Defect energetics and impurity incorporation mechanisms at the arsenic-passivated Si(100) surface
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Theoretical calculations show that defect properties of the Si(100) and Si(100):As surfaces are completely different. Large atomic relaxations around vacancies near the Si(100) surface cause chemical rebonding and defect healing that greatly lowers their formation energies. However, passivation of the surface by a monolayer of As induces substantial structural rigidity in the near-surface region. This reduces atomic relaxations and raises vacancy formation energies to high values, inhibiting vacancy mediated processes near the surface. The formation energies of silicon interstitials near the As-passivated surface are significantly lower than those of vacancies, which favors an interstitial mode of arsenic incorporation into the bulk during in diffusion. These results explain the observed uniformity of the Si(100):As surface and the high level of electrical activation of in-diffused As.

I. INTRODUCTION

Arsenic atoms adsorbed on the Si(100) surface readily incorporate into the surface layer upon annealing at moderate temperatures.\(^1\) This results in the formation of a monolayer of As atoms terminating the surface that completely passivates all surface dangling bonds and reduces the surface energy.\(^2\) During the epitaxial growth of Si and Si-Ge films, this impurity monolayer acts as a surfactant that segregates to the growing surface and assists in layer-by-layer growth of the semiconducting material. The process of surfactant-mediated growth has been the subject of numerous investigations over the past decade and a number of distinct mechanisms have been proposed.\(^6\)

A key ingredient that implicitly underlies all proposed explanations of the surfactant properties of As at Si(100) is the uniformity and stability of the terminating As monolayer. It is generally observed\(^5,7\) that the As-terminated surface, denoted in the following as Si(100):As, is much more well ordered and defect free than the clean Si(100) surface, which is prone to having a significant number of surface divacancies and addimers.\(^8,9\) This is in contrast to the situation with many other elements that are capable of saturating all surface dangling bonds, but instead give rise to disordered or partially ordered surfaces.\(^10,11\) Indeed, in a comprehensive review of As passivation of Si and Ge surfaces, Bringans\(^4\) points out that As passivation is "more unique than it is first apparent." The reasons causing the exceptional resistance of Si(100):As to defect formation have not been understood, to the best of our knowledge.

Another issue that remains to be addressed is the mechanism of incorporation of adsorbed As atoms through the Si(100) surface into the bulk of the semiconducting material. It is well known that native point defects form complexes with impurities and facilitate their migration in bulk silicon. In the case of arsenic, systematic experimental and theoretical investigations have elucidated a number of defect-related phenomena in bulk silicon: vacancy and interstitial assisted diffusion mechanisms;\(^12,13\) anomalous diffusion, and formation of inactive complexes with vacancies at high doping levels;\(^14\) precipitation of interstitial phases, etc.\(^17,18\) It might be expected that point defects also facilitate the process of diffusive incorporation of adsorbed As impurities.

However, relatively little is known about the interaction of impurities with point defects near the Si surfaces. For the clean Si(100) surface, attention has been restricted to defects in the surface layer or adlayer.\(^8,9,19\) The structure and formation energies of defects at and near the Si(100):As are still unknown, to the best of our knowledge. Given that the sizes of Si-based devices are shrinking to the submicron range, the atomic layers near the surface will form an increasing fraction of the next generations of these devices. Thus, a detailed understanding of impurity configurations and migration mechanisms is of great importance in the simulation of device processing.

