Stable Growth and Instability of Circumferential Crack in Type 304 Stainless Steel Pipes Under Tensile Load
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ABSTRACT

The intergranular stress corrosion cracking (IGSCCs) have been found in Type 304 stainless steel piping in some BWR plants. Although there have been no accidents of double-ended pipe fracture resulting from the IGSCCs which might lose the structural integrity of the pipe, it is important to prove for the leak before break (LBB) criterion to be satisfied. The LBB criterion is considered to depend not only on the crack configuration but also on the system compliance, which affects crack stability. In this work, in order to quantitatively study these phenomena, a series of pipe tests and fracture analyses by the finite element method were conducted:

1) Twenty test pipes (6" sch. 80, Type 304 stainless steel) with a surface or through-wall circumferential notch were prepared. Some of the test pipes were quasi-statically tensioned through a high-compliance spring apparatus ($10^{-4}$ mm/N) or directly by 600 ton test rig. The others were loaded dynamically with the same spring apparatus. Applied load, gross elongations, crack opening displacement and crack propagation velocity were measured in each test. In the "quasi-static low-compliance" tests, the cracks have never become unstable during fracture process. On the other hand, the transitions to unstable crack growths have been observed in the "quasi-static as well as dynamic high-compliance" tests. In the latter cases, the crack velocity often reached about 10 to 20 m/sec. Almost entire fracture surfaces of the pipes subjected to dynamic loads through the high-compliance rig have shown characteristics of unstable fracture morphology.

2) In the numerical analyses, a pipe with an initial through-wall crack was studied using two three-dimensional finite element codes developed independently. In the former code, the crack extension was simulated according to the constant crack tip opening angle (CTOA) criterion, while in the latter code, the crack was extended so as to obey the experimental relationship between the crack extension and the gross elongations to obtain the variations of the J-integral and the CTOA as a result. The results by both codes and the experiment were compared regarding the load and the crack opening displacement versus the crack extension. These comparisons have shown good correspondence among them. The stable crack growth amount up to an instability point was predicted using the assumption that the change from stable to unstable fracture may occur at the situation where the total displacement begins to decrease. The present prediction agreed well with the result of the "quasi-static high-compliance" test.
1. Introduction

Circumferential flaws due to the stress corrosion crackings have been found in piping systems of many BWR plants, which became a crucial safety problem of these plants. Although some countermeasures have been successfully employed to reduce the occurrence of these cracks, it is also required to study the safety margin under the presence of such cracks. For the safety assessment of such a flawed structure, the leak before break (LBB) concept has been proposed. Especially, in materials such as Type 304 stainless steel, which is mainly used as the piping material, unstable cleavage fracture can be excluded in the assessment. However, even in these tough materials, there remains a small possibility of unstable ductile fracture, which is largely affected by the system compliance.

With this background, some theoretical and experimental studies on unstable ductile fracture have been performed. Paris et al.[1] proposed the tearing instability criterion based on the J-integral resistance curve, which can account for the influence of the system compliance. Following that, theoretical consideration on the limitation of this approach was made as well as the development of simple estimation methods[2-3]. Besides, in order to verify the criterion experimentally, Paris et al.[4] performed the instability test under the three point bending load. Also, theoretical and experimental investigations for circumferential crack instability were carried out for Type 304 stainless steel pipes subjected to bending load[5-7].

The Leak Before Break Test Group at the Nuclear Power Engineering Test Center in Japan has developed a tensile-type test apparatus with compliance to study the tearing instability behavior of nuclear piping under the quasi-static and dynamic loadings. The crack instability experiments and analyses for cracked specimens were conducted using this machine[8-9]. This paper presents another results of the tearing instability test of circumferentially cracked pipes of Type 304 stainless steel under the quasi-static and dynamic tensile loadings, followed by the theoretical study by the finite element method concerning the experiment.

