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Abstract
This paper suggests an approach of delivering a new generation of modeling tools with improved user interface without giving up the mathematical engines of currently available tools. The approach is presented through the description of an integrated environment for modeling computer systems and communication networks named IDEAS, which is currently under development at Duke University. The key elements considered in the environment design are integration and user-centred design. A powerful set of selected modeling tools provides a strong foundation for IDEAS, while the two referred elements provide guidelines throughout the project.

1 Introduction

Many powerful modeling tools have been proposed or developed, yet, their use in practice has been very limited. Despite their inherent merits, performance modeling tools (e.g., QNAP2 [1], RESQME [2], and PEPS [3]), reliability modeling tools (e.g., SURF-2 [4], HARP [5], and SAVE [6]) and performability modeling tools (e.g., SHARPE [7], SPNP [8], UltraSAN [9], DSPNexpress [10], and TimeNET [11]) still have limited penetration in industrial environments1.

One possible method of encouraging, indeed coaxing, potential users into utilizing powerful tools is to provide an integrated modeling environment which offers a uniform and easy access to many existing and future tools. Thus, a range of capabilities will be available to a product designer without the need for learning many cumbersome interface languages and output formats. The integration will allow the user to carry out performance, reliability and performability analysis using the same toolkit.

Whenever integration is sought in the computer modeling context, the first idea that usually comes to mind is the combination of different analytic-numeric engines or of analytic and simulation engines. An initial success at such an integration in the domain of reliability modeling has been achieved jointly by Boeing, University of Washington and Duke University [15]. To carry the idea of integration several steps further we created the Integrated Design Environment for Assessment of Computer Systems and Communication Networks (IDEAS) project.

Apart from the integrated workbench under implementation, IDEAS also offers several other significant advantages: (i) From the user perspective: it helps minimizing problems associated with the selection of modeling techniques most appropriate for a specific problem; through its higher level interface, users can access a multiplicity of modeling engines (solvers) without the associated costs of learning each of these tools; reports can be automatically produced in this environment better documenting the design/modeling process. (ii) From the modeling researcher perspective: it speeds-up the development and distribution of new modeling tools since their interface may be the one provided by the IDEAS environment.

The IDEAS project shall provide a high level environment for the design and analysis of computer systems and communication networks, allowing a multiplicity of modeling tools to be used for evaluation of alternative designs. The project includes the development of an en-
environment for performance, dependability and performance modeling powered by successful analytic modeling packages such as SPNP and SHARPE, and research tools such as Distributed SPNP (D-SPNP) [16] and the Fluid Stochastic Petri Net (FSPN) simulator [17].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces the design philosophy behind the IDEAS project. Section 3 details the first phase of the IDEAS project. Section 4 presents the graphical user interface developed for SPNP, one of the highligths of the first phase of the project. Section 5 concludes the paper with the current status of the project.

2 Design Philosophy of the IDEAS Project

The interface metaphor adopted by the IDEAS project is of a file cabinet (see Figure 1) available at the desktop level of the designer/modeler. Each modeling engine and specialized interface integrated in the IDEAS project has its own drawer in the file cabinet. Some drawers (the ones drawn on the left column of the cabinet in Figure 1) implement graphical user interfaces (when not originally available) for the modeling engines integrated in the environment. Other drawers provide specialized interfaces for specific problem domains (the ones drawn on the right of the cabinet in Figure 1) such as reliability or performance modeling of complex systems.

This structure allows IDEAS to accommodate several distinct types of users: reliability engineers can interface with the modeling tools either using reliability block diagrams (RESOLVE) or fault trees (RAFT); network designers can use the specialized interface (stSDL) to input their modeling problems without the burden of learning the intricacies of stochastic Petri nets, Markov chains or other modeling paradigms. On the other hand, modelers can access directly those familiar structures through the available graphical user interface (GUI) of any of the modeling engines integrated in IDEAS. The virtual file cabinet even has a big advantage over the real one, since it allows the continuous evolution of IDEAS functionality by adding an unlimited number of new drawers as necessary.

Whenever a drawer is opened, a uniform structure is presented to the user in a similar way to the action of opening drawers in real file cabinets. The graphical interface offered by all drawers is consistent, minimizing the time and other resources necessary to train new users of IDEAS. Once a new user becomes familiar with a particular interface (drawer) he should be able to use proficiently all the others with minimal training. On the computer display, the virtual action of opening a drawer corresponds to the activation of one GUI program. This program mimics the looks and feels of real file cabinet drawers by using windows with title tabs to ease the sorting of overlapping windows [18].

The design model of each GUI offered in the IDEAS project is based on modern premises of human-computer interaction design [19], which suggest that design should: (i) be user-centred and involve users as much as possible so that they can influence it; (ii) integrate knowledge and expertise from the different disciplines that contribute to GUI design; and (iii) be highly iterative so that testing can be done to check that the design does indeed meet users’ requirement.