In this paper, we report a systematic theoretical investigation of defects at and near the Si(100) and Si(100):As surfaces. The formation energies of both vacancies and interstitials near the clean surface are significantly lower than those in the bulk. In the case of vacancies, this is readily explained by the dramatic atomic rearrangements and chemical rebonding of neighboring Si atoms. However, at the Si(100):As surface, the relaxations around vacancies are negligible. Therefore, Si neighbors of the vacancies remain undercoordinated, resulting in their formation energies being in the neighborhood of bulk values. On both surfaces, interstitials near the surface have reduced formation energies relative to the bulk, but their values at Si(100):As are significantly larger than those near Si(100). The implications of these results on the mechanism of diffusive As incorporation through the surfaces is examined. While low-energy vacancy-assisted pathways of impurity incorporation are available on the clean Si(100) surface, at Si(100):As the energetically favorable pathways of impurity incorporation are available on the clean Si(100) surface, at Si(100):As: the energetically favorable pathways of impurity incorporation are available on the clean Si(100) surface, at Si(100):As and Si(100):As surfaces are significantly different. Large atomic relaxations around vacancies near the Si(100) surface cause chemical rebonding and defect healing that greatly lowers their formation energies. However, passivation of the surface by a monolayer of As induces substantial structural rigidity in the near-surface region. This reduces atomic relaxations and raises vacancy formation energies to high values, inhibiting vacancy mediated processes near the surface. The formation energies of silicon interstitials near the As-passivated surface are significantly lower than those of vacancies, which favors an interstitial mode of arsenic incorporation into the bulk during diffusion. These results explain the observed uniformity of the Si(100):As surface and the high level of electrical activation of in-diffused As.

II. CALCULATIONS

The total energies of various surface structures were calculated using the density-functional method.\(^23\) The local-
density approximation was used for the exchange and correlation contribution. Atoms were represented using norm conserving pseudopotentials and electronic wave functions were calculated using a real-space multigrid-based approach. A real-space grid spacing of 0.6 a.u., corresponding to a plane-wave cutoff of 13.8 Ry, gave converged results. Our results for the bulk Si lattice constant and the structure of the (2×1) Si(100) surface are in good agreement with experiment and earlier theoretical work.

The supercell approach was used to calculate the properties of point defects. Defects on the (2×1) surface were studied using a (4×4) surface unit cell, with a vacuum layer corresponding to six layers of bulk silicon. The results were tested for convergence with respect to slab thickness by carrying out initial calculations with six layer slabs and then refining the key results with ten layer slabs. Similarly, they were tested for k-point convergence using the Γ k point and the special k point. These convergence tests indicate that the results are accurate to within 0.2–0.3 eV.

III. NATIVE DEFECTS AT THE CLEAN (100) SURFACE

In perfect bulk silicon, all the atoms are fourfold coordinated. Thus, when a Si atom is removed, the neighbors of the vacancy are left threefold coordinated. On the other hand, when an excess Si atom is placed at an interstitial position, the chemical configuration of atoms in the vicinity of the defect is significantly different from the tetrahedral bonding found in the ideal, bulk material. The structural relaxations around a point defect are strongly constrained and there is little relief of the strain in the system. It is thus not very surprising that the equilibrium formation energies of the vacancy and the interstitial have high magnitudes of 3.9 and 3.3 eV, respectively, consistent with their small concentration levels, even at relatively high temperatures.

At the (2×1) reconstructed Si(100) surface, the atoms are only threefold coordinated and are arranged in rows of dimers. This gives rise to a high density of surface-dangling bonds, reflected in the alternate, ordered buckling of the surface dimers. Given the reduced coordination of the surface atoms and significant structural corrugation found at this surface, it may be expected that a number of novel low-energy defect structures might exist. Indeed, we will show in the following that dramatic atomic rearrangements occur around near-surface defects, which result in significant chemical rebonding of the neighboring Si atoms. They reduce the number of undercoordinated Si atoms and, in turn, reduce the formation energies of defects compared to the bulk.

We first present the results for the structural configurations of Si adatoms, addimers, and surface divacancies, which have also been the subject of earlier theoretical and experimental investigations. We find the equilibrium position of the Si adatom to be at the side of a dimer row, where it is in between two neighboring Si dimers of one row, bonded to two top layer Si atoms and one second layer Si atom. The Si addimer prefers to be adsorbed on top of a row of dimers, placed in between two neighboring dimers. The two orientations, parallel and perpendicular to the dimer rows, differ in energy by only 0.1 eV. The formation energy of the Si addimer is only about 0.5 eV, because its bonding configuration is rather similar to that of a surface Si dimer. A defect that has a comparably low formation energy of about 0.5 eV is the surface divacancy, shown in Fig. 1(a). The four subsurface Si neighbors of the divacancy move towards each other to form a pair of strained dimers, again quite similar to the dimers of the clean surface. The Si-Si bonds of the strained dimers in the second layer are about 2.6–2.7 Å, 20% larger than those of Si dimers on the clean Si(100) surface. These results are in excellent agreement with earlier theoretical investigations and are also consistent with scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) observations.

