channel 2 and 3 (Explained in Section 6.1).

### 3.3 Design of feedback circuits

To control the SMPS using digital controller (MCU) a feedback in digital form is required. An analog to digital converter (ADC) can be used to convert the output voltage in digital format and connecting it to the microcontroller. Most microcontrollers have inbuilt ADC circuit on chip. M16C microcontroller has 27 ADC channels on chip. The voltage detection range of the M16C microcontroller is from 0V to VREF(ADC). the VREF voltage of the MCU is connected to the VCC. Hence, the microcontroller can only read ADC voltages lower than the VCC voltage. Voltages generated by the SMPS channels can be higher than the VCC to drive LCD displays and other high voltage devices. Hence, the output voltage of the SMPS channel is divided using voltage divider to generate a fraction of output voltage. As shown in Figure 3.5, 40kΩ and 10kΩ resistors are used to divide the output voltage by 5. This divided voltage is used as a feedback signal to the MCU ADC pin. Software in the MCU multiplies the ADC reading by 5 to compensate
for the divider. As the MCU VCC itself is generated by the SMPS, the ADC VREF is not constant and hence the ADC does not provide absolute reading. To overcome this problem, an external voltage reference of 1.182V is used and read using ADC. Final voltage readings are compensated in software using the constant voltage reference to generate absolute voltage values. All three channels of the SMPS are equipped with the ADC based feedback circuits.
In channel 1 of the SMPS, we added one more feedback mechanism using comparator based threshold detector. This feedback circuit is a hardware threshold detector that generates logic signals when a high or low threshold is detected. The threshold values can be adjusted using two potentiometers. For this circuit a dual-comparator IC with in-built voltage reference LTC1442 is used. This IC contains a voltage reference regulated at 1.182V\textsuperscript{[16]} (this is the same voltage reference used in the ADC feedback). This reference is connected to the two comparators on positive and negative inputs respectively. The output voltage of channel 1 is divided using two potentiometers to generate two threshold values. These threshold values are connected to the LTC1442 comparators. The comparators generate digital signals when threshold values are detected. These logic signals are connected to the interrupt lines of the MCU to detect and process. The final schematic of the multi-channel SMPS is shown on Page 25.
Figure 3.7: Multi-channel power supply schematic
Chapter 4

Power management in M16C/62P

For this project, we are using M16C/62P microcontroller from Renesas to control the multi-channel power supply. “QSK62p plus” is the development board from BNS solutions that provides interface for programming and prototyping using M16C/62P microcontroller. In M16C, power conservation is accomplished three ways. The CPU clock can operate from the high speed or low speed crystal at various divided speeds. WAIT mode is the next feature that allows power to be conserved, while still letting the peripherals function. STOP mode is the lowest power conserving mode, drawing the least amount of current, yet allowing the device to wake up and go back to full speed.

4.1 Power-saving idle modes in M16C

The M16C microcontroller provides two idle modes; wait mode and stop mode. Wait mode stops the CPU while keeping the option of using peripheral functions open. Stop mode is more aggressive in terms of power saving as it stops all peripheral function clocks besides the CPU and brings the system to a complete halt.

4.1.1 WAIT mode

Wait mode is entered by executing the “wait” instruction in the program. The wait mode is defined as follows:\[10\],

- CPU clock signal is stopped, hence CPU stops processing instructions
- Peripheral functions which do not use sub-clock are stopped (optional)
• Peripheral functions using sub-clock or external clock remain active
• System can be restored to running state by an interrupt or device reset

In this mode, the system goes in a low power state, while being able to quickly restore the previous state.

4.1.2 Stop Mode

In M16C microcontroller, stop mode implies that all the oscillations generated by clock generation circuits are stopped. Stop mode is entered by setting the “all clock stop” bit in CM1 register. The stop mode is defined as follows:

• CPU clock signal is stopped, hence CPU stops processing instructions
• All peripheral functions which do not use external clock are stopped
• System can be restored by a peripheral function interrupt (which use external clock), Non-Maskable Interrupt (NMI) or a device reset.

In both wait mode and stop mode, the RAM is powered and keeps the current state of the program. All the external port-pins remain at the state which was there before entering power save mode. In both modes, the interrupt used to restore the system must be setup and enabled at proper priority before entering the idle mode to make sure about the wake up.

4.2 Dynamic Frequency Scaling in M16C

The M16C CPU can be operated using one of the following clock generation circuits. These circuits also provide clock to peripheral functions; i.e. UARTs, Timers, ADC, DAC etc.

1. Main Clock
   • External crystal connected at XIN and XOUT pins
   • QSK62P+ has a 6MHz crystal
   • It can be used as CPU clock and peripheral function clock
2. On-chip oscillator clock
   - 1Mhz on-chip oscillator circuit
   - It is used when external oscillator is not present

3. PLL Clock
   - Generated using main clock and PLL frequency multiplier
   - It generates 2x, 4x and 8x multiples of frequency of main clock
   - M16C can be operated at maximum of 24MHz (4x mode in QSK62P+)
   - It can be used as CPU clock and peripheral function clock

4. Sub-clock
   - Usually 32.768 kHz crystal connected at XCIN and XCOUT pins
   - Can be used as CPU clock in low power mode when main clock and PLL clocks are turned off
   - Sub-clock divided by 32 can be used as peripheral function clock to provide precise timings

Main clock and PLL clock generates the undivided clock frequency signal called F1. F1 is passed through a divider to generate various divided frequency signals like F2, F4, F8, F16 and F32 (F1 divided by 2, 4, 8 etc.). These signals can be used to drive CPU and/or peripheral functions.

