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A B S T R A C T
We describe the formation of soft material substrates with position-dependent modulus. Two strategies have been employed in the preparation of such materials. In the first method, we create modulus gradient structures by inter-diffusing two (or more) formulations of silicone elastomers (SE), comprising mixtures of poly(dimethylsiloxane) (PDMS) and poly(vinylmethylsiloxane) (PVMS) with varying molecular weight and content of PDMS, and cross-link them thermally in order to form SE networks. With this method, the resultant substrates exhibit shallow modulus gradients that extend over a few centimeters in length. The second technique is based on employing ultraviolet (UV)-based cross-linking of mercapto-terminated PVMS chains across transparency lithographic masks. We demonstrate that the shape and “sharpness” of the modulus on the substrate depends solely on the position-dependent transparency of the mask to the UV light. As a part of the study we provide detailed material characterization of networks formed by the PDMS–PVMS and PVMS–SH chains.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

One of the major tenets of materials science involves developing reliable methods of tuning the characteristics of surfaces and interfaces in materials. While just about any material property can, in principle, be altered, the most important ones that impact the performance of both hard and soft material surfaces involve variation of their surface energy, surface roughness (i.e., topography) and film mechanical properties (i.e., modulus). Multiple methods that facilitate tuning the surface energy and (to some extent) surface roughness have been developed and described in many previous monographs. In contrast, formation of thin polymer layers with tunable mechanical characteristics has received much less attention. Yet, the latter property has long been known to govern some key characteristics of materials, including mechanical stability [1] and wear [2]. In addition, modulus has been utilized in controlling surface topography by capitalizing on material instabilities, such as wrinkling/buckling [3]. Recent studies have also revealed that mechanical properties of substrates govern interfacial partitioning of certain biomolecules. For instance, Brady and Singer reported that relative bioadhesion on various polymeric surfaces scales with \( \gamma_cE^{1/2} \), where \( \gamma_c \) and \( E \) are the critical surface energy and the elastic modulus, respectively [4,5]. The role of material stiffness on bioadhesion is not completely new, however. Cell biologists have known for some time that when cultured on solid substrates, e.g., tissue-culture polystyrene dishes, cells proliferate readily and rapidly relative to situations involving more compliant materials [6]. They also established that surface chemistry and materials mechanics act in concert in regulating biological functions. Indeed, recent observations have indicated that cells may alter their biological functions, i.e., signaling pathways, based on the substrate stiffness [7].

While surface chemistry and topology have been probed extensively for their effect on such responses as cellular adhesion and proliferation, studies are beginning to emerge regarding the effect of substrate modulus [8,9]. In order to achieve timely comprehensive experimental design, there is a need to create novel substrates with tunable mechanical response at the micro and meso-scale levels with length scales ranging from individual cells up to whole tissues [10,11]. Despite the vast amount of research that has been targeted at understanding cellular response to its host scaffold, the choice of material and extrapolation of findings from one cell/material system to another system has proven difficult, indicating that establishing general relationships between substrate compliance and cell behavior cannot be considered independently of the material and cell type. Over the past few years, the effect of substrate compliance on cell motility, adhesion and proliferation has been investigated systematically by means of...
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cm⁻¹, respectively), we confirmed that the reaction took place with ¹³C and ¹H NMR (cf. Fig. S3 and Fig. S4 in the Supplementary information). Upon inspection of the spectra for both PVMS-OH (NPVMS-OH = 15, PJ Fluid) and PVMS-OH (NPVMS-OH = 300) in comparison to PVMS-SH, there are obvious differences in the 0.5–3.5 ppm region for ¹H and in 12–53 ppm region for ¹³C indicating chemical shifts due to the mercapto-termination [18,19]. Specifically, in Fig. S4 the ¹H chemical shifts for PVMS-SH that are absent for PVMS (cf. Fig. S3) include: i) the protons on the methoxy group occurs ≈ 3.5 ppm, ii) the proton on the –S– atom occurs around 1.3–1.6 ppm, and iii) the propyl spacer between sulfur and silicon has proton chemical shifts ranging from 0.9 to 2.5 ppm. The significant peaks in the ¹³C NMR spectra occur at 50 ppm for the carbon from the methoxy group and between 15 and 35 ppm for the carbons in the propyl spacer.

