# A NORMAL SCALE MIXTURE REPRESENTATION OF THE LOGISTIC DISTRIBUTION

LEONARD A. STEFANSKI

Department of Statistics

North Carolina State University

Raleigh, NC 27695

## ABSTRACT

In this paper it is shown that the logistic distribution can be represented as a scale mixture of the standard normal distribution where the mixing density is related to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution. Two derivations of the theorem are presented that give rise to two different representations of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution. The induced identity is of independent interest and is not widely published nor easily derived directly.

Key words and phrases. Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution; logistic distribution; normal scale mixture.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently I encountered a problem in which it was advantageous to approximate the logistic distribution,  $F(t) = 1/(1 + e^{-t})$ , with discrete mixtures having the form

$$F_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k p_{k,i} \Phi(ts_{k,i}), \qquad (k = 1, 2, ...),$$
(1.1)

where  $\Phi$  is the standard normal cumulative distribution function. These approximations provide a solution to a problem posed by Cox (1970, p. 110) and are useful in statistical models involving convolutions of a normal distribution with F, such as logistic regression measurement error models and random effects logistic regression models; see, for example, Carroll, Spiegelman, Bailey, Lan and Abbott (1984). Monahan and Stefanski (1989) discuss applications in detail and provide tables of  $\{p_{k,i}, s_{k,i}\}_{i=1}^k$  that minimize

$$D_k^* = \sup_{t} | F(t) - F_k(t) |, \qquad (1.2)$$

for k = 1, 2, ... The approximation for k = 3 is very good and improves significantly with increasing k.

In this paper I prove the following theorem, providing explanation for the quality of the approximations and mathematical justification for the class of approximants in (1.1).

THEOREM. Let F and  $\Phi$  denote the standard logistic and normal cumulative distribution functions respectively. Then

$$F(t) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(t/\sigma)q(\sigma)d\sigma, \tag{1.3}$$

where  $q(\sigma) = (d/d\sigma)L(\sigma/2)$  and L is the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution,

$$L(\sigma) = 1 - 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{(n+1)} \exp(-2n^2 \sigma^2).$$
 (1.4)

In Section 2, two derivations of (1.3) are presented that give rise to two different representations of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution. The induced identity is of independent interest and is not widely published nor easily derived directly.

# 2. THE LOGISTIC DISTRIBUTION AS A GAUSSIAN SCALE MIXTURE

Consider the integral equation

$$f(t) = \int_0^\infty \sigma^{-1} \phi(t/\sigma) q(\sigma) d\sigma, \qquad (2.1)$$

when  $f(t) = e^{-t}/(1 + e^{-t})^2$  and  $\phi$  is the standard normal density. A change-of-variables  $v = 1/2\sigma^2$  in the integral and evaluation at  $t = \sqrt{s}$ , s > 0, shows that

$$f(\sqrt{s}) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sv} h(v) dv, \qquad (2.2)$$

where

$$h(v) = q(1/\sqrt{2v})/\sqrt{8\pi v^2}.$$
 (2.3)

It follows from (2.2) that  $f(\sqrt{s})$  is the Laplace transform of h.

Note that for t > 0,  $F(t) = (1 + e^{-t})^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \exp(-nt)$ . Upon differentiation and appeal to symmetry it follows that

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{(n+1)} n \exp\{-n \mid t \mid\},$$
 (2.4)

and thus

$$f(\sqrt{s}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{(n+1)} n \exp\{-n\sqrt{s}\}.$$
 (2.5)

Since  $e^{-a\sqrt{s}}$  is the Laplace transform of  $h_a(t) = a \exp\{-a^2/4t\}/\sqrt{4\pi t^3}$ , it follows from (2.5) that

$$h(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{(n+1)} \frac{n^2}{2\sqrt{\pi t^3}} \exp\left\{-\frac{n^2}{4t}\right\},\,$$

and from (2.3) that

$$q(\sigma) = 2\sigma \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{(n+1)} n^2 \exp\left\{-\frac{n^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right\}.$$
 (2.6)

Note that in (2.6),  $q(\sigma) = (d/d\sigma)L(\sigma/2)$  where L is given in (1.4).

An alternative method of solving (2.1) leads to an interesting identity that is not easily derived directly. In terms of moment generating functions (2.1) becomes

$$\frac{t\pi}{\sin(t\pi)} = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(\frac{t^2\sigma^2}{2}\right) q(\sigma)d\sigma.$$

The change-of-variables  $v = \sigma^2/2$ , evaluation at  $t = i\sqrt{s}$ , and a geometric series expansion of  $1/\{1 - \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{s})\}$  results in the identities

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sv} g(v) dv = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{s} \exp(-\pi\sqrt{s})}{1 - \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{s})} = 2\pi \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sqrt{s} \exp\{-\pi(2n+1)\sqrt{s}\},\tag{2.7}$$

where

$$g(v) = q(\sqrt{2v})/\sqrt{2v}.$$
(2.8)

Since  $\sqrt{s} \exp(-a\sqrt{s})$  is the Laplace transform of

$$-\left(\frac{d}{da}\right)h_a(t) = -\left(\frac{d}{da}\right)\left[\frac{a\exp\{-a^2/4t\}}{\sqrt{4\pi t^3}}\right],$$

it follows from (2.7) that

$$g(t) = 2\pi \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\pi^2 (2n+1)^2 - 2t}{4\sqrt{\pi t^5}} \exp\left\{-\frac{\pi^2 (2n+1)^2}{4t}\right\}.$$

Using (2.8) and integrating q term-by-term shows that  $q(\sigma) = (d/d\sigma)L^*(\sigma/2)$  where

$$L^*(\sigma) = \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sigma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-\frac{\pi^2 (2n+1)^2}{8\sigma^2}\right\}.$$
 (2.9)

Of course L and  $L^*$  must be equal thus showing that the right-hand sides of (1.4) and (2.9) are equal. This identity is not easily established directly; see for example, Feller (1948), Smirnov (1948) and Monahan (1989). The alternative representation (2.9) is useful for computing  $L(\sigma)$  for small  $\sigma$ , Monahan (1989).

# REFERENCES

- Carroll, R. J., Spiegelman, C., Bailey, K., Lan, K. K. G. and Abbott, R. D. (1984). On errors-in-variables for binary regression models. *Biometrika*, 71, 19-26.
- Cox, D. R. (1970). Binary Regression. Chapman and Hall, London.
- Feller, W. (1948). On the Kolmogorov-Smirnov limit theorems for empirical distributions.

  Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 19, 177-189.
- Monahan, J. F. (1989). On the alternative representation of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov distribution. *Preprint*.
- Monahan, J. F. and Stefanski, L. A. (1989). Normal scale mixture approximations to the logistic distribution with applications. *Institute of Statistics Mimeograph Series*No. 1968.
- Smirnov, N. (1948). Table for estimating the goodness of fit of empirical distributions.

  Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 19, 279-281.