Dynamic power consumed by the CPU depends on the operating frequency. Ability to run the CPU at lower frequency provides an opportunity to conserve power in two ways. First, the current consumed by the CPU is less when operated at lower frequency. Second, the CPU can be operated at lower voltage when it is operated at lower frequency (Dynamic Voltage Scaling). The M16C CPU provides special function registers (SFR) to control the clock generation circuit. These registers can be modified at run-time to change the CPU clock frequency. System clock control registers (CM0 to CM2) are used for this purpose.

With 6MHz main clock crystal and 32.768 kHz sub-clock crystal we can drive the M16C CPU at following frequencies. Following table shows the CPU operating frequency and the current consumed by the QSK62P+ board. The measurements are done with a simple program toggling LEDs on board.
Table 4.1: QSK62P+ board current at various operating frequencies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPU frequency</th>
<th>QSK board current at 3.3V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24 MHz</td>
<td>60mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 MHz</td>
<td>55mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 MHz</td>
<td>52.6mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 MHz</td>
<td>47.8mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 MHz</td>
<td>50mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 KHz</td>
<td>45.1mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>375k Hz</td>
<td>43.3mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32768 Hz</td>
<td>44mA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Implementing DFS in M16C

Renesas provided an application note on using power saving modes and dynamic frequency scaling. Based on that idea, we developed an API to simplify the use of DFS in M16C applications. Along with the dynamic frequency scaling, this API enables the use of power saving modes in M16C with various wake-up options.

Different speeds at which the CPU can be operated are defined as gears. We have listed all possible operating frequencies for QSK62P+ in Table 4.2. The eight operating frequencies are mapped to gear 1 to gear 8. Special gear 0 is defined for the stop mode of the CPU. The API function changeGearState takes the gear number as an argument and performs the operations to put the CPU in requested gear state.

4.3.1 API functions

**void initDFS()**  This function initializes the API global variables which are used in the other functions. It also puts the CPU in a default gear state.

**int getGearState()**  This function reads the SFR bit values that determine the current operating mode of the CPU. It returns the associated gear number of current state. If the SFR bit values correspond to an invalid state, it returns (-1).
### Table 4.2: CPU gears

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gear Number</th>
<th>Gear Name</th>
<th>CPU Speed</th>
<th>F1 Speed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>CLK_STOP</td>
<td>0 Hz (Stop mode)</td>
<td>0 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CLK_32k</td>
<td>32768 Hz</td>
<td>0 Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CLK_375k</td>
<td>375 kHz</td>
<td>6 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CLK_750k</td>
<td>750 kHz</td>
<td>6 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CLK_1_5M</td>
<td>1.5 MHz</td>
<td>24 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>CLK_3M</td>
<td>3 MHz</td>
<td>24 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CLK_6M</td>
<td>6 MHz</td>
<td>24 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CLK_12M</td>
<td>12 MHz</td>
<td>24 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>CLK_24M</td>
<td>24 MHz</td>
<td>24 MHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**int changeGears (int gear)** This function edits the SFR bit values to change the operating mode of the CPU to the requested gear state. It first determines the current CPU gear state and performs the operations (following proper order and timing requirements) depending on which state it is in right now and what state it needs to go in. If the requested gear is 0 (stop mode), this function enables a wake-up interrupt and stops all clock signals to put system in stop mode. It also saves the current system gear state in memory. The hardware interrupt is used to take the system out of stop mode. The interrupt service routine restores the saved gear state.

**void enter_wait (int mode, unsigned int time)** This function is used to put the microcontroller in wait mode. It basically executes the M16C instruction “wait” which stops the CPU and system enters the wait mode. Before executing this instruction, this function makes sure that the system is able to wake up. There are two modes in which this function can be used; TIMED_WAIT and INT_WAIT. If TIMED_WAIT mode is used, this function sets a wake up “alarm” using timer interrupt for the number of milliseconds provided in the argument. So, system will wake up after the given amount of time automatically. If INT_WAIT mode is used, this function enables an external interrupt line that can be used to wake up the system. After enabling the required wake-up source, the “wait” instruction is executed and system goes to sleep.
Chapter 5

Experimental system design

In this chapter, we’ll discuss the design of a test system used to perform experiments with the multi-channel power supply. We experimented with various methods of controlling the power supply using a microcontroller. Quality of voltage regulation and computing overhead are the criteria for comparison among these methods. A software application using a run-to-completion (RTC) scheduler is designed. The hardware set-up of this application includes LEDs, TFT color graphic LCD with touch screen, SD card, and a Bluetooth modem. The entire system runs at 3V using two AA batteries. The multi-channel power supply produces the voltages for these devices.

5.1 Hardware Organization

Figure 5.1 shows the hardware organization of the system. The power supply generates three independent voltages from a 3V battery. Table 5.1 shows the voltage domains generated by the power supply and the devices connected to them.