After synthesizing PVMS-SH with both short chain PVMS-OH (NPVMS-OH = 15) and PVMS-OH (NPVMS-OH = 300), their molecular weight was determined with size exclusion chromatography (SEC). Fig. S5 in the Supplementary information illustrates the SEC differential refractive index (DRI) detector traces for the nominal NPVMS-OH = 300 PVMS-OH and the resultant PVMS-SH (B). Note that the weight-average molecular weight (MW) increased from 28 to 57 kDa after the end-capping condensation reaction indicating either branching from the secondary alkoxysilane group or chain extension with PVMS-OH step-growth condensation. The DRI traces show 3 peaks for each sample. The first peak represents the linear PVMS polymer, the second peak corresponds to either vinylmethyl cycles or low molecular weight linear species, and the third peak is associated with the solvent from the sample solution. Consistent with the increase in molecular weight is the decrease in short chain/cyclic siloxanes in the PVMS-SH trace as well as a broadening of the main peak. It is interesting to note that even when starting out with low molecular weight (NPVMS-OH = 15) PVMS-OH, the molecular weight increases from ≈ 1.5 to ≈ 42 kDa. The concentration of the condensation catalyst for the NPVMS-OH = 15 PVMS-OH run was one third the amount used for the high molecular weight PVMS-OH illustrating the differences in reaction rates for the different viscosity fluids. As the mixing apparatus was the same for both runs, the catalyst amount was a means to overcome the mass transfer limitations of the low molecular weight end-blocker species throughout the more viscous PVMS-OH fluid. The reaction starting with PVMS-OH (NPVMS-OH = 15) demonstrates that the synthesis of PVMS-SH can be a one-step reaction of end-capping and step-growth condensation occurring simultaneously. Starting with the higher molecular weight material was an attempt to control the amount of branching and prevent the reaction between the secondary methoxysilane and the hydroxy group from occurring. Inspection of the SEC traces in Fig. S5 reveals that while the resultant product with the higher molecular weight PVMS-OH material produced a narrower molecular weight distribution of final polymer, a lower molecular weight tail was present in both cases.

2.4. Wettability measurements

Static contact angle measurements were performed via the sessile drop technique with deionized water (DIW, resistance > 15 MΩ cm) using a Ramé-Hart contact angle goniometer (Model 100–00) equipped with a CCD camera. Images were analyzed with the Ramé-Hart Imaging 2001 software. To measure the advancing and receding contact angles, a probe droplet was added (8 µL, DIW advancing) or removed (4 µL, DIW, receding) and analyzed. Contact angles were measured on 3–5 independent spots on each sample and the results were averaged. The dynamic contact angle (DCA) measurements were carried out on Cahn dynamic contact angle analyzer. The contact angle hysteresis, defined as the difference between the advancing and receding contact angles of DIW, was evaluated as a measure of the chemical and structural homogeneity of the surface probed.

2.5. Dynamic rheology and dynamical mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA)

Dynamic frequency sweeps were performed under isothermal conditions from 0.05 to 100 rad/s on a Rheometrics Advanced Rheometric Expansion System (ARES) with a defined strain within the linear viscoelastic regime using a parallel plate geometry. Wall slip was minimized my applying a normal force for optimum plate contact producing repeatable results. DMTA was performed using a TA Instrument Q800 operating in a single-cantilever geometry. The dried polymeric films were cut into approximately 25 × 10 × 1.5 mm² rectangles. Storage modulus (E'), loss modulus (E''), and loss tangent (tan δ) were recorded at a heating rate of 3 °C/min from −150 to 130 °C and at a test frequency of 1 Hz. The amplitude was set to be within the linear viscoelastic regime.

2.6. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy in the attenuated total reflection mode (FTIR-ATR) was employed to characterize chemical modification of PVMS-OH fluids. All spectra were collected using a Bio-Rad/Digilab FTS-3000 Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectrometer using a mounted crystalline Germanium, attenuated total internal reflection (ATR) sampling attachment (Pike Technologies inc., MIRacle™ Single Reflection ATR) with a normal spectral response of 650–5500 cm⁻¹. The infrared light was focused onto the photodiode of a liquid nitrogen-cooled, wide band mercury-cadmium-telluride (MCT) detector with a normal spectral response of 650–7000 cm⁻¹. The spectrometer and attachment were purged with dry compressed air in order to remove signals originating from atmospheric water and CO₂. For each sample, 64 scans (10 repeats per sample) were collected using Ge-crystal detector with a resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ under constant nitrogen flux to eliminate the effect of water vapor on the collected data. The data were analyzed using BioRad-IR software.

2.7. Nanindentation experiments

Testing of the mechanical properties of modified and unmodified PVMS networks were performed by using Hysitron Triboindenter. The instrument was equipped with quasi-static and dynamic mode of operation, force and displacement controlled (feedback) and an integrated AFM head. Indentations were performed at room temperature in the acoustic enclosure of the Triboindenter. 2 different tips were used to perform indentations, a 150 nm Berkovic and a 46 µm conical diamond tip, the calibration of the diamond tips were performed on standard fused quartz. Force-displacements curves of indents were analyzed by using Oliver-Pharr method by using the software TriboScan supplied by Hysitron. Only the results of displacement controlled quasi-static indentations were reported. The reduced modulus is calculated using the equation:

\[ E_r = \frac{S \sqrt{\pi}}{2 \sqrt{A}} \]

\[ \frac{1}{E_r} = \frac{1 - \nu^2}{E}_{\text{sample}} + \frac{1 - \nu^2}{E}_{\text{indenter}} \]

\[ (1) \]

\[ (2) \]
where \( S \) is the stiffness of the unloading curve, \( A \) is the projected contact area, and \( \nu \) is Poisson’s ratio (taken to be \( = 0.5 \), as for ideal elastomers). The initial unloading contact stiffness (the slope of the initial portion of the unloading curve) is defined as:

\[
S = \frac{dP}{dn}
\]  

For a standard diamond indentor probe, \( E_{\text{indentor}} \) is 1140 GPa and \( v_{\text{indentor}} \) is 0.07.