2. Experiment
2.1 Test Specimens

The austenitic stainless steel (AISI Type 304) pipes of 6 inch and schedule 80 were used for the test specimens. The chemical composition and the mechanical properties are shown in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Pipe geometry and initial notch configuration are shown in Fig. 1. Twenty pipes with an inner-surface or through-wall notch in the circumferential direction were prepared. Notch geometries and test conditions are summarized in Table 3.

The initial notches with width of 0.3 mm were introduced by electro-discharge machining in the heat-affected zone (HAZ) of three welded pipes (Specimen No. CW-1, CPW-3R and CPW-2) or in the plain base metal of the as-received ones. The maximum depth of inner-surface notch denoted by c in Fig.1 is 0.3t or 0.7t (t : pipe thickness).

2.2 Test Condition and Measurement

As shown in Table 3, three types of experiments are carried out in order to study the influence of compliance and dynamic effect on fracture behavior. The first is the "quasi-static low-compliance" test in which a normal low-compliance testing apparatus is used. The second is the "quasi-static high-compliance" test using the high-compliance apparatus
shown in Fig. 2, in which the test pipes are quasi-statically loaded through a spring with compliance of $10^{-4}$ mm/N. Finally, in the third type of the experiment, six pipes are loaded dynamically with the test device with compliance (the "dynamic high-compliance" test). These tests are conducted at room temperature or 285°C in air.

Applied load, gross elongations (gauge length : 250 mm) and crack opening displacement are continuously recorded in the tests. Stable crack growth is measured by observing the pipe surface in the low-compliance test. Since unstable crack extension is expected in the high-compliance tests, crack gauges are attached on the pipe surface to measure crack propagation velocity from their break time.

2.3 Test Results

Maximum loads for all the specimens are summarized in Table 3. The fracture behaviors of the specimens were dependent on the test types. Typical schematic drawings of the fracture surfaces for the three test types are shown in Fig. 3.

In the "quasi-static low-compliance" tests, cracks never became unstable and fracture surfaces were flat in the entire regions. In the "quasi-static high-compliance" tests, both ductile flat and 45° shear parts were observed in the fracture surfaces. After stable ductile flat cracks of 50 to 70 mm length, the pipes exhibited a rapid double ended fracture. The reductions of wall thickness in the stable flat cracked area were about 50 % and those in the unstable shear cracked area were 25 %. In the case of the "dynamic high-compliance" tests, negligible or very small flat cracked surface was observed and almost all of the fracture surface was of shear fracture type.

The lengths of stable growth of ductile flat crack for as-received and welded through-notched specimens are summarized in Fig. 4, which involves the "quasi-static low-compliance" test, the "quasi-static high-compliance" test and the "dynamic high-compliance" test denoted respectively as St., Qm. and Dy.. It can be seen from the figure that the difference between the as-received and the welded specimens is negligible.

Figure 5 shows the variation of crack propagation velocity in two pipes subjected to the "quasi-static high-compliance" loading which contain through wall initial notches of 30 and 120 degrees, respectively. In this figure, Ch.1 and Ch.2 correspond to one and the other sides of crack propagation, respectively. Crack propagation velocities are seen to increase rapidly with crack extension until about 10 m/sec.

3. Theoretical Consideration and Discussion

Crack extension analysis under the elastic-plastic condition is needed in order to estimate the strength of cracked pipes, for which the finite element method is expected to be an effective tool. Regarding this, two three-dimensional elastic-plastic finite element codes named PIPE-6 and PIPE-16 have been developed for the purpose of the crack extension analysis in pipes. Here, we perform numerical analysis on the crack extension for the pipe using these codes and discuss the fracture behavior of circumferentially cracked pipes tested.