Making the GUIs offered by the IDEAS project follow the above two premises was ensured by the appropriate selection of design model. We use one proposed by Hix and Harston [20, 21], known as the star life cycle. This life cycle was selected particularly because it encourages iteration. The central point of the star design cycle is evaluation, which is viewed as relevant at all stages of the life cycle and not just at the end of the interface development as traditional software product development suggests. All aspects of systems development are subjected to constant evaluation by users and by experts. Another reason for the selection of the star life cycle is its natural orientation of being supportive of both top-down and bottom-up development. This feature is extremely important in the IDEAS project since the development of some of its components starts from the off-the-shelf modeling engines (such as SPNP and SHARPE) and progresses upward towards the user, while the specialized interfaces (e.g., for reliability engineering) follow exactly the opposite direction. Yet, another contributing reason is that the star life cycle also stresses rapid prototyping and an incremental approach to the final product.
The rapid prototyping approach adopted in the IDEAS project deals directly with the problem of needing to validate that user requirements are being met by the design at different stages. New design ideas can be exercised and commented on by users before a great deal of expensive development work is undertaken. Besides, the prototyping approach helps the GUI designers to cope with the problem of understanding requirements.

3 IDEAS-kernel Project: The First Phase of IDEAS

The first phase of IDEAS implements two interchangeable modeling environments for reliability engineering: a dependability modeling environment for communication protocols; and establishes the foundation for the other phases. The output of the first phase is named IDEAS-kernel because everything else is developed around it. The IDEAS-kernel is an additional software level that is inserted between the user (a computer systems modeler, communication network product designer, or a reliability engineer) and the modeling engines. Because of its position on top of the modeling tools, the package allows the insertion of higher level abstractions than those present in the underlying modeling engines. In the IDEAS context, new abstraction levels are implemented for the specification of communication networks and generic fault-tolerant systems, completely hiding the underlying modeling paradigms such as Markov chains and Petri nets, to name a few. In the first stage, IDEAS will only provide interface with human operators, although automatic data acquisition is under consideration for implementation in later versions of the environment.

The functionality of the IDEAS-kernel is made available through the assistance of three software modules and four independent tools (termed auxiliary tools). The software modules are:

- The User Interface Module is composed of two major components: a textual interface (TI) and a GUI. Both components allow users to access the modeling engines either through specialized interfaces (the network modeling interface in the first phase) or the primitive resources provides by the integrated modeling packages. The GUI implements all the expected functionality of a computer-aided design interface for two-dimensional designs, including features like levels of zooming, panning, scrolling, macro functions, extensive report capabilities, etc.

- The Environment Management Module acts as a general service module for the other two. Some of the features available at the user interface level need strong support from the data management level, which is not only responsible for providing an uniform interface with the modeling engines, but also to keep a data base (actual and historical) of designs and of additional information necessary to smooth the operation of the whole environment. The integration of the modeling engines is provided through an interpreter that maps one intermediate language implemented by the user interface into the input languages of the auxiliary tools (e.g., CSPL for the SPNP package). Additional automation implemented in the interpretation process is the automatic selection of the auxiliary package(s) most suitable for a particular problem. The selection process can be overridden by the user. This is an additional feature implemented to allow IDEAS to accommodate several distinct types of users with different modeling skills. If the user has a strong modeling background he or she will be able to select and work directly with his or her choice of auxiliary tool, but even in this case IDEAS provides a superior interface than the individual auxiliary packages themselves. The interpreter also provides a bi-directional interface with the auxiliary tools.

- The Modeling Module organizes all modeling engines integrated through IDEAS. The interpreter concept allows for continuous growth of the modeling engine module, either in the number of supported tools or their characteristics. New modeling packages shall be easily integrated, providing freedom for each individual installation of the environment to select tools more appropriate for
their particular use. Due to its flexibility, availability and quality, the initial auxiliary tools to be integrated are: the Stochastic Petri Net Package (SPNP) [8]; the Symbolic Hierarchical Automated Reliability/Performance Evaulator (SHARPE) [7]; the Distributed SPNP (D-SPNP); and the Fluid Stochastic Petri Net Simulator (FSPNsim) [24].

The development strategy for the IDEAS-kernel can be summarized in four major activities (see Figure 3): (i) development of GUIs for SPNP, SHARPE, D-SPNP, and FSPN; (ii) development of specialized GUIs for reliability engineering (RAFT and RESOLVE); (iii) development of a graphical environment for dependability analysis of communication networks (stSDL); and (iv) development of a backbone for the integration of all the tools using a database manager (DBMS).

The implementation of the IDEAS-kernel starts with the development of GUI for the modeling tools we selected. These GUIs are important in the integrated environment because they allow great flexibility to their users: if the user feels more comfortable dealing with analytic modeling concepts such as reliability block diagrams or Markov chains, he will be able to use them directly via the SHARPE GUI (iSHARPE). However, generic users will also be able to use the environment through the specialized interfaces for reliability engineering (RAFT and RESOLVE) or communication network product design (stSDL).

