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ABSTRACT

Near-fault ground motions are characterised, in case of inter-plate earthquakes, by pulse actions with large periods and velocities, and moderate ones in case of intra-plate earthquakes. Examining the velocity records of many near-fault earthquakes results the ground velocity and pulse period are connected on the base of formula of Krawinkler et al [1] with some corrections for small pulse periods. Using the main characteristics of near-fault ground motions, some research works have proposed simple models of pulse shapes that describe these ground motions. The velocity function was performed by Menun & Fu [2], but calculating the displacement and acceleration functions from it, we find some problem in them. Therefore we suggested the new possibility to modelling the velocity pulses to use algebraically functions [3], which have the same maximum of velocity and the same period then function of Menun & Fu, but the displacement and acceleration functions are compatibility with the velocity function. In this paper we calculated the acceleration functions, using the simulation records of recorded response, which was generated by Fu & Menun [5].

CALCULATING BY MAGNITUDE DEPENDENT VELOCITY FUNCTIONS

Examining the velocity records of many near-fault earthquakes results the forward type in Figure 1a, for thrust faults with a main single pulse in the forward direction, forward and back type in Figure 1b, for strike-slip faults in the parallel direction of the rupture and multiple pulses in Fig. 1c for strike-slip fault in the normal direction of the rupture.
Ground velocity and pulse period are connected in Fig. 2, plotted on the base of formula of Krawinkler et al [1]. Firstly the pulse period depends on the magnitude in Fig. 2a. The same relationships exist between ground motion velocities and pulse periods in Fig. 2b. Using the main characteristics of near-fault ground motions, some research works have proposed simple models of pulse shapes that describe these ground motions. Some typical near-fault ground motions are in the Fig. 3.

Fig. 3: Typical near-fault ground motions

The main problem of using simplified models for ground motion is if these can substitute, in structural analysis, the recorded ground motions. The results of studies were performed by Menun and Fu [2]. His formula is in (1). Here \( V_p \) and \( T_p \) characterize the amplitude and period of the velocity pulse, \( n_1, n_2 \) are the form parameters, \( t_0 \) is the time at which the pulse starts

\[
\dot{u}(t) = V_p \exp\left[-n_1\left(\frac{3}{4}T_p - t + t_0\right)\right] \sin\left[\frac{2\pi}{T_p}(t-t_0)\right] \quad t_0 < t \leq t_0 + \frac{3}{4}T_p
\]

\[
= V_p \exp\left[-n_2\left(t-t_0\right) - \frac{3}{4}T_p\right] \sin\left[\frac{2\pi}{T_p}(t-t_0)\right] \quad \frac{3}{4}T_p < t \leq t_0 + 2T_p
\]

\[
= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}
\]

If we use this velocity function there are some problems in the displacement and acceleration functions. There is the jumping in acceleration function and displacement at the end of motion. Therefore we suggested the new possibility to modelling the velocity pulses is to use algebraically functions [3]. In the Figure 4a-c we can see the original and approximate functions.

Fig. 4a: The velocity functions
From the displacement and acceleration functions the displacements and internal forces of the structure can be calculated. We calculated a frame structure in [3].

The Fig. 5 and Fig. 6 show the acceleration response spectrums in case of different magnitudes. We can see that the period when is the maximum of the spectrum is different and the ratio of the maximum acceleration and the zero period acceleration is larger than in Eurocode (3.46 > 2.5). It is very interesting that there are periods, where the values of the spectrum at less magnitude are larger than in case of the larger magnitude.
In the Fig. 6 there are the calculated acceleration response spectra at M=6.0-5.5 and the spectra from Eurocode 8 in case of M=8.0.

We can see that the ground acceleration is same, but very large difference in the time period of maximum value of the Eurocode and calculated spectrum. The peak of the spectrum depends on the magnitude. More results of analysis in [4]

**SIMULATION OF NEAR-FAULT GROUND MOTION AT SEISMIC-ENVIROMENT**

In [5] Fu and Menun described a procedure for simulation fault normal near-fault ground motions for the seismic environment (magnitude, distance and faulting mechanism of an earthquake and the soil conditions at the site). The velocity pulse in the synthetic ground motion was idealized in form:

\[
v_p(t) = V_p \exp\left[1 - \alpha(t - \tau_p) - \exp(-\alpha(t - \tau_p))\right] \sin\left[2\pi(t - t_0)/T_p\right] \quad \text{for} \quad t_0 < t \leq t_0 + 2T_p = 0
\]

otherwise.

