

A NEW INEQUALITY IN ABSTRACT L^p SPACES

Patrick L. Combettes

Center for Communications and Signal Processing
Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
North Carolina State University
Raleigh, NC 27695

CCSP-TR-89/14

Theorem. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space and let q be an extended positive real number. Then for every t in $[1, +\infty[$ and every real-valued function X in $L^q(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $X \neq 0$ a.e. the function

$$f_X: [0, q] \rightarrow [(\mu(\Omega))^{1-t}, +\infty]$$

$$p \mapsto \frac{\int_{\Omega} |X|^{tp} d\mu}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |X|^p d\mu\right)^t}$$

is nondecreasing.

Proof. First, let X be a simple function

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i 1_{A_i} \quad \text{where} \quad \begin{cases} 0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n \\ A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ disjoint sets in } \Sigma \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Let p be an arbitrary real number in $[0, q]$ and, for every i in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let $p_i = \mu(A_i)$. We have

$$f_X(p) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^{tp}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^p\right)^t} \quad (2)$$

We need to show that $df_X(p)/dp \geq 0$. Using (2) and the fact that $(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^p)^{t-1} > 0$, this is equivalent to showing

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^{tp} \ln(x_i)\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^p\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^{tp}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^p \ln(x_i)\right) \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

If $n=1$ or $t=1$ the result is trivial. We shall therefore assume otherwise. Let i and j be any two integers in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $i < j$. Then $x_j > x_i$ and therefore $x_j^{(t-1)p} - x_i^{(t-1)p} > 0$ and $\ln(x_j) > \ln(x_i)$. Thus

$$(x_j^{(t-1)p} - x_i^{(t-1)p}) \ln(x_j) > (x_j^{(t-1)p} - x_i^{(t-1)p}) \ln(x_i) \quad (4)$$

Hence

$$x_i^{(t-1)p} \ln(x_i) + x_j^{(t-1)p} \ln(x_j) > x_i^{(t-1)p} \ln(x_j) + x_j^{(t-1)p} \ln(x_i) \quad (5)$$

Upon multiplying through by $p_i p_j x_i^p x_j^p$ in (5) and summing up, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (p_i x_i^{tp} \ln(x_i))(p_j x_j^p) + (p_i x_i^p)(p_j x_j^{tp} \ln(x_j)) > \\ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (p_i x_i^{tp})(p_j x_j^p \ln(x_j)) + (p_i x_i^p \ln(x_i))(p_j x_j^{tp}) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Consider the identity

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_i b_j + a_j b_i \quad (7)$$

Then, adding $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^2 x_i^{(t+1)p} \ln(x_i)$ to each side in (6) yields

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^{tp} \ln(x_i) \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^p \right) > \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^{tp} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i^p \ln(x_i) \right) \quad (8)$$

Which establishes (3). Hence the theorem holds for every nonnegative simple function. Now let X be an arbitrary function in $L^q(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ such that $X \neq 0$ a.e. Then, there exists a nondecreasing sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of nonnegative simple functions converging a.e. to $|X|$ and

$$\int_{\Omega} |X| d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} X_n d\mu \quad (9)$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} |X|^p d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} X_n^p d\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} |X|^{tp} d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} X_n^{tp} d\mu \quad (10)$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_{X_n}(p) = \frac{\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} X_n^{tp} d\mu}{\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} X_n^p d\mu \right)^t} = \frac{\int_{\Omega} |X|^{tp} d\mu}{\left(\int_{\Omega} |X|^p d\mu \right)^t} = f_X(p) \quad (11)$$

We conclude that since each term in the sequence $\{f_{X_n}\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a nondecreasing function of p , so is the limit f_X . \square

The Theorem leads at once to the following inequality.

Corollary 1. Let (Ω, Σ, μ) be a finite measure space, let $p \leq q$ be any two extended positive real numbers, and let X be a measurable real-valued function. Then

$$(\forall t \in [1, +\infty[) \quad \int_{\Omega} |X|^q d\mu \left(\int_{\Omega} |X|^{tp} d\mu \right)^{1/t} \leq \int_{\Omega} |X|^p d\mu \left(\int_{\Omega} |X|^{tq} d\mu \right)^{1/t}$$

The application of the Theorem to probability theory is immediate.

Corollary 2. Let (Ω, Σ, P) be a probability space and let q be an extended positive real number. Then for every t in $[1, +\infty[$ and every random variable X in $L^q(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$ such that $X \neq 0$ a.s. the function

$$f_X: [0, q] \rightarrow [1, +\infty[$$

$$p \mapsto \frac{E|X|^{tp}}{E^t|X|^p}$$

is nondecreasing.

The last Corollary is obtained by considering the case where $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$, Σ is the σ -algebra of all subsets of Ω , and μ is the counting measure.

Corollary 3. Let n be a positive integer and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a nonzero vector in \mathbb{R}^n . Then for every t in $[1, +\infty[$ the function

$$f_x: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [n^{1-t}, +\infty[$$

$$p \mapsto \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^{tp}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right)^t}$$

is nondecreasing.

1. For measure theoretic notions, see: Paul R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, New York: Van Nostrand, 1950.

2. For all $r < t$ in \mathbb{R}_+^* a consequence of Hölder's inequality is

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |Y|^r d\mu\right)^{1/r} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |Y|^t d\mu\right)^{1/t} (\mu(\Omega))^{1/r-1/t} \quad (12)$$

For $\mu(\Omega) < +\infty$, $|Y| = |X|^p$ ($p \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$), and $r=1$ it follows at once that $f_X(p) \geq (\mu(\Omega))^{1-t}$.

3. From (12), if (Ω, Σ, μ) is a finite measure space, then $L^q(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu) \subset L^p(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ if $p \leq q$. Hence f_X is well defined (we never have ∞/∞).

4. From (8), f_X is increasing if X is a simple function, $n > 1$, and $t > 1$.

5. We only require that X be measurable in Corollary 1. Indeed, all the integrals in the inequality exist and, if any of them is $+\infty$, so is the rightmost one by (12) (the result then is trivial). The result is also trivial if $X=0$ a.e. In all the other cases, the result follows from the Theorem.

6. For $t=2$, the result of Corollary 2 was needed in P. L. Combettes' Ph.D. dissertation (*Set Theoretic Estimation in Digital Signal Processing*, Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, NC State University. Raleigh, June 1989).

7. A more elegant proof of Corollary 2 has recently been communicated to the author by Professor O. Wesler.