Having demonstrated the accuracy of our results by com...
parison to previously published work, we present a detailed analysis of vacancies and interstitials at and below the surface. Vacancies in the first and second layers have formation energies of about 0.9 eV, which are still much lower than the value of 3.9 eV in the bulk. In Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), the structural relaxations around these low-energy vacancy configurations are depicted. The large relaxations of the nearest-neighbor Si atoms give rise to significant chemical rebonding. For the vacancy in the surface layer, one surface Si neighbor is left twofold coordinated. However, the two Si neighbors in the second layer move towards each other by about 0.5 Å each, forming a 2.5 Å bond. For the second layer vacancy, one of the surface Si neighbors moves so far as to almost occupy the position of the missing Si atom, forming five Si bonds, four of which are in the range 2.4–2.5 Å and the fifth is 2.6 Å. These bonds are all within 10–20% of the 2.35 Å value of the Si—Si bond in bulk Si. Therefore, the Si(100) surface reveals tremendous flexibility in accommodating large atomic rearrangements around vacancies, which occur to reduce the degree of undercoordination of the neighboring Si atoms.

Silicon interstitials also have low formation energies near the surface. The lowest energy structure costs only 0.6 eV, when referenced to the perfect surface and the bulk Si chemical potential. In this structure, shown in Fig. 2, the Si interstitial occupies a hexagonal site between the second and third layers of the surface. The calculated formation energies of vacancies and interstitials are given as a function of depth below the surface in Figs. 3 and 4, respectively. It is seen that the dominant native point defects at and near the surface are, in decreasing order of concentration, surface addimers, surface divacancies, the near-surface hexagonal interstitial, vacancies in the top two layers and surface adatoms. The minimum energy needed to form a Frenkel pair near the surface, namely a vacancy and an interstitial, is 1.4 eV, substantially lower than the value of 7.2 eV in the bulk. This bears out the generally prevalent assumption that surfaces are sources and sinks of vacancies and interstitials.

In summary, this section makes it clear that there are a number of novel structural configurations of native point defects at and near the Si(100) surface with greatly reduced formation energies relative to the bulk material. Therefore, concentrations of defects are significant even at moderate temperatures. These defects can thus assist impurity incorporation and migration at temperatures much lower than those at which bulk diffusion becomes feasible. While reduc-

FIG. 2. Side view of the relaxed structure of the lowest energy Si interstitial configuration near the Si(100) surface. The surface Si atoms and the Si interstitial are shaded for clarity.

FIG. 3. Vacancy formation energies as a function of depth below the Si(100) surface.

FIG. 4. Si interstitial formation energies as a function of depth below the Si(100) surface.
in the trenches between dimer rows are over 1.0 eV higher.

It has been found both experimentally\(^1\) and theoretically\(^{29,30}\) that it is thermodynamically favorable for an adsorbed As dimer to incorporate into the Si(100) surface, displacing a surface Si dimer. The substitutional As impurities at the surface can then diffuse into the material with the assistance of near-surface vacancies and interstitials. Our calculations show that the substitutional configuration in the surface layer is favored by 0.9 eV with respect to the second layer, and 1.25 eV with respect to the third layer of the surface. It is clear that As atoms have a strong preference for the first layer, and thus it is energetically favorable for the incorporated As atoms to completely occupy all available surface sites, giving rise to a surface terminated by a (2 × 1) reconstruction formed by As dimer rows.