In Figure 5.1, the signals OSC1, OSC2 and OSC3 are PWM control signals generated by timers of the M16C microcontroller. These signals control three boost converters inside the power supply. Hence, the values of voltages V1, V2 and V3 are controlled by these signals. The signals V1_5, V2_5 and V3_5 are voltages V1, V2 and V3 divided by 5 with voltage dividers. They are connected to three ADC channels of M16C microcontroller. They provide the feedback for power supply control loop. VREF signal is a constant voltage reference generated inside the power supply and it is connected to an ADC channel of M16C microcontroller. It is used to calculate correct values of V1, V2 and V3.
from the ADC readings. Signals V1\_HIGH and V1\_LOW are generated using hardware threshold detector in the power supply. The threshold values are configured using the potentiometers on the power supply board. These are digital signals which are connected to M16C GPIO port pins. The details about the power supply internals and connections to M16C are shown in the schematic diagram on page 25.

This system contains three peripheral devices interfaced with the M16C development board. Here, TFT LCD is a CFA320240F-T-TS 320x240 color display with touchscreen. It is interfaced to M16C using 8-bit 8080 protocol. This display consists of a resistive touchscreen which is interfaced through ADC. Logic circuit of this display and touchscreen works at 3.3V. The backlight of this LCD display consist of four high brightness LEDs. These LEDs operate from 9V to 12V. The value of the voltage controls the brightness of the LCD display. SD card used here is microSD from SanDisk and it is interfaced to M16C via 4-wire SPI protocol. It works at 3.3V. Third device is the Bluetooth modem BlueSMiRF Gold. It is interfaced to the M16C via UART.

All three voltage domains can generate variable voltages. Value of the voltages are controlled using the microcontroller and can be specified in software. M16C microcontroller is powered using V1 voltage from the power supply. V1 voltage is used exclusively for the microcontroller to enable Dynamic Voltage Scaling (DVS). Voltage V2 is used for the LCD backlight. Voltage V3 powers the logic circuits of LCD, SD card and the Bluetooth modem.

### 5.2 Power-supply control methods

In an ideal boost converter the output voltage can be represented using following equation\[^6\].
Here, $V_o$ is the output voltage, $V_i$ is the input voltage and $D$ is the duty cycle of the control signal.

This indicates that the output voltage reaches infinity as the duty cycle reaches the value of 1. However in the real world, the switching elements of the boost converter are not ideal. The forward voltage drop across the diode $V_D$ and voltage drop across the transistor $V_T$ comes into picture. Hence, the output voltage of the boost converter can be given as,

$$V_o = \frac{V_i}{1 - D} - V_D$$

As the parameters $V_i$, $V_T$ and $V_D$ are constants, these equations show that the output voltage can be controlled using the duty cycle of the control signal.
5.2.1 Feedback control of SMPS

A feedback control system basically senses the value of the output parameter and compares to a reference to generate error signal. This error signal is used to generate the control signal for the system. In this system, we are using ADC to sense the value of the output voltage. The values read by the ADC is given to the microcontroller. The microcontroller compares the values read by the ADC to a reference value specified in the software. Based on the error value, it generates the control signal. The PWM control signal for power-supply is generated using microcontroller timer circuit. The duty cycle of the PWM control signal is modified at run-time using software.

In this system, each channel of the power-supply can be controlled in two ways. First, using continuous mode PWM signal and second, using burst mode signal with fixed duty cycle. In both modes, the value of the output voltage is read using ADC by the microcontroller. This value is compared to a reference value in specified tolerance range.

5.2.2 Continuous PWM mode

In this mode, if the value of the voltage read by the ADC is above the desired tolerance range, the microcontroller increases the duty cycle of the control signal. If the value read by the ADC is below the desired tolerance range, the microcontroller decreases the duty cycle of the control signal. So, when the value of the output voltage falls inside the desired range, the duty cycle remains stable. In a non-ideal boost converter, increasing the duty cycle after a certain maximum value, the output voltage does not increase (rather, it starts decreasing rapidly due to the power loss in the inductor, see Figure 3.3 on Page 21), so the duty cycle of the control signal is limited by a upper threshold value. In this system, if the duty cycle reaches this upper threshold value, it does not increase even if the output voltage is less than the desired voltage. In this case, the boost converter is not capable enough of supplying the desired voltage to the load.

5.2.3 Burst mode

When the PWM control signal is ON, the boost converter starts charging the output capacitor and the output voltage keeps increasing. The rate of increase of the output voltage depends on the duty cycle. In burst mode, the control signal is kept at fixed duty-cycle which provides maximum increase rate. Now, to control the value of the output
voltage, entire control signal is switched ON or OFF. If the output voltage goes above the higher threshold value, the oscillator is turned ON and similarly if the output voltage is below the lower threshold value, the oscillator is turned OFF. In this way, the SMPS can have “blanking” intervals when it’s turned off and does not produce high frequency noise. This behavior is suitable when some devices in the system are prone to the SMPS noise. These devices can operate without problems in the blanking intervals. This technique is known as *Rivalrous Hardware Scheduling*[^31][^30].

Figure 6.3 shows the output voltage and the control signal for a boost converter when controlled using burst mode.