3.1 Models for Numerical Analysis

Geometry and Boundary Condition  
Taken as an object of calculation is the "quasi-static high-compliance" test specimen with a through-wall initial notch of 30 degrees. Figure 6 shows the finite element model for the calculation. To economize the computer cost, we model only the 1/12 part of the test pipe, where the gross elongations between points A and
C and between A' and C' are measured. Linearly interpolated along the circumferential direction, these elongation data are used to prescribe the displacement at the end of the finite element model. The 6-noded and 16-noded isoparametric elements are used in PIPE-6 and PIPE-16, respectively. The finite element subdivisions for the both codes are shown in Figs. 6(b) and 6(c).

Material Properties

From the uniaxial tension test, the Young's modulus and the yield stress are determined to be 185.1 GPa and 234 MPa, respectively. The Poisson's ratio is assumed to be 0.3. A bilinear approximation of the equivalent stress - equivalent plastic strain relation is used for the plastic flow property.

3.2 Methods for Numerical Analysis

Table 4 describes the characteristics of the numerical method employed in both codes. As can be seen in the table, the J-integral is calculated based on the virtual crack extension technique[10] with PIPE-16.

As a criterion for crack extension, the experimental relation between the gross elongations measured along the lines AB and A'B' shown in Fig. 6 and the crack extension amount is utilized in PIPE-16 (Generation phase simulation). In PIPE-6, on the other hand, the constant CTOA criterion is assumed and the critical CTOA of 0.2 radian, which is obtained from the analysis for center cracked plates[11], is employed (Application phase simulation). In both calculations, the value of CTOA is evaluated from the crack opening displacement at the corner node nearest to the crack tip. The crack extension is realized by the modal releasing technique in both codes, in which the nodal reaction force is eliminated in several increments after the removal of nodal restraint.

3.3 Numerical Results

Load and Deformation Characteristics

Figure 7 shows the variations of the applied load and the crack opening displacement COD at the point 10 mm apart from the initial notch tip with the crack extension Δa. The applied load first reaches the maximum value and then decreases gradually with the stable crack growth. This maximum load is calculated to be 1303 kN (PIPE-16) or 1392 kN (PIPE-6), while the value of 1274 kN is obtained in the experiment. The values of COD, increasing almost linearly with the crack extension, are shown in the figure with the experimental values.

Resistance Curves based on J-integral and CTOA Approaches

Crack tip parameters such as the J-integral and the crack tip opening angle (CTOA) are obtained from the numerical solutions by PIPE-16, the code for generation phase simulation. The J-integral value, being about 1 MN/m at the crack initiation, increases remarkably with the stable crack growth as shown in Fig. 8. These characteristics in the J-resistance value for the pipe specimen are nearly the same as those of the center cracked plate specimens[11] plotted in the figure. Figure 9 shows the CTOA resistance curve calculated using PIPE-16. As shown in the figure, the CTOA obtained by the present analysis is relatively close to the CTOA band calculated for the center cracked plate specimens[11].

From these results, the J-integral and CTOA approaches are considered to be effective criteria which can describe the crack initiation and stable crack extension, independent of the configuration of cracked specimens.

Crack Instability

Instability point of the circumferential crack in the pipe specimen is predicted from the condition that the total displacement remains constant during the
crack extension. The total displacement \( V_t \) is represented as the sum of the displacement of the pipe specimen \( V_s \) and that of the spring for high compliance itself \( V_c \). Assuming the pipe displacement \( V_s \) to be equal to the COD and using the relation \( V_c = C \cdot P \) with \( P \) being the load and \( C \) being the compliance, the total displacement \( V_t(=V_s+V_c) \) is obtained as a function of the crack extension amount \( \Delta a \).

Figure 10 shows the variations of \( V_t, V_s \) and \( V_c \) with the crack extension obtained using the codes PIPE-6 and PIPE-16, respectively. Employing the instability condition of \( \frac{dT}{d\Delta a}=0 \), the stable crack extension amount up to the instability \( \Delta a_{\text{inst}} \) is predicted to be 48.9 and 51.3 mm by PIPE-6 and PIPE-16, respectively. Experimental measurement on the fracture surface has shown that the unstable crack propagation begins after the stable crack extension of 50 to 60 mm. Thus, the finite element solutions seem to predict the crack instability point with comparably good agreement with the experimental result, although the theories give somewhat shorter stable crack extensions than the experiment.