V. DEFECTS AT THE ARSENIC-TERMINATED SURFACE

The Si(100):As surface has a (2 × 1) reconstruction and is covered by rows of As dimers. Each As atom has five valence electrons, of which three participate in chemical bonds with neighboring atoms while the other two form a lone pair. Therefore, this surface has no unpaired electrons (i.e., dangling bonds), unlike the clean Si(100) surface. We found that the maximum binding energy of an As\(_2\) molecule to the Si(100):As surface is 0.60 eV, much lower than the 4.31 eV value on the clean surface. This is consistent with the experimental observations\(^5\) of low reactivity of the Si(100):As surface to gaseous atoms and molecules. As expected, the chemical passivation of the surface is correlated with its low chemical reactivity.

Uhrberg \textit{et al.},\(^2\) making use of the results of systematic angle-resolved photoemission experiments and \textit{ab initio} pseudopotential calculations, showed that the electron energy bands of the two surfaces are completely different. Recently, Kipp \textit{et al.} reported results\(^7\) on the electronic properties of the Si(100) and Si(100):As surfaces using reflectance difference spectroscopy, scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), and theoretical calculations, which show distinct signatures of the dangling bond states at the Si-Si dimers in the former and the As-As dimers in the latter. Therefore, the electronic structure of the two surfaces are completely different.

We have found that the chemical passivation induced upon As termination drastically alters the properties of surface and subsurface point defects. At the clean surface, the reduction of defect formation energies of vacancies was shown to be associated with large atomic relaxations of the Si neighbors. This resulted in significant chemical rebonding, which, in turn, healed the defects to a considerable extent. The chemical bonds at the Si(100):As surface, on the other hand, are very rigid and the atomic relaxations in the vicinity of vacancies are very small. In Figs. 5(a)–5(c) we show the relaxed structures of the surface divacancy and the vacancies in the first and the second layers, respectively, of Si(100):As. The atoms neighboring the vacancies are close to their positions near the perfect surface. Therefore, there is little chemical rebonding of Si neighbors of the vacancies, resulting in high-formation energies of the defects. Indeed, the calculated formation energies of surface As vacancies and divacancies at the Si(100):As surface are 4.1 and 6.0 eV, respectively.\(^{31}\)

Silicon vacancies in the subsurface region have formation energies greater than 3.0 eV.

The reduced reactivity of the surface also increases the energies of the near-surface interstitials. For instance, the formation energy of the Si interstitial at Si(100):As in the configuration similar to that of Fig. 2 for Si(100) is 1.9 eV. Its structure is shown in Fig. 6. While this is significantly higher than the 0.6 value for the clean surface, it is still much lower than the formation energies for near-surface vacancies that are plotted in Fig. 7. Several other configurations of interstitial Si were explored near the Si(100):As surface and found to have formation energies in the range 2.0–3.0 eV.
VI. IMPURITY INCORPORATION INTO THE BULK

We proceed to apply the above results to the phenomenon of diffusive incorporation of adsorbed impurities into the bulk. In an earlier publication,30 we had presented a detailed discussion of the various pathways for the initial stages of As and P incorporation into the clean Si(100) surface. It was argued that the diffusion of an impurity addimer into a surface divacancy is the preferred pathway at moderate incorporation temperatures. This is consistent with a number of experimental observations.1,20 In this section, we address the process of incorporation of As impurities into the bulk once the surface is completely terminated with As dimers.

Given that the Si(100):As surface is already covered by As atoms, the simplest incorporation step would require the migration of the As adatom into a stable subsurface position. In a vacancy-assisted incorporation mechanism, an impurity adatom in proximity to a subsurface vacancy would migrate into the site occupied by the vacancy. The high-formation energies of subsurface vacancies, of the order of 3.0 eV, and the further energy of about 0.5 eV required for vacancy hopping16 would imply a lower bound of 3.5 eV for the activation energy of the vacancy mechanism. This is close to the activation energy of As diffusion in bulk Si, which is negligible below a temperature of about 800 °C.16 Therefore, a vacancy-assisted mode of incorporation would require similar incorporation temperatures.