The samples were cured on glass slides and glass slides were mounted on the magnetic plate by attaching metal AFM discs under glass slides with super glue. A series of indentations were performed on each sample by considering the minimum distance not to effect the neighboring indentations and the size of the each sample. In separate experiments standard deviation of the modulus were calculated on homogenous modulus samples and they are found to be \( = 3\% \) of the modulus values. In addition, the indented surface was scanned with the integrated AFM in non-contact mode and no visible deformation or cut on the network was observed. The same test conditions were used for each sample and the test parameters for displacement controlled indentations are as follows: 500 nm/s loading, 5 s of hold period and 500 nm/s unloading.

### 2.8. NMR spectroscopy

The pulsed field NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker AVANCE 500 MHz Spectrometer (1996) with Oxford Narrow Bore Magnet (1989), SGI INDY Host Workstation, XWINNMR Software version. Instrument is equipped with three Frequency Channels with Wave Form Memory and Amplitude Shaping Unit, with three Channel Gradient Control Unit (GRASP III), variable Temperature Unit, Pre-Cooling and Temperature Stabilization Unit. 5 mm ID 1H/BB (109Ag–31P) Triple-Axis Gradient Probe (ID500-5EB, Nalorac Cryogenic Corp.) has been used for all measurements. The NMR probe was tuned to C-13 frequency, which is 125.75 MHz in the 500 MHz spectrometer (1H frequency – 500.128 MHz). The NMR probe was tuned to Si-29 frequency, which is 99.36 MHz in the 500 MHz spectrometer (1H frequency – 500.128 MHz). A combination of homonuclear \(^{1}H\), \(^{13}C\) and \(^{29}Si\) methods were applied to study the structure of polymer. Chromium(III) acetyl acetonate (Aldrich) was used as an efficient relaxation reagent for \(^{29}\) Si NMR studies. \(^{11}C\) (1D) and \(^{1}H\) spectrum were also run with proton decoding for confirmation of the peak assignments.

The NMR samples were prepared by dissolving 0.2 g of each sample in approximately 0.5 g of CDCl\(_3\) then transferring the solution to a 5-mm NMR tube for analysis. Tubes were carefully washed and dried for 24 h in an oven and purged with nitrogen before being capped for storage. Samples for \(^{29}Si\) were prepared with 1% of relaxation agent to shorten the T1 relaxation time [19]. All NMR spectra were acquired at 298 K. Tetramethylsilane was used as an internal standard. Data were processed with Bruker software XWINNMR 3.6 and standard processing parameters.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Substrates with gradient in modulus based on inter-diffusion of SEs

The SE networks for this study were prepared by reacting hydride-terminated PDMS across the PVMS backbone and cured via the platinum catalyst, as shown in Scheme 1. The modulus of the PDMS–PVMS network can be adjusted in two ways: i) by varying the degree of polymerization of the PDMS linker (\( N_{\text{PDMS}} \)) and/or ii) by varying the number of vinyl groups on the PVMS chain to be reacted (\( N_{\text{PVMS}} \)). In our studies we used \( N_{\text{PVMS}} \) ranging from 11 to 78 repeat units. Since the average molecular weight of PVMS was \( \approx 40 \) kDa (see Experimental section), the maximum number of vinyls per mole of PVMS was \( \approx 465 \). Targeting no more than 300 vinyl groups/mole of polymer for reaction provided a sufficiently high number of vinyl reactive sites on the PVMS backbone for subsequent chemical functionalization with biological ligands. In the text we refer to different PDMS–PVMS formulations as \( N_{\text{PDMS}}–N_{\text{PVMS}} \); for instance, the SE network denoted as 11–300 was made of PDMS having \( N_{\text{PDMS}} = 11 \) and \( N_{\text{PVMS}} = 300 \). We note that a big advantage to this chemistry, particularly with respect to the cell culture studies, is that it avoids the toxicity of the tin catalyst used in alkoxy-cure cross-linking.