(2)
Here $V_p$ and $T_p$ characterize the amplitude and period of the velocity pulse, $t_0$ is the time at which the pulse starts, $\alpha$ is the shape parameter that defines the growth and decay of the velocity pulse and $t_p = t_0 + 0.75T_p$ is the time which the peak velocity occurs. The idealised ground acceleration, which is found by differentiating (2) is:

$$a_p(t) = V_p A_p(t) \cos\left[2\pi (t-t_0)/T_p - \varphi\right]$$

$$= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

(3)

where

$$A_p(t) = \exp[\alpha(t-t_p)] - \exp(-\alpha(t-t_p))] / \sqrt{[(\alpha + \alpha \exp(-\alpha(t-t_p))]^2 + (2\pi/T_p)^2},$$

(4)

and

$$\varphi = \arctan(\alpha + \alpha \exp(-\alpha(t-t_p)))$$

(5)

**Analysis of Kobe earthquake**

In Fig. 8 and Fig. 9 show the functions of ground velocity and acceleration in case of earthquake in Kobe (M=6.9) at two different places ($R$ is the distance to the fault rupture).

Knowing the acceleration function we can calculate the acceleration response spectrum. In Fig. 10 we can see large difference between the two spectrum. The peak the spectrum of Takatori Station was two times more than other case. (At Fig. 9 the amplitude of velocity was larger by 50% then in Fig. 8.) We have seen the form of the spectrums in Fig. 11. The ratio of the maximum acceleration and the zero period acceleration is 3.3 and 3.66. They are more than in Eurocode, where it was 2.5 in case of horizontal motion and 3.0 at vertical motion.
Analysis of Northbridge earthquake

In [5] we can see some parameters of Northbridge earthquake - where the magnitude was 6.7 - at eight difference places. From these we analyse five cases. The parameters are in the Table 1. The acceleration depends form the $\frac{V_p}{T_p}$ parameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The place of measuring</th>
<th>$R$ [km]</th>
<th>$V_p$ [cm/s]</th>
<th>$T_p$ [s]</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$\frac{V_p}{T_p}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canoga park</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>-40.3</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>-1.12</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canyon City</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylmar Converter East</td>
<td>5.89</td>
<td>-60.6</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>19.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jensen Filter Plant</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>2.83</td>
<td>-0.40</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newhall - Fire station</td>
<td>8.68</td>
<td>-106.8</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>114.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Fig. 12 and Fig. 13 show the functions of ground velocity and acceleration functions in case of Canyon City and Newhall. We can see that the acceleration in Newhall was six times more than in Canyon City.
Fig. 12: The velocity and acceleration in Canyon City

Fig. 13: The velocity and acceleration in Newhall

Fig. 14: The acceleration response spectrums in Northbridge at five different places
The Fig. 14 shows the acceleration response spectrums. We can see, that the spectrum at Newhall has large values. In the Fig. 15 are the forms of spectrum. The ratio of the maximum acceleration and the zero period acceleration is between the 2.82 and 3.93. They are more than in Eurocode in this case too. The periods, where the values of the spectrum are maximum are between 0.58 and 2.46 s. (In Eurocode they are between 0.15 and 0.80).

![Fig. 15: The forms of acceleration response spectrums in Northbridge at five different places](image)

CONCLUSION

The first part we calculated the acceleration response spectra in case of different magnitude using the [1] and [3]. The magnitudes were changing between 5.5 M and 8.0 M. We found that the period when is the maximum of the spectrum is larger than in the Eurocode and depends from the magnitude. The ratio of the maximum acceleration and the zero period acceleration is larger than in Eurocode (3.46 > 2.5) too, but that is independent from magnitude. In the second part we calculated the near-fault ground motions in case of same magnitude but at different seismic environment (distance of the fault and the soil conditions at the site). The ratio of the maximum acceleration and the zero period acceleration was changing between the 2.82 and 3.93.

REFERENCES


[4] J. Györgyi, "Corrected Ground Motion Functions in the Case of a Near-Fault Earthquake", *The Tenth Int. Conf. on Computational Structures Technology*, #paper 326, pp.1-10, Valencia, Spain, 2010