Turning to interstitial incorporation mechanisms, we examined two distinct pathways: (i) The direct motion of an As adatom into a subsurface interstitial position through open channels of the Si(100):As surface. The interstitial As atom knocks out a subsurface Si atom (“kick-out process”)12,13 and takes up a substitutional position in a subsurface layer. Subsequently, the interstitial Si atom diffuses away from the As atom or the two diffuse into the bulk as a defect complex. (ii) The As adatom kicks out a Si atom exposed to the surface in the second or third layer. Again, the thus created Si interstitial can either diffuse away and be annihilated at the surface or migrate into the bulk with the As atom. Both mechanisms lead to the As atom entering a substitutional position in the subsurface region and the ejection of an Si atom as an adatom or a subsurface interstitial.

In order to investigate the As adatom incorporation pathways, several configurations of As adatoms were examined to determine its equilibrium structure. The lowest energy structure corresponds to the As atom being in a trench between the As dimer rows, bonded to two surface As atoms on two sides of the trench and subsurface Si atoms. This configuration is illustrated in Fig. 8(a). Another structure, with the As atom in a configuration similar to the equilibrium Si adatom18 is only 0.3 eV higher in energy. We then investigated the energetics of the process of As incorporation via diffusion in the interstitial channel. The structures shown in Figs. 8(b) and 8(c) had energies of about 2.0 and 1.5 eV above the starting structure. In these calculations, the incorporating As atom was moved in small steps of about 0.1 Å in the appropriate direction. All the other atoms in the simulation cell were relaxed at every step. The subsequent kick out of an Si atom to make the As atom incorporate into the lattice required only about 1.0 eV, which is comparable to the result for bulk Si.13,33 The maximum energy of the system for this incorporation mechanism, denoted by (i) in the previous paragraph, was only about 2.5 eV. We carried out a similar simulation for the mechanism labeled (ii) above and obtained an energy barrier of a similar magnitude.
Therefore, the incorporation of adsorbed As with the assistance of silicon interstitials should dominate over vacancy-assisted pathways at moderate diffusion temperatures. One should also note that the activation energy barriers of 2.5 eV quoted here are only upper bounds. An exhaustive search of the potential energy surface of this system might reveal pathways, which have even lower activation energies. It is also important to note that the calculated activation energies are significantly lower than those required for As migration in bulk Si, namely 4.0 eV.\textsuperscript{12} For nanoscale devices, this presents the possibility for impurity incorporation at lower temperatures than those needed for engineering conventional silicon chips.

Turning to experiments, thus far, to the best of our knowledge, the experimental activation energy for As incorporation by in-diffusion into the Si(100) surface has not been reported. However, an important consequence of the interstitial mechanisms of incorporation is the injection of Si interstitials into the material. At low surface doping levels and incorporation rates, each Si interstitial that is generated is likely to be rapidly annihilated at the surface. However, at high doping levels, a strong flux of Si interstitial atoms may lead to their trapping in the bulk. Indeed, agglomerates of interstitials formed as a result of diffusive As incorporation have been detected as dislocation loops upon thermal annealing of the doped material.\textsuperscript{15,34} The density of these loops is found to increase with dopant concentration and with decreasing processing temperatures. We propose that some fraction of the observed dislocation loops forms upon agglomeration of Si interstitials generated in the material during diffusive incorporation of As. On the other hand, a fraction of these interstitials might also have been generated in the bulk during thermal annealing, via the kickout of Si atoms neighboring substitutional As impurities, as was proposed by Rousseau et al.\textsuperscript{35} to explain their observations of annealed Si films implanted with high doses of As ions. However, Shibayama et al.\textsuperscript{36} observed enhanced diffusion of As atoms in heavily As-doped samples obtained via diffusive incorporation of As, with rates that were many orders of magnitude higher than those that are typically observed at their diffusion temperature. They also found that B impurities that were present in a deeper layer below the As-incorporated region also exhibited similarly enhanced diffusion. It is well known\textsuperscript{12,13,33} that boron diffusion is predominantly assisted by Si interstitials. Therefore, the observations of Shibayama et al. can be explained by the influx of a large number of Si interstitials during the incorporation process, as we described above.