We anticipated that the SE network modulus would decrease by increasing \( N_{\text{PDMS}} \) and/or decreasing \( N_{\text{PVMS}} \). In Fig. 1 we plot the storage modulus (\( G’ \)) data from a few PDMS–PVMS formulations confirming the expected trend; namely, \( G’ \) decreases with increasing \( N_{\text{PDMS}} \) and/or decreasing \( N_{\text{PVMS}} \). In several instances, we detected a few variations to this rule. For instance, in the 11–\( N_{\text{PVMS}} \) series we saw a consistent increase in \( G’ \) associated with increasing \( N_{\text{PVMS}} \) from 75 to 175 while further increase in \( N_{\text{PVMS}} \) to 200, produced 15–200 networks whose \( G’ (\approx 300 \text{ kPa}) \) was lower than that of 15–175 (\( \approx 400 \text{ kPa} \)). Measurements carried out on duplicate
batches of 15–200 confirmed consistent results. It is likely that low molecular weight species (PDMS in this case) diffuse to the polymer–air interface of these unextracted samples because of reduced miscibility between PDMS and PVMS and the lower surface energy of PDMS (relative to that of PVMS). It is also possible that a maximum loading had been reached in the incorporated cross-linker molecules and the “extra” PDMS–H acted as a plasticizer. While this observation constitutes an interesting problem of its own merit, it is beyond the scope of this work to explore it further. Therefore in the preparation of samples comprising modulus gradients, we only used those PDMS–PVMS formulations that followed the expected trends (cf. Fig. 1). Using samples in the 11-VPVMS and 15-VPVMS sets we thus confirmed that the storage modulus followed the expected trends; it decreased with increasing NPDMS and/or decreasing VPVMS. Ideally, one would need to perform experiments with a broader range of NPDMS. Unfortunately, no hydrogen-terminated PDMS materials are available commercially that would have 15 < NPDMS < 78. We have tested NPDMS = 78 and prepared 78–50, whose modulus confirmed the previously-described trends in the storage modulus; our attempts to prepare PDMS–PVMS blends with a higher PDMS loading (thus higher VPVMS) led to phase separation. Because of the sample heterogeneity, we have not tested their mechanical properties.

More information about the rheological characteristics of the PDMS–PVMS formulations was obtained from dynamical mechanical thermal analysis (DMTA), which was conducted on two samples, 11-250 and 11-75. The specimens were tested as described in the Experimental section, utilizing the temperature ramp function at 1 Hz and set amplitude of 40 μm. The storage (𝐸′, a) and loss modulus (𝐸′′, b) (left ordinate) and tan δ (c) for 11-250 and 11-75 are shown as a function of temperature in Fig. 2a and Fig. 2b, respectively. The largest step change in modulus for 11-250 (cf. Fig. 2a) is around 150 K, typical of the glass transition temperature (𝑇g) of PDMS and PVMS. This large step transition in modulus for 11-75 (cf. Fig. 2b) occurs at 145 K. Based on tan δ, 𝑇g is ≈ 150 and ≈ 160 K for the 11-75 and 11-250 formulations, respectively. There is a β transition apparent in the loss modulus for 11-250 (cf. Fig. 2a) at 141 K that is absent in the data for PVMS and model PDMS networks (cf. Fig. S1 in the Supplementary information) and not present in the 11-75 data (cf. Fig. 2b). In addition, as the concentration of PDMS in 11-250 is higher than that of PVMS (∼60 weight% of PDMS–H), the cold crystallization behavior observed with the model homopolymer network was also expected to occur in the 11-250 specimen. This did not occur, however. We note that while the networks may have a similar molecular make-up, their cross-linking mechanism is much different. With the model PDMS and PVMS networks the cross-linking occurred at the end-groups of the macromolecule, whereas the PDMS–PVMS networks formed by cross-linking along the PDMS backbone. The main backbone in all three networks had a similar molecular weight. Thus, while the molecular weight between cross-links (𝑀c) for the end-linked networks was identical to that of the backbone, the 11-250 specimen exhibited a short and non-uniform 𝑀c throughout the network. This may explain the observed differences of the loss modulus’ secondary temperature transitions.

From the data in Fig. 2 there is a large change in the storage modulus (Δ𝐸′) associated with the transition from the glassy to the rubbery state between the 11-250 (∆𝐸′ ≈ 10^3 MPa) and 11-75 (∆𝐸′ ≈ 7 × 10^3 MPa) networks. While in the rubbery state, the 11-250 mixture forms a constrained network with a significant degree of cross-linking, the glassy state storage modulus for 11-250 is almost an order of magnitude smaller than that of 11-75 (i.e., 10^3 vs. 10^4 MPa). We currently cannot provide a plausible explanation for the difference in 𝐸′ at temperatures below 𝑇g between the two networks. Another anomaly in the DMA data of the two networks studied is that 11-75 does not exhibit the characteristic rise in 𝐸′ at temperatures ~298 K. This may be important in the design of surfaces for tissue-culture as incubation occurs typically at ~310 K. Assimilation of relevant work by other researchers reveals that most mechanical property data are recorded at room temperature; this could be a variable overlooked as the elastic modulus can vary with temperature. It would be prudent to explore two areas in future studies. These involve: i) discerning the differences between 11-75 and 11-250 thermoelastic behavior and ii) reevaluating the mechanical properties at incubation temperatures for the polymers used in biomedical applications.