![Output voltage and control signal in burst mode](image)

Figure 5.2: Output voltage and control signal in burst mode

### 5.3 Software Design

This system works on M16C microcontroller. The control algorithms and peripheral interfaces are designed in the software written for the microcontroller. The software works on the Run-To-Completion (RTC) scheduler. The application is divided into multiple tasks and these tasks are added to the scheduler. The RTC scheduler performs simple
non-preemptive, round-robin scheduling of the tasks.

5.3.1 RTC Scheduler

The RTC scheduler works on periodic timer ticks. The tick frequency of the scheduler can be configured. We are using 1 kHz timer frequency to have a scheduling tick every 1 ms. The scheduler uses a fixed-length task-table for keeping task data-structures. When a task is added to the scheduler, period and priority of the task is updated in the table and the task is marked as ready and enabled. The period of the task is specified in number of ticks. As this is a non-preemptive scheduler, the priority is just the number of the task which shows the fixed position of the task in the scheduling table. Each task has a unique priority value. When the scheduler starts running, it iterates through the tasks in the table and executes all ready and enabled tasks one by one. After a task is executed, the ready flag of the task is reset. The tick timer interrupt which is serviced every 1 ms, sets the ready flag of the task when the period counter of the task expires. The scheduler API is as follows.

void Init_RTC_Scheduler()  Initializes the task data structures, scheduling timer and other data structures used by the RTC scheduler.

int Add_Task(void (*task)(), int period, int priority)  Adds a new task to the scheduling table. The period and priority values are updated in the data structure. If there is an existing task added to the table with same priority value, this function returns with an error code of 0 and the task is not added. On success the function, the function enables the newly added task, marks it ready and returns 1.

void Remove_Task(void (*task)())  This function removes the specified task from the scheduling table.

void Run_RTC_Scheduler()  This function starts the scheduling with the added tasks in the table. It iterates forever to execute all ready and enabled tasks in round-robin fashion. This function never returns.

void Run_Task(int task_number)  This function sets the “ready” and “enabled” flags of the task specified by the task_number. The task is executed in next scheduler iteration.
void Reschedule_Task(void (*task)(), int new_period)  This function is used for modifying the period of an already added task.

void Enable_Task(void (*task)())  This function sets the “enabled” flag of the task specified by the function (*task()).

void Disable_Task(void (*task)())  This function resets the “enabled” flag of the task specified by the function (*task()). So, this task is not executed by the scheduler until it is enabled again.

5.3.2 The power-supply API

An API is designed to provide the interface to the multi-channel power supply. This API controls the PWM signals for each boost converter. It interfaces with the ADC to read the output voltage values. It also provides facility for the user to change the control method for a particular channel of the power supply.

void initPowerSupply()  Initializes the PWM timers and interrupts. Starts all three channels with default duty-cycle values. It also initialized the ADC in repeat sweep mode for reading the voltages.

void setDutyCycle(int ch, uchar duty)  This function is used to change the value of the duty-cycle of the PWM signal used to control a particular channel. Argument “ch” is the number of the channel(0, 1 or 2) and “duty” is the new value of duty cycle (from 0 to 100).

void readVoltages()  Reads digital codes for voltages V1, V2, V3 and VREF from ADC and calculates actual voltage values from the code using the VREF value. It stores these values in a global array.

void setVoltage(int ch, float val)  This function updates the desired voltage value for a channel. Argument “ch” specifies the channel number and “val” specifies the new value.
**void setMode(int ch, int m)**  
This function specifies the operating mode of a particular power supply channel. Argument “ch” is the channel number and “m” is the new mode value. Mode values are PWM_MODE, BURST_MODE, BURST_MODE_INT (see section 5.4.2) and OFF_MODE.

**int getMode(int ch)**  
Returns the mode value of the channel specified by “ch”.

### 5.3.3 Tasks in the application

As described before, the application is divided into multiple tasks. Each task is a function that is called periodically by the scheduler. In this system, the control of the power supply is also implemented in a software task.

**void Task_updateLCD(void)**  
This task periodically updates the LCD display.

**void Task_communication(void)**  
This task is used for communication using the Bluetooth modem. It also read and writes data on the SD card.

**void Task_flashLED(void)**  
This task periodically toggles an LED on the board to show that the system is working.

**void Task_managePowerSupply(void)**  
This task periodically polls for the power supply voltage readings from the ADC and updates the state of the control signals for all three channels based on the mode of control for each channel. It also manages the dynamic voltage and frequency scaling (DVFS) for the system. The power-supply API provides the control over the output voltage, while the DFS API shown in chapter 4 is used for scaling the frequency of the processor. The period of the this task determines the update rate of the power-supply control loop.

### 5.4 Power-supply control approaches

In embedded systems, there are two methods of communicating with external hardware. First is a polling based approach, and second is event based approach. In polling, the processor periodically checks the status of the device in a busy-loop or a periodic interrupt.
In event based approach, the external hardware triggers an external interrupt in the processor and it is handled in an ISR (Interrupt Service Routine). Both methods have their own pros and cons. For power-supply control, we provided support for both methods in our system.