4. Conclusions

The fracture behaviors of Type 304 stainless steel pipes with a circumferential crack were studied with the experiment and the finite element analysis. The following results are obtained:

1) In the "quasi-static low-compliance" test, cracks extend stably throughout showing the flat fracture surfaces. The changes from stable to unstable crack extension, which is characterized by the 45° shear fracture surfaces, are observed in the "quasi-static high-compliance" test as well as the "dynamic high-compliance" test.

2) Maximum crack velocity in the unstable fracture region exceeds 10 m/sec.

3) From the application and generation phase simulations by the finite element methods, it is found that the J-integral and the CTOA are effective parameters for the crack initiation and the stable crack extension.

4) Crack instability is predicted from the stationary condition of the controlled displacement, taking the effect of the compliance in the loading system into account.
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**Table 1 Chemical Composition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JIS Spec.*</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>18.00-20.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>18.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Japanese Industrial Standard Specification

**Table 2 Mechanical Properties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Room Temperature</th>
<th>20°C</th>
<th>285°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2% Proof Strength</td>
<td>Tensile Strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIS Spec.</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

G/F 4/1#
Table 3 Test Conditions and Maximum Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test type</th>
<th>Specimen number</th>
<th>Notch geometry</th>
<th>Maximum load (kN)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Static low-compliance test</td>
<td>CP-1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-2</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Static high-compliance test</td>
<td>CP-4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-6</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-7</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-9</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-11</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-12H</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-13H</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic high-compliance test</td>
<td>CP-14</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-15</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-16</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CP-17</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (1) W indicates welded specimen.
      H indicates high temperature test (285°C).
      (2) e/t = 1 means through-wall notch.
      (3) See Fig. 1.

Table 4 Methods for Solutions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Computer code</th>
<th>PIPE-6</th>
<th>PIPE-16</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Element type</td>
<td>6-noded isoparametric</td>
<td>16-noded isoparametric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of nodes</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of elements</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasticity theory</td>
<td>Flow theory (isoparametric hardening)</td>
<td>Small strain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometrical nonlinearity</td>
<td>Tangent modulus (Partial stiffness)</td>
<td>Utilinear approximation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculation scheme</td>
<td>Virtual crack extension method</td>
<td>Nodal releasing technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strain hardening property</td>
<td>Crack tip opening angle (CTOA)</td>
<td>Generation phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J-integral calculation</td>
<td>Application phase</td>
<td>Crack extension criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crack extension technique</td>
<td>(For Specimen No.CPM-1, CPM-3H,CPM-2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulation technique for crack extension</td>
<td>Unit : mm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Geometry of Test Pipe and Notch
Fig. 2 Testing Apparatus

- Initial notch
- Crack of stable ductile fracture
- Crack of unstable shear fracture

Fig. 3 Cross-sectional View of Post-fracture Surface

Fig. 4 Nondimensional Length of Stable Crack Growth vs. Nondimensional Initial Notch Length Ratio, $2a_0/(KD-2a_0)$

Fig. 5 Crack Propagation Velocity vs. Crack Extension Amount (mm) for Specimen No. CP-4 and CP-7
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Di = 145.8 mm
Do = 160 mm
L = 375 mm
Lo = 125 mm
α = 15 degrees

(a) Pipe Model for Experiment (b) Finite Element Subdivision for PIPE-6 (c) Finite Element Subdivision for PIPE-16

Fig. 6 Finite Element Model for Cracked Pipe

Fig. 7 Variations of Load and Crack Opening Displacement with Crack Extension (Specimen No. CP-4)

Fig. 8 J-integral vs. Crack Extension Amount
Fig. 9  CTOA vs. Crack Extension Amount

Fig. 10  Prediction of Tearing Instability (Specimen No. CP-4)