We assessed the surface properties of the PDMS–PVMS network films by measuring the contact angle of deionized water (θDW) via static contact angle method and the dynamic contact angle (DCA) measurement and compared them to the “benchmark” samples comprising PVMS and PDMS (Sylgard-184) networks. Recent work on PDMS networks reported on contamination of the probing liquid during dynamic contact angle measurements [20]. Given the very short exposure of our samples to deionized water, we do not believe any contamination occurs during the course of our experiments because the data we report below are fully consistent with values reported previously on extracted SE networks. The general trends observed support the notion that cross-linking leads to the loss of surface mobility of the SE chains in the network. In Fig. 3 we plot the advancing θDW (a) and the rate of change of θDW with time (b) as a function of the exposure time of the networks to DIW. In our studies the PDMS networks remained hydrophobic during the
entire duration of the test. In contrast, PVMS exhibited the well-known surface reconstruction [16]. The PDMS–PVMS network remained more hydrophilic relative to pure PDMS networks during the tests suggesting that a considerable amount of vinyls (being more hydrophilic than methyls) was present on the sample surface. While the static θ_DW did not reveal any significant differences in the PDMS–PVMS networks relative to the pure component SE networks, more insight into the network structure could be gained by examining the water contact angle hysteresis (Δθ_DW), i.e., the difference between the advancing and receding dynamic θ_DW using the DCA (cf. Fig. S6 in the Supplementary information). Generally, the data in Fig. 3 indicate that the surface reconstruction for 11-VPVMS in the presence of water for is both slower and of lower magnitude than PVMS. This can be attributed to not only the magnitude than PVMS. This can be attributed to not only the mobility is believed to affect greatly the adsorption of biological moieties on man-made surfaces [24], it may be worthwhile to explore the reversible characteristic in PDMS–PVMS networks in future detail in future studies.

Having characterized the properties of the PDMS–PVMS networks, we set to prepare modulus gradients by allowing equal amounts of two PDMS–PVMS formulations with a different content of the PDMS ad PVMS constituents to inter-diffuse and cure the materials in order to form networks with a position-dependent modulus. Two formulations, comprising PDMS–PVMS 15–30 and 15–125 were poured into a 30 × 30 cm² polystyrene Petri dish in 30-g amounts covering two adjacent and equal areas in the Petri dish. After curing for 3-days in an oven at 70 °C, small sample pieces were taken along the substrate in the direction of the formed gradient in order to measure the storage modulus. We assumed that the modulus in each specimen (disks having ≈8 mm in diameter) was uniform. In Fig. 4 we plot the storage modulus as a function of the position on the sample using the solid squares. Adjacent samples were also taken from the substrate after an additional 5 days of curing at room temperature. This second set of data, depicted with open squares, exhibits values that are consistently higher in modulus than the earlier set indicating that additional cross-linking took place in the network during the 5-day period.

Overall, these results thus demonstrate that it is possible to prepare substrates comprising a gradient in modulus by inter-diffusing two (or more) SEs and cross-linking them into the final network. They also point out some limitations of the current method. The first and most severe one is the inability to control the extent of the gradient in a precise manner. To that end, the spatial extent of the gradient is determined solely by the diffusivity of the two formulations and as such it will always be in the centimeter range. Additionally, because each formulation comprises a two-component mixture of PDMS–H and PVMS, the distribution of these two species in the final inter-diffused network may not be uniform that would ultimately lead to a certain “skewness” of the gradient that would be difficult to control. Finally, as documented above, the surface characteristics (i.e., the dynamics of the groups at the surface) of the individual formulations may vary to some extent, which would ultimately affect the application of such gradient structures in biological studies. We did not quantify the diffusion of the two components in the gradient set up quantitatively as this would involve determining the self-diffusion coefficients of both
PVMS and PDMS as well as the mutual diffusion of the couple. In addition detailed information would be needed to quantify the diffusivity of the catalyst. Finally, deuterium-labeled components would have to be available so that one can achieve sufficient contrast between the two components for subsequent neutron or other analytical experiments. Those studies are beyond the scope of this paper. While we demonstrated the applicability of modulus gradient substrates in cell adhesion and proliferation studies [14], we attempted to develop a more robust and more tailored and general means of fabricating substrates comprising position-dependent variation of modulus. Those efforts are described in detail in the following section.