### 5.4.1 Polling based control

This method corresponds to the periodic “managePowerSupply” task. This task periodically reads the feedback voltages for all power supply channels and updates the state of control signal depending on the mode of control (i.e., PWM mode or Burst mode). This is a total software based approach which requires minimum amount of support from the hardware. One more advantage of this approach is; the software provides flexibility of updating and modifying the control algorithms without any changes in the hardware itself. All three channels in this system support this method of control.

Along with the task based polling, we experimented with the periodic ISR based approach. In this non-preemptive scheduler the power-supply control task is prone to a deadline miss. This degrades the quality of voltage regulation. The periodic ISR works independently of the scheduler and runs the task in a timely fashion.

### 5.4.2 Event based control

Channel 1 in the power-supply (corresponding to voltage V1) supports an event based control using feedback signals from the hardware threshold detector. When the mode of channel 1 is set to BURST_MODE_INT, the logic signals generated from comparators trigger interrupts in the system. These interrupts control the channel 1 of the power supply in burst mode. Advantage of this method is; the interrupts have far better response time compared to periodic task used for the power supply. This provides better voltage regulation. Also, as this technique does not need the feedback from the ADC, it has significantly less computing overhead.

```c
void NMInterrupt(void)
```

This ISR is triggered when the low threshold is detected by the hardware threshold detector in the system. The V1_LOW signal is connected to the NMI pin of the M16C microcontroller. It turns the control signal ON for channel 1.
**void Int2Interrupt(void)** This ISR is triggered when the high threshold is detected by the hardware threshold detector in the system. The V1_HIGH signal is connected to the INT2 pin of the M16C microcontroller. It turns the control signal OFF for channel 1.

One drawback of this method in the current system is; the threshold values for the threshold detector can only be configured in the hardware using potentiometers. This limits the run-time control of the voltage by the software. This limitation can be removed by using programmable voltage divider in place of the potentiometer. In this way, the microcontroller can digitally control the values of the threshold voltages in finite number of steps.

In next chapter, we’ll look in more details about these methods of control and analyze their pros and cons based on experimental results.
Chapter 6

Results and Analysis

6.1 Power supply efficiency

The efficiency of all three power supply channels are determined by measuring input (inductor) current $I_{in}$ and output (load) current $I_{out}$.

\[ P_{in} = V_{in}I_{in} \]
\[ P_{out} = V_{out}I_{out} \]

Efficiency,

\[ \eta = \frac{P_{out}}{P_{in}} = \frac{V_{out}I_{out}}{V_{in}I_{in}} \]

Figure 6.1 shows the variation in efficiency of power supply channels as load current is changed. All measurements are done with $V_{in} = 3V$ and $V_{out} = 5V$. All channels are regulated in PWM mode for this experiment.

In Figure 6.1, efficiency of channel 1 is better compared to the channels 2 and 3. The boost converter in channel 1 uses the gate driver circuit explained in Section 3.2.6. This circuit decreases the rise time of control signal for switching transistor in the boost converter, which in turn decreases power loss in the transistor. Rise time of the control signal without gate driver is in order of 100$\mu$s. After adding the gate driver circuit the rise time is improved to be in order of 1$\mu$s.

This shows that, the efficiency of this power supply is from 75% to 85%.
6.2 Modes of control

As described in the previous chapter, the power supply in this system can be controlled in various modes. These modes either use polling based or the event driven approach. PWM_MODE and BURST_MODE work on polling based approach. In these modes the power supply is managed by a periodically running task. The frequency of this repeating task can be configured. We’ll call this the polling frequency. BURST_MODE_INT works on event based approach.

**PWM_MODE**  In this mode, each instance of the periodic task reads the voltage value from the ADC and if the values are not in the tolerance range, the duty cycle of the control signal is adjusted accordingly.

**BURST_MODE**  In this mode, similar to the PWM_MODE, the voltage are read from the ADC and if the value of the voltage is not in the desired range, the control signal for
the channel is turned on or off accordingly.

**BURST_MODE_INT**  If a channel is configured to work in this mode, the periodic task does not control this channel. Instead, the interrupts generated by hardware threshold detectors handle the state of the control signal.

![Image of output voltage and control signal](image.png)

Figure 6.2: Output voltage and control signal in PWM mode

Figure 6.2 shows the output voltage and control signal waveforms for the power supply controlled in PWM_MODE. Figure 6.3 shows the waveforms for BURST_MODE and Figure 6.4 show the waveforms for event based BURST_MODE_INT. Listing 6.1 on Page 49 shows the code for the periodic control task. Listing 6.2 on Page 50 shows the code for the event driven ISRs (Interrupt Service Routines).

### 6.3 Analysis of control methods

#### 6.3.1 Ripple voltage measurement

The polling frequency of the periodic task for the power supply affects the voltage regulation. Voltage regulation is measured in terms of the ripple voltage. The ripple voltage is the amount of peak-to-peak AC component present in the DC output voltage. The power supply output ripple is measured using oscilloscope for various control configurations.
The software is configured to regulate the output voltage at 5V. The load is a QSK62P+ development board running at 24MHz driving 60mA current.