\section*{VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS}

In summary, we have shown that the structures and energies of point defects at the clean and the arsenic-passivated Si(100) surfaces are totally different. The passivation of surface dangling bonds is found to dramatically alter the structural properties of the atomic layers near the surface. The clean Si(100) surface, which has a high density of surface dangling bonds, is very flexible and permits large relaxations in the vicinity of defects. The Si(100):As surface, on the other hand, is free of dangling bonds and inhibits atomic rearrangements around defects. The difference between the properties of these two surfaces is strictly of a chemical nature, because Si and As atoms have similar atomic sizes and thus strain effects are negligible.\textsuperscript{5}

Near the clean Si(100) surface, both vacancies and interstitials have low formation energies, less than 1.0 eV, far lower than the values in the neighborhood of 3.5 eV found in the bulk. The reasons for this reduction are rather transparent for near-surface vacancies and interstitials, extensive atomic relaxations, and chemical rebonding take place in the vicinity of the defects. Both interstitials and vacancies have comparably low formation energies near the surface and should be readily available at moderate temperatures to mediate impurity incorporation and migration. This is consistent with experiments that show that adsorbed As atoms incorporate into the surface layer at temperatures in the neighborhood of 400 °C.\textsuperscript{1}

\begin{figure}[h]
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\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig8}
\caption{Schematic view of the interstitial mechanism of incorporation of an As adatom into Si(100):As. (a) The lowest energy configuration of the As adatom; (b)–(c) intermediate steps of As incorporation into the bulk. See text.}
\end{figure}
However, the Si(100):As surface has a completely different response to the formation of defects. At this surface, atomic relaxations near vacancies are negligible and the neighboring atoms remain close to their ideal bulklike positions. The undercoordination of these atoms raises the formation energies of these defects to high values in the range of 3.0–4.0 eV, similar to those found in the bulk.\textsuperscript{12,32,16,33} While the formation energies of near-surface interstitials rise by over 1.0 eV upon As passivation, they are still about 2.0 eV, much lower than the bulk value of 3.3 eV.\textsuperscript{32} Therefore, the equilibrium concentration of Si interstitials would be much higher than that of vacancies at the Si(100):As surface. This favors an interstitial mechanism for the diffusive incorporation of adsorbed As atoms into the bulk, which leads to the generation of Si interstitials in the bulk material.

The preponderance of the interstitial mechanism relative to the vacancy mechanism has important consequences for heavily As-doped Si. This is because As is well known to form electrically inactive complexes with vacancies at rather moderate temperatures, above a critical doping level.\textsuperscript{15,16} Since As passivation of the surface suppresses the formation of vacancies relative to interstitials, our results explain why it is possible to incorporate large amounts of electrically active As atoms into the bulk via diffusion at high temperatures. It is only upon thermal annealing that vacancy generation or migration into the material gives rise to deactivation of a major fraction of incorporated As impurities.\textsuperscript{14,34,16}

In conclusion, a monolayer of arsenic atoms on the Si(100) surface drastically affects both the electronic and structural properties of the near-surface region. The formation energies of vacancies at the arsenic-terminated surface are greatly increased compared to those of the clean surface. The formation energies of Si interstitials near this surface are significantly lower than those of vacancies but still substantially greater than at the clean surface. This explains the phenomenon of uniformity and stability of the Si(100):As surface.\textsuperscript{5} An interstitial mechanism of diffusive As incorporation is favored, causing the generation of Si interstitials in the bulk, especially at high doping levels. The inhibition of a vacancy mechanism near the surface explains the high level of electrical activation of in-diffused As atoms.
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\textsuperscript{27}Here and in the following, the formation energies of Si defects were computed using the bulk Si chemical potential, which was calculated with a 216-atom cell.


\textsuperscript{31}The formation energies of As vacancies and divacancies were computed using as references the perfect Si(100):As and the equilibrium As addimer configuration on Si(100):As.