3.2. Substrates with gradient in modulus based on UV-induced cross-linking

In order to circumvent the obstructions associated with preparing substrates comprising gradient in modulus by inter-diffusion of SE mixtures, we opted for generating such structures by UV-induced cross-linking of end-functionalized PVMS. As will be demonstrated below, the chief advantage of this technique is that it not only eliminates the aforementioned shortcomings on the inter-diffusion method but it also enables one to prepare various motifs, shapes and "sharpness" of the modulus regions on the substrate. The basic principle of this new methodology is simple. We cast thin films of UV-curable SES and set in the modulus by exposing them to UV light across a photolithographic mask. In a sense, this method is similar to the technique used by Wong and co-workers in preparing PAAm networks [8,9].

Despite the wide range of available UV-cure mechanisms that have been implemented with SE precursors, commercial-grade UV-curable silicones were not suitable to our studies. These included, for instance, SEs manufactured by Loctite Corporation that utilized a dual-curing mechanisms. The primary role of this elastomer class is to provide the construction industry with a rapid surface-cure via UV-induction in conjunction with a secondary (and slower) alkoxy-cross-linking mechanism for the complete substrate cure. This precluded these elastomers for use in a gradient-cure mechanism, as they would eventually cure uniformly throughout the substrate with the secondary cure. While Gelest and Dow Corning provide UV-curable silicone formulations they are aimed for resin-grade coatings. Use of the commercial-grade elastomers would also limit surface modification as they are formulated with 100% PDMS. Products by Dow Corning Corporation, General Electric Company, and Loctite Corporation dominate the majority of UV-curable siloxane synthesis work under various patent landscapes. Siloxane polymers have been formulated with UV-curable functionality such as acrylates, epoxides, norbornenes, and thiols [25–33]. Each mechanism possesses its own unique challenges. Due to the desire to utilize PVMS-OH fluid, we opted for a condensation reaction of PVMS-OH with mercaptoalkoxyethoxysilanes [34]. This seems to be a logical choice as: i) this method avoids the oxygen inhibition presented by acrylate functionality (of a concern since SEs are highly permeable to oxygen), ii) epoxide functionalization of PVMS-OH would prove challenging due to moisture inhibition, and iii) the desired norbornene intermediate would require additional synthesis steps. The thiol-ene reaction has recently regained popularity due to the excellent mechanical properties realized in the products, new synthesis routes to mask the odor characteristic of thiol monomers, low inhibition due to oxygen and moisture, and the development of new photoinitiators that allow for better oxidative stability (low-yellowing) [35]. End-capping PVMS-OH with thiol functionality seems thus straightforward; it would lead to an SE system comparable to a PDMS analog that was reported to exhibit a rapid-cure and produced elastomers with superior mechanical properties [32].

The synthesis route used to prepare mercapto-terminated PVMS (PVMS-SH) SEs was adapted from the condensation process of linear dimethyl silanols (cf. Scheme 2) [34]; see Experimental section for details. Qualitative analysis of the NMR spectra, molecular weight analysis, and successful UV-curing of the PVMS-SH product (provided in the Supplementary information) validated our reaction scheme and process. The next step was to produce networks with the material cured via UV light. The PVMS-SH possessed little odor and a photoinitiator 2-hydroxy-2-methyl-1-phenyl-propan-1-one (Darocur® 1173), commonly used for biomedical applications, was soluble in PVMS. We explored two simple formulations for our networks: i) a blend of 65% of PVMS-SH (≈ 41 kDa) and 35% (w/w) of PVMS-OH (≈ 28 kDa) with 2–3% of photoinitiator and ii) 100% PVMS-SH (≈ 58 kDa) with 2–3% photoinitiator. The blend formulation was an attempt to incorporate PVMS with a lower polydispersity index into the mixture, reduce the amount of available cross-linking, and provide a broader distribution of cross-linked density throughout the cured elastomer. Scheme 2 depicts the general synthesis of a network with 100% of PVMS-SH polymer. The reaction proceeds with hydrogen abstraction from the sulfur atom after UV-initiation of the photoinitiator. The thiol radical attacks the vinyl substituent, whereas the formed carbon radical abstracts hydrogen from another mercapto- group. Cross-linking of the siloxane-ene and thiol-terminated siloxane continues until the radicals are terminated or UV-exposure is eliminated. As homopolymerization of the siloxane-ene component is not favored, only with prolonged UV-exposure will vinyl-to-vinyl cross-linking likely occur. This undesirable cross-linking will reduce the overall elasticity of the substrate. In the materials section we pointed out that additional increase of the modulus of the network may occur due to cross-linking of the unreacted methoxy groups present in the thiol-based siloxane. However, we do not anticipate this to take place at room temperature.