Figure 6.5 shows the change in the ripple voltage of the power supply output as the polling frequency and modes of control are changed. Here, the ripple is shown as a percentage of the average DC voltage. In the polling based approach, as the polling frequency is increased, the processor works more frequently for checking and regulating the output voltage. The graph shows that the ripple behavior of the power supply is improved by increasing the polling frequency. Also, the graph shows that PWM based approach works significantly better compared to the burst mode. Also, in PWM based approach, the voltage ripple is less dependent on the polling frequency. The graph also shows the amount of voltage ripple when the power supply is controlled using hardware assisted event driven burst mode. In this mode the regulation is far better compared to the polling based approach as the response time of ISRs is better than the task response time (as explained in the next section).

Figure 6.6 shows the channel 1 efficiency in burst mode as polling frequency changes. It shows that, at low polling frequencies, the efficiency is poor. This is because of high value of ripple voltage at this point.
6.3.2 Computational overhead

The computational overhead for the control of the power supply depends on the control methods. We compared various methods for controlling channel 1 of the power supply as it supports all the control methods. In polling based approach, a periodic task is repeatedly executed at a fixed frequency. While in event driven approach, the ISR is executed only when an action for the control signal is needed. So, comparing execution times of these functions directly does not make much sense. Hence, we considered a 10ms duration of operation to figure out how much time out of this 10ms is used by the CPU for power supply control. The duration 10ms is chosen as it was easy to observe these events on the oscilloscope screen with this resolution. Also, it is large enough to evaluate the computational overhead due to the power supply.

The execution time of the power supply control task is measured using oscilloscope and turned out to be 356$\mu$s. Similarly the two ISRs used in the event driven approach have execution time of 1.2$\mu$s total. Here, the periodic task is running at 1kHz polling frequency. So, in 10ms time duration, this function is executed 10 times. In case of the event driven mode, the number of times corresponding ISR is executed, depends on the load current. This is because the time required to discharge the output capacitor of the power supply below the lower threshold voltage depends on the load current. In our experiment, we used the QSK62P+ board running at 5V as the load (the load current
is 60mA). In this case, the ISRs are executed 24 times in 10ms time duration. So, the computation time taken by the event driven method is \(1.2 \times 24 = 28.8\mu s\). Similarly, the computation time consumed by the periodic task is \(356 \times 10 = 3560\mu s\).

This shows a major difference in computation time when using this periodic task for power supply control compared to the event driven approach. We analyzed the reasons for this behavior. This function is using floating point multiplications and comparisons to calculate the error voltage values. As M16C microcontroller does not have a dedicated floating point unit, floating point arithmetic operations are emulated in software. This takes longer computation time. We tried to remove all floating point arithmetic from the control loop and performed the calculations using integer based fixed point arithmetic. The execution time reduced dramatically from 356\(\mu s\) to 20\(\mu s\). So, in our 10 ms duration of interest, now this task just takes 200\(\mu s\).

Table 6.1 shows the computation time taken by these methods in 10ms time duration. The M16C microcontroller is running at 24MHz. So, the CPU cycles are calculated based on this frequency. This table also shows the percentage of CPU time utilized for power supply control in each case.
6.4 Experiments with dynamic frequency scaling

In Chapter 4, the details regarding power saving modes and dynamic frequency scaling in M16C microcontroller is explained. We measured various parameters such as total power consumption and energy per clock cycle (EPC) at various operating frequencies on QSK62P+ board. The results are based on the QSK62P+ board current values at different values of operating speeds.

Figure 6.7 and Figure 6.8 shows how total power consumption and EPC varies according to the M16C operating speed.
Table 6.1: Time taken by various control methods in 10ms duration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Computation time</th>
<th>CPU cycles</th>
<th>% CPU time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Periodic task (Floating point)</td>
<td>3560µs</td>
<td>85440 cycles</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic task (Fixed point)</td>
<td>200µs</td>
<td>4800 cycles</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event driven burst mode</td>
<td>28.8µs</td>
<td>692 cycles</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6.7: Power consumption vs. operating speed in QSK62P+
void Task_managePowerSupply()
{
    int i;
    //read voltage values from ADC channels
    readVoltages();

    //For each power supply channel...
    for(i=0; i<3; i++){
        if(getMode(i) == PWM_MODE){
            if(v[i] > v_upper[i] && duty[i] > 1){
                duty[i]--;
                setDutyCycle(i, duty[i]);
            }
            if(v[i] < v_lower[i] && duty[i] < 75){
                duty[i]++;
                setDutyCycle(i, duty[i]);
            }
        }
        else if(getMode(i) == BURST_MODE){
            if(v[i] > v_upper[i]){ switch(i){
                case 0: OSC1_RUN = 0; break;
                case 1: OSC2_RUN = 0; break;
                case 2: OSC3_RUN = 0; break;
            }
            }
            if(v[i] < v_lower[i]){ switch(i){
                case 0: OSC1_RUN = 1; break;
                case 1: OSC2_RUN = 1; break;
                case 2: OSC3_RUN = 1; break;
            }
            }
        }
    }
}
Listing 6.2: Code for the event driven ISRs

```
//NMI interrupt triggered by low-threshold detection (V1_LOW)
#pragma INTERRUPT NMInterrupt
void NMInterrupt(void)
{
    if(mode[CH0] == BURST_MODE_INT)
        OSC1_RUN = 1;
}

//INT2 interrupt triggered by high-threshold detection (V1_HIGH)
#pragma INTERRUPT INT2Interrupt
void INT2Interrupt(void)
{
    if(mode[CH0] == BURST_MODE_INT)
        OSC1_RUN = 0;
}
```

Figure 6.8: EPC vs. operating speed in QSK62P+
Chapter 7

Conclusion and Future work

7.1 Conclusion

This work aimed at building a flexible low-cost platform for efficient power management in embedded systems by having control over switch mode power supplies (SMPS).