All GUI dedicated to the off-the-shelf tools will be developed according to a bottom-up approach, since most of the tools are already available. Extra effort is devoted to ensuring a uniform user interface in spite of intrinsic differences among the underlying tools. Most functions will present and behave the same way across the plethora of modeling tools. A property that should significantly reduce the training effort of new users.

We started the kernel implementation by designing a GUI for the SPNP package. The GUI is the key element to the success of the project, hence we decided to focus our efforts initially on this activity. The reason SPNP was first selected is because the mathematical engines of two of the modeling packages (D-SPNP and FSPN) are still under development, and we judged the design of a graphical environment for Petri nets more demanding than the one necessary for the SHARPE package.

Two GUIs for reliability engineering are under development. The first to be completed is named RAFT and allows system specification using fault trees. An alternative specification method based on reliability block diagrams will also be available when the second specialized GUI (named RESOLVE) is completed.

The development of the specific modeling interface for communication protocols is being conducted in parallel to the development of the SPNP GUI. After completing the initial analysis, an interpreter should be developed to examine problem characteristics of each model and assist the user (automatically or under user intervention) to select the modeling engine most suitable for each problem instance. After identifying the modeling tool, translation from the abstract network model into the selected tools will be automatic.

The DBMS will be the last piece to be incorporated into the kernel and should assist the interpreter as the intercommunication medium for various tools of the environment, besides being the information source for all of them. The DBMS that shall be incorporated into the IDEAS-kernel project is an off-the-shelf product. Working with a commercial DBMS should allow good performance, excellent interface and report capabilities to the IDEAS project.

4 iSPN - A Graphical User Interface for SPNP

According to the development strategy of the IDEAS-kernel project, a subproject named “iSPN: an integrated environment for SPNP” was started a few months ago. The purpose of this subproject is to develop a prototype GUI to SPNP. The development uses the scripting language Tcl (Tool Command Language), developed by John Ousterhout, and extension Tk, a toolkit for X windows [25, 26]. The selection of the script-based approach brings to the project three major benefits: (i) Tcl/Tk provides a higher-level interface to X than most standard C library toolkits; (ii) development of the IDEAS environment will be fast because of fast turnaround, aiding
the debugging process and refinement of the interface; and (iii) the user interface is clearly isolated from the rest of the application, making the overall design easy to maintain and expand.

The role of this first GUI is very important for the overall IDEAS project since it will establish a role model to be followed in all the subsequent GUI developments. Because of this, extra time was spent in the careful design of this interface where we incorporated some novel concepts in human interaction made available through Tcl/Tk languages.

The prototype, when completed, will be fully functional and presented to SPNP users for evaluation. After the evaluation process and consequent tune-up of the design/implementation, the process of converting the prototype into an engineering product will start. Although we selected Tcl/Tk environment for the prototype development, we are also considering other graphical environments for the final implementation of iSPN. Careful planning led to the implementation of a graphical user interface for the SPNP package, destined to be the template for all GUI to be developed in the future for IDEAS. Extra effort shall be put into offering the user the most uniform interface possible in spite of the intrinsic characteristics of underlying tools. Most functions are displayed in a similar format and have the same behavior for all modeling tools. A property that should significantly reduce the training effort of new users as one learns each modeling language.

The iSPN prototype is almost complete and allows the possibility to execute SPNP with two different file formats: (i) files created in the CSPL (C-based Stochastic Petri net Language) language directly; and (ii) files created using iSPN's Petri Net editor. The software organization of the prototype should be reproduced in all the other GUIs to be developed for the IDEAS environment.

5 Conclusions

Using off-the-shelf modeling tools, a GUI based environment is being developed which eases the specification of the modeling and analysis problem and uses one or more of the tools provided to solve the model. In our current prototype, using the philosophy of incremental design and implementation, we have restricted ourselves to integrating SPNP, SHARPE, D-SPNP and FSPN in a single environment and provide easy to use GUI based support to do model specification, editing, debugging, execution and result generation for dependability modeling.

The IDEAS-kernel project has met its initial objectives. The possibility of integrating diverse modeling tools through a common interpreter has been successfully demonstrated in the SDM environment [15]. A spin-off project of IDEAS named “iSPN: an integrated environment for SPNP” was completed and is currently undergoing extensive tests. The purpose of iSPN was the careful design and implementation of a GUI for SPNP, crafting recent advances in human computer interaction into the design. The role of this project is central to IDEAS since it establishes a template to be followed in all subsequent GUI developments.

The fault tree specification environment of RAFT is nearly complete, as well as the reliability block diagram solver (RESOLVE). Nearly completed is also the specification of the extensions to the Specification Design Language (SDL) to allow for the specification of probability constructs adequate for performance and dependability modeling of communication networks.

The implementation of the IDEAS-kernel is now entering a new phase where the designed GUI will be adapted for other modeling tools and the integration experiment will be extended with the implementation of the GUI and interpreter for stSDL.
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