![Scheme 2](image-url)

Scheme 2. Schematic for making mercapto-based poly(vinylmethylsiloxane) (PVMS) networks. The coupling reaction between 3-mercaptopropylmethyldimethoxy silane (MPMDMS) and PVMS was performed in reflux mode at ≈ 60 °C for ≈ 2 h. The SE network was generated by UV-assisted cross-linking of PVMS-MPMDMS at room temperature.
Forming networks with 100% PVMS-SH increases the likelihood that more SE chain segments are incorporated in the network. As the number of available cross-link sites greatly outnumbers the potential of thiol radicals generated, formulating with a blend of PVMS-SH and PVMS-OH reduces the total number of PVMS-S available to react across the C—C substituent of the siloxane backbone. This could result in some “dangling” PVMS chains in the network. With either formulation, if termination occurs between two PVMS-S segments, it would act as a chain extender.

A common concern regarding the formation of the –Si–C–C–S–C– bond is its thermal stability due to the predicted liability of heteroatomic bonds beta to the silicon atom [31]. For example, a significant reduction in weight loss at 360 °C was reported in a PDMS-co-PVMS copolymer modified with 2-(2-benzimidazolyl) ethanethiol compared to the weight loss at 500 °C with the unmodified PDMS-co-PVMS [36]. While this thermal instability may preclude the use of SE thiol-ene elastomers at high temperatures, they are still suitable for current research where temperatures will remain below 100 °C.

Rheological properties of UV-cured PVMS-SH networks were obtained with an ARES rheometer operating isothermally at 30 °C within the linear viscoelastic regime for elastomers cured at 365 nm for various times (cf. Fig. 5). Increasing the exposure time from 7 to 27 min resulted in an order of magnitude change (from 30 to 300 kPa) in the storage modulus ($G'$). This range in modulus showed promise for generating compliance gradients by simply varying UV dose by tailoring the time and/or intensity of the UV light exposure. A control sample for the 100% PVMS-SH formulation was analyzed.
with DMTA in the temperature ramp mode. The glass transition temperature, determined by the large step change in storage modulus was 150 K, similar to standard alkoxy-cure PVMS (cf. Fig. 6). Closer inspection of the DMTA data reveals a large disparity between the tan $\delta$ for PVMS and PVMS-SH. With standard alkoxy-cure PVMS, the cross-linking occurs at the chain ends. This is not the case for PVMS-SH where backbone cross-linking is the mode for network formation. As $\tan \delta = E''/E'$, it is apparent with the data for PVMS-SH that the elastic component is significantly higher for PVMS-SH in the rubbery regime as $\tan \delta$ approaches zero; indicative of a solid-like behavior. This result is logical as the backbone flexibility is significantly reduced through cross-linking of the vinyl substituents thus reducing the molecular weight (and motion) between cross-links. Although the modulus goes up as a function of temperature as seen in other siloxane networks [37], it increases at a much higher rate ($dE'/dT = 4.8$ MPa/K for PVMS-SH vs. 0.181 MPa/K for PVMS). In addition, this temperature-dependent increase in modulus occurs at $\approx 310$ K for the PVMS-SH network vs. $\approx 285$ K for the PVMS network. Two phenomena could be influencing the increase in modulus with respect to temperature. The first could simply involve the generation of additional cross-linking points throughout the network. This was not the case with PVMS-SH as post-testing after the temperature ramp did not indicate an increase in $E'$. Post-testing was not performed with the PVMS-SH network which is necessary to determine if further cross-linking occurs at elevated temperatures. The other phenomenon would follow the theory of rubber elasticity where the elastic modulus is directly proportional to temperature as described by:

$$E' = \frac{3\rho RT}{M_C}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $\rho$ is the polymer density, $R$ the gas constant, and $M_C$ the molecular weight between cross-links [38]. Equation (4) assumes that the overall polymer strand molecular weight is much larger than $M_C$, which is the case for PVMS-SH networks but does not hold for alkoxy-cured PVMS networks, where the following relation would apply:

$$E' = \frac{3\rho RT}{M_C} \left(1 - \frac{2M_C}{M_n}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where $M_n$ is the average polymer strand molecular weight [38]. As $M_n$ approaches $M_C$ this relation fails as the quantity $(1-2M_C/M_n)$ becomes negative. Nonetheless, it is instructive to assess the degree of cross-linking for PVMS-SH networks in relation to alkoxy-cured PVMS networks. As can be expected due to the differing cross-linking methods, the slope of a linear fit of $E'$ vs. temperature is 30 times steeper for PVMS-SH than alkoxy-cured PVMS networks indicating increased cross-linking for PVMS-SH. Comparing $E'$ at room temperature and at 310 K (cell incubation temperature) yields additional information worthy of further investigation. At 310 K the moduli of PVMS-SH and PVMS are very similar (8.24 and 6.31 MPa, respectively). At room temperature, the modulus of PVMS-SH is twice as much as PVMS. More dynamic rheology experiments performed isothermally would elucidate if these relative differences are accurate.