7.1.1 Design of the hardware platform

For the flexible control and cost-effectiveness, the SMPS was designed from scratch using discrete components. This power supply provides customized control and feedback methods desirable for this project. This hardware platform is highly configurable in software. It provides the system designer to experiment with various power management algorithms and methods. Along with the power supply hardware, we assembled a useful application development platform with a graphic LCD/touchscreen, Bluetooth modem, and SD card interface. We demonstrated the use of this platform using M16C microcontroller based experimental system. This platform is actually processor independent and can be used with most of existing off-the shelf microcontrollers available in the market.

7.1.2 Design of the software platform

A set of software APIs are designed to drive the configurable power supply platform. The power supply API provides a transparent interface towards controlling the multiple voltage domains of the system independently. The control mechanisms are implemented using a periodic task in a simple RTC scheduler, so it make this approach independent of
the operating system used. This approach can be easily integrated in real-time kernels such as MicroC-OS-II. The APIs designed for interfacing LCD, touchscreen, Bluetooth modem and SD card are also portable among various operating system environments.

7.2 Future work

This works opens doors for more research in intelligent power management for real-time embedded systems.

7.2.1 Integration with a preemptive real-time kernel

First area of work can be integration of this power supply platform in a known real-time kernel such as MicroC-OS-II. Currently, the software architecture of this system works on a non-preemptive RTC scheduler. Integration of this platform in a preemptive environment is a useful achievement. Then, it can be used along with more sophisticated real-time scheduling techniques such as EDF (Earliest Deadline First).

7.2.2 Integration with power-aware scheduling techniques

One more important area of study can be, integrating this platform into an energy scheduling mechanism such as Rivalrous Hardware Scheduling (RHS)[31]. Main objective of RHS is to use scheduling techniques to mitigate the effect of SMPS noise on EMI sensitive components of the system. RHS implements an energy aware scheduling technique called Make And Take (MAT). In this technique, temporal and energy models of a real-time system are interleaved to control the run-times of rivalrous hardware in the system[30].

7.2.3 Optimization of power supply hardware

Although the power supply hardware used in this system works quite efficiently in current configuration, there is still scope of improvement. Currently, the power supply boost converters works in asynchronous switching mode. In this mode, the forward voltage drop and reverse leakage current of the switching diode limits the efficiency of the converter below 85%. Using synchronous rectification in boost converter, better efficiencies above 90% can be achieved.
The feedback circuit of the power supply for threshold detection currently works on CMOS logic. This requires minimum of 3V supply to function properly. So, a low voltage replacement of this circuit can enable the system to run at in 1V range. Currently this system works on PWM control signals driven from the microcontroller. Dedicated oscillators can be designed to save microcontroller timer units and make the system more independent.
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Appendix A

Interfacing peripheral devices with M16C

A.1 TFT LCD with touchscreen interface

The TFT LCD used in this project is CFA320240F-T-TS 320x240 color display with touchscreen. It is interfaced to M16C using 8-bit 8080 protocol. This display consists of a resistive touchscreen which is interfaced through ADC.

A.1.1 Connecting CFA320240F-T-TS to M16C microcontroller

This display works on SSD2119 controller. The package contains two connectors. First is the 50-pin display connector and second is the 4-pin touchscreen connector. Figure A.1 shows the connections between these connectors and M16C microcontroller. Display pins are connected to M16C GPIO digital ports, while the touchscreen is connected to the ADC channels of the microcontroller. Table A.1 shows all required pin connections for using the LCD in 8 bit 8080 mode. Figure A.2 shows the connection circuit for TFT LCD backlight.

A.1.2 LCD display driver API

We have written an API for M16C microcontroller from the sample code given by Crystalfontz for Atmel AVR. This API is used to display graphical information on the LCD. This API also support writing text on the LCD display using basic 8 pt monospace
fonts. It also contains functions for reading coordinates from the resistive touchscreen using ADC.

**void initGraphicLCD()**  This function initializes the graphic LCD system. It sends start-up commands to the display controller and initializes the data structures used by the API.

**void putPixel(uint x, uint y, uint color)**  This function draws a single pixel on the display screen at point (x, y) using color specified. The color is in a 16-bit RGB format (5 bits red, 6 bits green, 5 bits blue).

**void drawLine(uint x1, uint y1, uint x2, uint y2, uint color)**  This function draws a line on the display screen from point (x1, y1) to point (x2, y2) using the color specified.
Table A.1: CFA3240240F-T-TS connections in 8-bit 8080 mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pin No.</th>
<th>Pin Name/(Connect to)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1, 4, 5, 6, 15, 16, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43</td>
<td>Ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LCD_RD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LCD_RES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LCD_CS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>LCD_CD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>LCD_WR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17, 18, 44, 45, 19 to 26</td>
<td>TFT_VCC (+3.3 V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 to 26</td>
<td>LCD_DATA (b7 to b0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47, 50</td>
<td>LED - (connected to Ground)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48, 49</td>
<td>LED + (see Figure A.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>all other pins</td>
<td>Not Connected (NC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**void drawRect(uint x1, uint y1, uint x2, uint y2, uint color)**  This function draws a rectangle on the displayscreen with boundaries from point (x1, y1) to point (x2, y2) filled with the color specified.