We have also attempted to monitor the formation of the SE networks in situ by UV-induced cross-linking of PVMS-SH materials via dynamic rheology (TA AR2000 rheometer). Fig. 7 depicts the viscosity and modulus of the SE network during the cross-linking process. UV light at a wavelength of 365 nm was directed at the formulated uncured PVMS-SH fluid (at two levels of the

---

**Fig. 8.** Modulus of PVMS-SH networks UV cured across various masks. The modulus data were rescaled to the highest modulus value measured in each sample. Darocur 1173 was used as a photoinitiator at 3 weight% of the total formulation.
Darocur 1173 photoinitiator) between the parallel plate geometry. During the UV-exposure time, a dynamic time sweep was performed for each formulation at a stress of 50 Pa and a frequency of 1 rad/s. The rate of cross-linking is illustrated in the data shown in Fig. 7 by the sharp increase in modulus up to 200 s at which point the UV source is eliminated but the monitoring of the rheological properties continues. The elastic modulus $G^\prime$ rate of change during the exposure time was 62.7 Pa/s for 1 wt% photoinitiator versus the faster rate of 98.6 Pa/s for 3.5 wt% Pl. After the UV-exposure time, the rate of cross-linking continues but at a reduced rate for both concentrations. We also note that while the 3.5% cross-linker addition cures at a slightly faster rate, the 1% cross-linker formulation plateau to an equivalent elastic modulus after 500 s.

To minimize residual cross-linker in the resultant SE, the lower addition amount is preferred for the formulating of SEs. Because we were unable to determine the intensity of the UV light inside the parallel plate in the rheometer, we cannot relate the absolute values of $G^\prime$ and $G^\prime\prime$ directly to the UV dose. While we cannot compare the rheometer-based modulus values directly to other data on UV-cured elastomers, where the relationship between the UV dose and the modulus can be obtained (cf. Fig. S7 in the Supplementary information), the trends in $G^\prime$ and $G^\prime\prime$ given in Fig. 7 are clear and demonstrate that networks are formed ($G^\prime>G^\prime\prime$) and the modulus of the network increases with increasing UV dose.

In order to create substrates comprising a position-dependent modulus, glass slides were coated with the uncured PVMS-SH formulation, covered with a patterned transparency film bearing a predetermined transparency motif, and exposed to UV (cf. Fig. 8). After the desired exposure time (ranging from 5 to 20 min), the film was removed from the UV source and placed in the dark to prevent subsequent cross-linking. Mechanical probing of the material modulus in the X–Y plane was carried out using a Hysitron Triboindenter in displacement controlled indentation mode to probe the same depth for every indentation. A series of model studies was conducted that enabled linking the measured modulus of the SE network to the UV dosage, given by the product of the UV-exposure time and UV light intensity; the latter was assessed directly by determining the intensity of the UV light after passing it through masks with different transparencies. An example of such a calibration relationship is provided in Fig. S7 in the Supplementary information. In order to demonstrate the capability of the method, in Fig. 8 we provide only two illustrative examples of such spatially-tuned modulus gradients. For simplicity, we present the results for the modulus data in the X–Y plane on a relative basis, i.e., scaled relative to the highest modulus measured in the sample. These results illustrate that one can produce readily substrates with in-plane variable modulus ranging from 40 to 100% of the maximum obtained modulus; additional tuning can be provided by varying the transparency of the mask, the UV dosage and the molecular weight of the PVMS-SH polymer. Work is currently in progress that addresses the effect of the aforementioned parameters and provides detailed range of the modulus values that can be achieved by this method. In addition, modulus changes upon ageing of the specimens after formation is being assessed and will be reported in the future.

4. Conclusion

Substrates comprising compliance gradients can be of aid in various field of materials science and in biomaterials, where the understanding of cell growth, proliferation, and migration on synthetic materials is required. Two methods leading to such structures based on SE networks have been reported in this paper. The first technique utilizes inter-diffusion of two SE formulations leading to SE networks with position-dependent gradually-varying modulus. While straightforward to implement, this methodology offers limited control over the spatial extent of the gradient. A more controllable way to fabricate substrates comprising spatial gradients in modulus is to utilize UV-curable SE materials and imprint the desired spatial variation of mechanical modulus by external UV mask. In the latter case, the reaction time for a cured network is less than 30 min with a direct UV source of 365 nm. While more work is needed to fine tune these methods of a particular application, i.e., studying the effect of substrate rigidity on cell signaling locomotion (i.e., durotaxis), spreading and proliferation, the principles assembly developed in this work should pave their way towards utilization of such unique material structures.

Note added in proof

During the typesetting of the article we became aware of a recent paper reporting on the formation of stiffness gradient by photochemical, position-dependent degradation of a hydrogel network and its subsequent utilization in cell differentiation studies [Kloxin AM, Benton JA, Anseth KS. Biomaterials 2010; 31; 1].
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