**void eraseLCD(uint color)**  This function erases the entire screen with the specified color.

**uint getColor(uchar r, uchar g, uchar b)**  This function returns 16-bit RGB value from individual components r, g and b. It basically converts 24-bit RGB value to a 16-bit RGB value suitable for use with the LCD display.

**void initTextMode()**  This function initializes the text-mode for the graphic LCD. This function must have been called before using any text related functions.

**void gotoNextChar()**  Moves the cursor to the next character position.

**void gotoPrevChar()**  Moves the cursor to the previous character position.

**void gotoNextLine()**  Moves the cursor to the next line on the display screen.
void lcdPutChar_color(char ch, uint color)  Puts the character “ch” on the screen using color specified at current cursor position.

void lcdPutStr(char *str)  Puts the character string “str” on the screen starting at current cursor position.

void lcdPutStr_vertical(char *str, uint x, uint y)  Puts the character string “str” on the screen vertically starting at current cursor position.

void eraseChar()  Deletes the character present at current cursor position.

void gotoXY(uchar x, uchar y)  Moves the cursor to a new position specified by text mode coordinates x and y.

uint setTextColor(uint color)  Changes the current text font color value to the new value specified.

uint setBgColor(uint color)  Changes the current text background color value to the new value specified.
void initTouchScreen()  This function initializes the ADC channels used for the resistive touchscreen interface.

int readX()  Reads ADC channel corresponding to the X coordinate and calculates the x coordinate value. If the touch is detected, it returns value of x or else it returns (-1).

int readY()  Reads ADC channel corresponding to the Y coordinate and calculates the y coordinate value. If the touch is detected, it returns value of y or else it returns (-1).

## A.2 Bluetooth modem BlueSMiRF Gold

We are using BlueSMiRF Gold modem from sparkfun electronics\textsuperscript{[4]}. This is a Bluetooth modem with straightforward UART interface. Table A.2 shows the connection details for the bluetooth modem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pin No.</th>
<th>Pin Name</th>
<th>Connect to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CTS-I</td>
<td>Not Connected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>VCC</td>
<td>BT_VCC (+3.3V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>GND</td>
<td>Ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>TX-O</td>
<td>M16C RXD2 pin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RX-I</td>
<td>M16C TXD2 pin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>RTS-O</td>
<td>Not Connected</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### A.2.1 Bluetooth communication API

The Bluetooth modem is connected to the UART2 of the M16C microcontroller. This modem works on baud rates from 9600 to 115200. In this project, we are using baudrate of 57600 to communicate via bluetooth. This modem is connected to host Bluetooth device (i.e. a PC) as a virtual serial port. The bluetooth communication API is designed
for the M16C microcontroller. It is used to communicate to the host via the wireless connection. The API interface is as follows.

**void initBluetooth()** This function initializes the UART2 of M16C for 57600 baudrate to use with the Bluetooth modem.

**int bt_sendString(_far char * s)** This function sends the string “s” to the modem via UART to transmit using the bluetooth connection. This string is received by the host device connected via virtual serial port.

**int bt_getString(char *s)** This function reads the string received by the Bluetooth modem and stores it at location “s”.

### A.3 SD card interface

The Secure Digital(SD) card is interfaced to M16C microcontroller in 4-wire SPI mode. Figure A.3 shows the connection details for SD card and M16C microcontroller. M16C UART in synchronous mode is used for SPI devices.

![Figure A.3: SD card connections in 4-wire SPI mode](image)
A.3.1 Embedded FileSystem Library (EFSL)

EFSL is a pure ANSI C based open-source file system library designed for embedded systems. It supports Microsoft FAT filesystem. As it is designed to be compiled by any ANSI C compiler, it can easily be ported for large variety of CPU architectures. This library is ported for M16C microcontroller and used for creating filesystem on SD card. This library provides basic low-level API for storing and retrieving data from the SD card.

A.3.2 EFSL API

EFSL provides a large API for creating and managing files on the SD card. It also supports a directory based structure. We have discussed some very basic file operations available in the EFSL here.

\texttt{esint8 efs\_init(EmbeddedFileSystem * efs, eint8 * opts)} \hspace{1em} This function initializes the library by initializing internal data structure and starting up physical SPI connection to the device.

\texttt{esint8 file\_fopen(File *file, FileSystem *fs, eint8 *filename, eint8 mode)} \hspace{1em} This function searches for the specified file and initializes the file object.

\texttt{esint8 file\_fclose(File *file)} \hspace{1em} This function updates the file records on the device and closes the file object.

\texttt{euint32 file\_read (File *file, euint32 size, euint8 *buf)} \hspace{1em} This function reads the file and puts its contents in a buffer.

\texttt{euint32 file\_write(File *file, euint32 size, euint8 *buf)} \hspace{1em} This function writes the contents of a buffer in the specified file.